

2 3 4

2014 RESOLUTIONS

As Adopted by the

Voting Delegates at the

Annual Meeting of the Members

March 1, 2014

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

I. EXPORTS, MARKET DEVELOPMENT AND TRADE POLICIES

131415

16

17

1.0.00 Preamble

1.0.01 The American Soybean Association (ASA) recognizes that U.S. soybeans and soybean products must have fair access to world markets and must be competitive on price, quality and availability for markets.

18 19 20

21

22

2324

2526

1.0.02 Export development programs, led by Market Access Program (MAP) and the Foreign Market Development Program (FMD), have helped build an export market of a record \$140.9 billion for 2013. Soybeans, soybean products and soy fed animal products constitute (41%) of all U.S. agriculture exports. Studies confirm each billion dollars in exports generates 8,000 jobs and \$1.36 billion in additional economic activity, meaning agricultural exports create 1.1 million jobs and \$184 billion in additional economic activity. The public-private partnership among soy producers, the soy industry and the U.S. government creates a significant and positive boost to the U.S. economy.

272829

- 1.1.00 Government Support of Market Development and Export Sales
- 1.1.01 The U.S. Administration shall take into account the impact of international exchange rates on U.S. export competitiveness.

32

- 1.1.02 ASA encourages Congress and the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) of the United States
- 34 Department of Agriculture (USDA) to support the investment of farmer checkoff dollars to
- maximize funding for market development efforts and to respond quickly to opportunities in
- 36 international trade.
- 37 1.1.03 ASA encourages that USB and QSSB's appropriate funds for international marketing to
- qualify and fully maximize USDA/FAS matching funds.

1.1.04 ASA supports efforts to improve U.S. competitiveness in global markets for soybeans including whole, processed and high value products.

41 42

43 1.1.05 ASA urges Congress and the Administration to aggressively support P.L. 480 and
 44 Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) grant programs and initiatives to expand exports of U.S.
 45 soybeans and soybean products.

46

1.1.06 ASA supports provision of sufficient export credit guarantees.

47 48

1.1.07 ASA commends the FAS of the USDA for work in expanding markets for U.S. soybeans,
 whole and processed, as well as other U.S. agricultural products. ASA recommends that future
 Federal funding for foreign market development be maintained at an annual rate

52 commensurate to inflation rates and increased marketing needs.

53

54 1.1.08 ASA supports all meat, poultry, dairy, and aquaculture export programs.

55

1.1.09 ASA encourages the development of common national and international standards for maximum residual levels of animal health products in livestock trade internationally.

58

1.1.10 ASA shall continue to be the U.S. soybean growers' International Marketing Contractor with the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

62 63

64

1.1.11 ASA supports the U.S. Administration to be WTO compliant with the Mandatory Country of Origin Labeling (MCOOL) under USDA jurisdiction, where it is beneficial to the U.S. soybean farmer that a voluntary country of origin labeling be supported as well.

656667

68

69

1.2.00 Quality and Grading Standards for Soybeans and Soybean Products

1.2.01 ASA believes grain quality standards should be international in scope. These standards affect the long-term future of the industry and have a major role in market efficiency, improved quality and competition among sellers.

70 71 72

73

74

1.2.02 ASA supports a grading and marketing system that compensates producers for selling clean, high quality soybeans. ASA supports beginning a process to determine if farm program payments should include a premium/differential for higher quality attributes such as, but not limited to, protein and oil.

75 76 77

78

79

80

81

82 83

- 1.2.03 ASA supports the following principles as long-term objectives in any revisions or updating of present standards to:
 - Define uniform and accepted descriptive terms to facilitate trade.
 - Provide the information for the market to create incentives to improve the overall quality of soybeans.
 - Provide information for the end user to help determine end product yield and quality.
 - Provide information for the farmer to help select varieties of greatest value.

- Require that grading factors have a definable economic value.
- Remove economic incentives that promote inefficiencies and undesirable practices.
- Encourage development of soybean standards that will set standard for U.S. soybeans at 1% foreign material (FM) in export and domestic markets. ASA will strive to implement grain standards to assure the best product available for our customers. To fully accomplish this task ASA must request the full cooperation of the grain traders in adhering to these quality requirements for exported soybeans to the end user.
- Both public and commercial soybean breeders and producers should be represented on committees formed to develop grain quality standards for soybeans and to cooperate with other farm groups to set international standards for specialty grains.
- Soybeans should be purchased on dry matter content with a 13% moisture standard.

ASA will communicate to soybean farmers the implications of anticipated or actual changes to grading standards.

- 1.2.04 ASA recommends that the USDA and soybean producers strive to ensure that quality discounts are justifiable.
- 1.2.05 ASA encourages a study be undertaken by the appropriate governmental agencies, farmer leaders and industry representatives to determine the need for additional intrinsic testing capabilities, revised procedures, or other controls resulting from the rapid growth in volume and number of differentiated identity preserved marketing's of enhanced trait soybeans.
- 1.2.06 ASA encourages the development of a common national and international standard for monitoring of calibrations for all grain analyzing technologies.
 - 1.2.07 ASA supports a grading and marketing system that recognizes the intrinsic quality and value of soybeans and to reward farmers who are capable of producing and marketing soybeans of enhanced value to users.
 - 1.2.08 ASA encourages strict enforcement of the handling of any treated seed to ensure it does not get into any commercial shipment of U.S. soybeans.
 - 1.3.00 Trade Policy

- 1.3.01 ASA supports the removal of barriers to international trade in soybeans, soybean products, as well as livestock, poultry and aquaculture products and the prevention of the establishment by other nations of barriers to the importation and consumption of U.S. soybeans, soybean products, as well as livestock products.
- 1.3.02 ASA strongly encourages reinstatement of the Trade Promotion Authority (TPA).
- 1.3.03 ASA strongly opposes the imposition of restrictions on the export of any and all agricultural commodities including soybeans and soybean products, whether it is for supply, national security, or foreign policy reasons. Any embargo, sanction, or other restriction on

exports of U.S. agricultural commodities for national security or foreign policy reasons shall require a formal determination by the President and Congress that such action is supported and joined by all other major world producers and exporters of affected commodities. Any action would terminate unless the President re-certifies this determination on an annual basis.

Contract sanctity should be guaranteed for all foreign purchasers of U.S. agricultural commodities. ASA calls on the President and Congress to revise legislation that exempts sales of U.S. agricultural products from economic sanctions so that normal commercial credit can be offered by U.S. entities. ASA shall increase its efforts to inform U.S. soybean producers and consumers as to the scope and the ramifications of above said sanctions on U.S. farmers.

1.3.04 ASA favors the immediate removal of agricultural trade, financial and travel restrictions for Cuba and urges Cuban eligibility for federally authorized checkoff activities, Foreign Market Development (FMD), Market Access Programs (MAP), General Sales Manager (GSM) and other credit programs and to work with the current administration on changing the terminology through administrative action concerning credit. (IL)

1.3.05 ASA opposes the establishment of restrictions by the United States on the importation of fairly traded goods that may precipitate retaliation against the export of U.S. soybeans, soybean based products and livestock products by other nations or which would economically burden U.S. soybean farmers.

1.3.06 ASA strongly supports achievement of a Level Playing Field trading basis for oilseeds, oilseed products and plant-based oil and products in future negotiations. The Level Playing Field approach is a multilateral phase-out of all trade distorting export subsidies, including differential export taxes, and all tariff and non-tariff barriers to oilseeds, oilseeds product and plant-based oil and product imports.

1.3.07 The Blair House Agreement (BHA) sets a WTO-bound limit on subsidized oilseed production in the EU, and ASA insists on strict enforcement of the EU's commitments. The U.S. has the reasonable expectation that (a) the subsidized area planted to oilseeds will not exceed the BHA level of about 4.9 million hectares plus the BHA-consistent area of new EU members and (b) oilseed production on set aside for industrial use will not exceed 1 million tons on a soybean meal equivalent basis. As the EU implements changes in its agricultural policies and implements new "energy crop" payments to encourage the growing of energy crops, including oilseeds, the U.S. Government must insist that the EU be in compliance with its obligations. The U.S. must insist that the EU policies, including single-form payments, energy crop payments, and biodiesel tax incentives, do not nullify or impair the zero-tariff binding for oilseeds the EU granted the U.S. in previous trade negotiations.

1.3.08 ASA insists that the Federal government ensure the countries that sign the WTO Agreement and Free Trade Agreements adhere to the provisions of these agreements.

1.3.09 ASA opposes any proposal to merge the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) with other trade agencies. ASA believes that USTR should remain an independent agency

within the Executive Office of the President, focusing on trade negotiations, trade agreements and trade enforcement.

177

1.3.10 ASA insists the office of U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) adhere to commitments made by the Administration to ASA preceding Senate ratification of WTO. These commitments include promoting domestic markets for soybean products.

181

1.3.11 ASA insists USDA, the U.S. Trade Representative and any other relevant agencies should be more aggressive in investigations and pursuing complaints against countries whose tariff structure and/or non-tariff barriers may violate said countries WTO and FTA obligations.

185

1.3.12 ASA strongly urges the U.S. Trade Representative to initiate a WTO complaint against the EU's discriminatory and non-science based traceability and labeling regulations.

188

1.3.13 ASA supports comprehensive WTO negotiations as the best means to increase
worldwide incomes and reduce trade barriers to soy and livestock products. ASA believes that
bilateral or regional Free Trade Agreement (FTA) negotiations should be focused toward those
countries that represent significant commercial markets for U.S. soybeans and products,
livestock products, and agricultural exports in general.

194 195

196

197

1.3.14 ASA strongly recommends that the WTO negotiations encompass all sectors as a comprehensive single undertaking. This means that all aspects of the negotiations should be included and implemented simultaneously in order to get the best results for U.S. agriculture, (i.e, No "early harvest" during the negotiations)

198199200

1.3.15 ASA supports substantial improvements in market access for soybeans and soybean products, including livestock, poultry and aquaculture products, as the top priority of the DOHA Development Round negotiating under the WTO.

202203204

201

1.3.16 ASA believes that any new WTO agreement reducing trade-distorting (i.e., "amber box") domestic support must reflect the extent to which market access is increased in developing and developed countries through reductions in tariffs and other measures.

206207208

205

1.3.17 ASA strongly supports maintaining the "de minimus" exemption of product and non-product specific support from reductions required in trade-distorting domestic programs.

209210

211 1.3.18 ASA strongly opposes any caps on "green box" domestic support policies that do not, or 212 only minimally, distort production or trade.

213

214 1.3.19 ASA strongly supports establishing a rules-based system for disciplining the use of export credits and similar government supported export financing programs.

216

217 1.3.20 ASA strongly supports defining Differential Export taxes as export subsidies that would 218 be subject to discipline and elimination in a Doha Round agreement.

219

220 1.3.21 ASA strongly supports the elimination of differential export taxes for oilseeds, oilseed products, and plant-based oil and products.

222

223 1.3.22 ASA opposes including disciplines on food assistance programs in the WTO negotiations.

224

225 1.3.23 ASA strongly opposes allowing countries to self-designate as "developing countries" for 226 the purpose of obtaining special and differential treatment under the WTO. ASA supports the 227 establishment of objective criteria for determining if a country is eligible to claim special and 228 differential treatment overall or for certain sectors.

229

230 1.3.24 ASA strongly opposes exempting government supported domestic transportation and marketing subsidy programs in developing countries from disciplines under the WTO.

232

233 1.3.25 ASA strongly opposes including in the WTO agreement the precautionary principle or 234 other food safety concerns that are not science based that could be used as a justification for 235 restricting market access.

236

237 1.3.26 ASA supports increasing funding of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) to a level 238 adequate to stabilize the economies of countries that are major markets for U.S. soybean and 239 soybean product exports, providing these countries adopt the necessary fiscal policy to correct 240 the conditions.

241

242 1.3.27 ASA supports trade contracts that protect buyers and sellers, but does not support unrealistic specifications that are designed to be non-tariff trade barriers.

244245

1.3.28 ASA supports value-added export programs that include U.S. soybeans and soybean products in their production or composition to meet the changing needs of the market.

246247248

249

250

1.3.29 ASA encourages that resources be utilized within USDA to develop a permanent cadre of veterinarian and plant pathologists to serve as trained negotiators whose sole mission is to resolve animal and plant health sanitary/phytosanitary trade barriers detrimental to the export of U.S. meat and animal products, and U.S. grains and oilseeds.

251252253

254

255

1.3.30 ASA encourages the American Oilseed Coalition (AOC) to continue the analysis and development of strategies for trade agreements, including WTO and Free Trade agreements determining how they impact the soybean producer and industry, and to report findings back to state and national soybean organizations on a timely basis.

256257

258 1.3.31 ASA strongly supports negotiation of a Free Trade Agreement (FTA) with Trans-Pacific 259 Partnership (TPP) countries and other countries that may join the negotiations in the future, 260 which may provide new market access opportunities for U.S. soybean, soybean products, 261 livestock products, and new soybean based products.

262263

264265

1.3.32 ASA supports "WTO-plus" sanitary and phytosanitary provisions that underscore the importance of harmonized, science-based regulations that are trade facilitative and fully enforceable.

- 267 1.3.33 ASA strongly opposes any product exclusions from Free Trade Agreements (FTA) on the 268 grounds that they serve as negative precedents for countries seeking to exclude soy or livestock 269 products.
- 270 1.3.34 ASA urges the Federal Government to take every possible action to prevent and mitigate the impact of any and all economically significant diseases of livestock and poultry.

273 1.3.35 ASA insists Congress and the Administration work tirelessly to ensure that any food 274 traceability laws and/or U.S. seed companies and shipper's contracts not transfer financial 275 liability onto U.S. producers due to grain shipments containing unapproved GMO grain traits.

- 1.3.36 ASA urges the soybean industry to work to ensure that financial liability for soybean products that contain unintended and unapproved traits in soybeans and products to be appropriated to the responsible parties.
- 281 1.3.37 ASA continues to strongly support free trade agreements as adopted without 282 addendums, and supports adopting future trade agreements without social and political 283 requirements being the basis for acceptance. Furthermore, ASA supports free trade 284 agreements that help increase soybean and meat exports.
 - 1.3.38 ASA recognizes the need for reasonable seed tolerance levels that allow for movement of seed within international trade. ASA believes that such tolerances, however, must be linked directly with accompanying tolerances for the resulting commodity products.
 - 1.3.39 ASA strongly opposes greenhouse gas restrictions or any other greenhouse gas regulations that would negatively affect the profitability of the U.S. soybean and livestock farmer.
 - 1.3.40 ASA opposes currency legislation or any action by Congress to unilaterally regulate the value of foreign currencies. ASA believes that currency legislation would create retaliatory actions that would negatively affect soybean trade. Instead, ASA supports an approach by the U.S. that engages the international community in its efforts to address global foreign exchange polices.
 - 1.3.41 ASA supports negotiation of a comprehensive Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) Agreement with the European Union that provides meaningful market access for exports of U.S. soybean products and that addresses trade barriers, including current EU biotechnology policies (delayed approval of traits, compliance by EU Member States with EU laws and regulations, and mandatory biotech labeling). The TTIP must also address the EU Renewable Energy Directive (RED) and the proposed Ecological Focus Area Program that would support soybean and high protein crop production in the EU. [MOVE AFTER 1.3.31]
 - 1.3.42 ASA supports efforts to improve the accountability, efficiency and effectiveness of the U.S. Government's agencies for engaging importing countries to resolve market access issues for all products in the soybean value chain including red meat by enhancing communication and coordination among the agencies with responsibility for agricultural trade.

1.4.00 Assistance to Developing Nations 315

1.4.01 ASA urges U.S. and multilateral institutions to consider long-term environmental 316 consequences and benefits when allocating funds to developing nations for projects that could 317 result in large-scale land clearing or deforestation. ASA strongly opposes U.S. tax dollars being 318 used by American and multilateral institutions and universities to fund competition for U.S. 319 soybeans and soybean products. 320

321

322 323

> 1.4.03 ASA urges the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) to continue its policy 324 of not funding programs that promote foreign production resulting in the exports of soybeans 325 and soybean products and would encourage that this policy be extended to crops which 326 compete with soybeans and soybean products. ASA encourages USAID to increase funding of 327 328

programs that expand international use of soybeans and soybean products and encourages USAID to support ASA in developing and implementing such programs.

329

330 331

332

333

334

335

1.4.04 The U.S. soybean farmers recognize that agricultural development in Least Developed Countries (LDC's) can help drive economic development worldwide. U.S. soybean farmers stand ready to work with participants in the soybean value chain targeting subsistence farmers to improve nutrition to their community, raise themselves from poverty and develop strong local markets providing such assistance complies with current agricultural policy and law (Bumpers Amendment of 1986).

336 337 338

339

340

1.4.05 ASA supports development of nutrition and devastating disease centers at interested land grant institutions for the purpose of linking opportunities and resources related to improving nutrition in populations suffering from diseases of pandemic and epidemic proportions.

341 342 343

344

345

1.4.06 ASA supports the Millennium Challenge Account for developing nations, provided that its efforts are consistent with resolutions 1.4.01 through 1.4.03, and that the administration use U.S. food and agricultural products to help meet development and food security targets set for Millennium Challenge beneficiaries.

346 347 348

1.4.07 ASA supports full funding for the Cochran Program.

349 350

351

355

356

357

358

359

- 1.5.00 Developing Countries Debt
- 1.5.01 ASA encourages the U.S. government to seek a solution to developing countries debt 352 that does not adversely impact the U.S. soybean industry. 353
- 354 ASA feels the solutions for these countries should include:
 - Using a greater share of their oilseed production for domestic consumption.
 - Developing their domestic economy.
 - Using conservation and environmental practices that prevent the degradation of their soil resources through nutrient mining and soil erosion.
 - Preserving rain forests.

6/10/2014 **VD** Session Page 9 • Funding nutrition programs including women, infant, children and/or school feeding, for their countries' population.

1.6.00 Government Export Promotion Programs

1.6.01 Foreign Market-Development Cooperator (FMD) Program

ASA strongly supports the FMD Program. FMD is a successful public/private partnership which is a cooperative, cost-share program between private industry groups that represent farmers and ranchers and the U.S. Government. ASA urges Congress to fund the FMD Program at a level of not less than \$50 million per year.

1.7.00 Market Access Program (MAP)

1.7.01 ASA supports continuation of MAP at current levels, or above, which will continue to increase exports, secure new and current markets and support the Administration's export goals under the National Export Initiative. Further MAP and FMD represent some of the highest returns on investment for soybean producers are currently green box, and should remain a high priority in the next Farm Bill, and in yearly appropriations bills.

1.7.02 In order to provide future stability to FMD and MAP funding levels, ASA should encourage FAS to establish an incentive based funding structure where by funding would be increased as exports rise.

1.8.00 Export Credit Guarantee Programs

ASA supports continuation of General Sales Manager (GSM) 102 export credit guarantee programs at a minimum funding level of \$4 billion and at the maximum amount necessary to fully utilize the program maintaining the tenor of up to twenty-four months.

- Allow extension of revolving credit lines to private entities as well as foreign countries in order to make these programs more flexible while reducing USDA's risks;
- Relax the "creditworthiness" determination that must be made in operating these
 programs by allowing the Secretary of Agriculture to consider the longer-term economic
 growth potential of a country, and economic policy reforms that are being instituted
 unilaterally or in conjunction with international financial institutions such as the
 International Monetary Fund and World Bank;
- Implement an infrastructure loan guarantee program that would allow GSM 102 guarantees to be used for specific import-related infrastructure projects in foreign countries that would result in increased U.S. agricultural exports to those countries;
- Allow the Secretary to permit, as appropriate, U.S. produced agricultural commodities that may contain less than 100% U.S. content to be covered under the programs.

1.9.00 Food Aid and International Assistance

1.9.01 The ASA recognizes that the vast majority of the world's population, and its highest growth rates, are in the developing world. Many of those people suffer from hunger and malnutrition, as well as poverty and low levels of economic development. Food aid plays a critical role in mitigating these conditions; in fact, many of our best export markets were at one time food aid recipients. The ASA and the product we represent, soy, can play a role in

strengthening food aid, fortifying diets and achieving the complementary goals of economic development and market growth.

1.9.02 ASA strongly supports uses of food in emergency and development assistance. ASA opposes budgetary reductions in developmental food aid to compensate for emergency shortfalls. ASA supports PL480 programs and total Title II budget at a level of \$1.5 billion.

- 1.9.03 ASA strongly supports all supplemental appropriations bills that will fill in gaps in food assistance and will address the following four points:
 - compensates for shortfalls in food aid budget;
 - provides food for additional emergency needs;
 - restores development assistance programs that were cut to divert food to emergencies;
 and
 - replenishes the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust, which is a backup reserve to provide food for emergency needs.

1.9.04 ASA supports the continued funding for the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program at no less than \$200 million, the Food for Progress program at no less than \$200 million and supports seeking additional funding from other U.S. and G-8 sources.

 1.9.05 ASA urges the U.S. Government to continue funding for global HIV/AIDS relief as well as incorporating nutrition in its programs. ASA is committed to work through WISHH on allocating funds and/or food through government food procurement to include soy protein in the diets of people affected by and/or infected with HIV/AIDS.

1.9.06 ASA is committed to developing relationships with commercial entities in the private sector to address and under-nutrition. WISHH will work with U.S. and developing world-based companies to use soy as a supplement to local foods and will reach out to both food processing companies and manufacturing companies to develop soy fortified, nutritious products.

1.9.07 ASA commends the Administration for its support of biotechnology and U.S. farmers' access to this important tool. ASA calls on the USDA and other agencies to minimize the threat of ill-conceived regulations that are hampering trade with the developing countries and even blocking shipments of food aid. Equally, the Administration should maximize the understanding in the developing countries that biotechnology can help address environmental challenges, augment nutrition and improve food security.

1.9.08 ASA strongly recommends the judicious use of soybeans or soybean products in food aid programs should not be precluded automatically by rigid stocks-to-use ratios. A minimum level of soybeans and soybean products should always be available for humanitarian assistance.

1.9.09 ASA believes strongly that in-kind food aid remains the most sustainable tool in the food
 aid toolbox. Local and regional purchases can be a useful tool for addressing global food
 insecurity, but they should work in coordination with current food aid programs. In-kind

451	donations should remain intact and funding for local purchases should come from the
452	appropriate foreign assistance budget.
453	
454	1.9.10
455	ASA opposes cash grant humanitarian assistance that replaces in-kind food aid, however, when
456	cash assistance is used, it shall avoid market disruption, be transparent, require strict
457	accountability, and serve to build resiliency in areas of continued food insecurity. (IN/OH/MI)
458	

II. DOMESTIC ISSUES AND FARM POLICIES

461 2.0.00 Preamble

2.0.01 The American Soybean Association (ASA) supports individual freedom and private
 initiative through the competitive enterprise system. ASA supports achieving a balanced
 federal budget and reducing the national debt through a comprehensive approach to deficit
 reduction that includes all entitlement programs and discretionary spending. Reductions in the
 cost of agriculture-related programs should be proportionate.

2.0.02 ASA supports the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) as the official governmental voice of agriculture.

2.0.03 ASA recommends that the Farm Service Agency (FSA) farmer elected county committee system be maintained at the county level, be comprised of elected operators/producers and have more authority on decisions at the local level and that county offices be structured to improve efficiency and provide expedient delivery of services to soybean farmers. If county offices are combined with an adjoining county and boards are merged, the make-up of the boards should be based upon agricultural acres of the two counties. (OH)

2.0.04 ASA recommends that all food safety, feed safety and environmental regulations concerning agriculture be coordinated with the USDA.

2.0.05 ASA supports the important work of USDA Rural Development to improve the quality of life and increase economic opportunity in Rural America. ASA encourages the long term funding of the grant, loan, and loan guarantee programs administered by USDA Rural Development.

2.0.06 ASA believes in the need to continue maintaining expanding, and promoting markets for identity preserved and specialty soybeans or soybean products. ASA also supports premium structures that reflect the additional costs of identity preservation.

2.0.07 ASA believes input benefits and economic impact on farmers and consumers should be considered in laws and regulations designed to protect endangered species. We favor exempting man-made agricultural structures from the Endangered Species Act.

2.0.08 ASA supports safe food products for domestic and foreign consumers. ASA understands that a safe food supply is vital to the people of the U.S. and the world. Therefore, ASA supports the maximum penalty under law on persons or groups found guilty of terrorist acts that could affect the food supply or the environment. Farmers should be held harmless from liability due to terrorist activities on their operations.

2.0.09 ASA opposes the actions of HSUS, PETA and all other radical activists groups whose efforts are to destroy American agriculture. ASA also supports education of the public as to the actions and agenda of these groups.

2.0.10 ASA strongly urges the Administration to act on the request by six members of Congress 504 to investigate the tax exempt status of HSUS. It is ASA's position that HSUS's lobbying activities 505 violate the 501(c)(3) exemption. 506 507 2.0.11 ASA supports the concept of tort reform as it relates to claims against agricultural 508 509 producers. 510 . (MO) 511 512 2.0.13 ASA supports a soy or bio-based buying preference for federal, state and local 513 government entities. 514 515 2.0.14 ASA recommends farmer representation and participation on all advisory, regulatory and 516 planning boards that affect agriculture at local, state and national levels. 517 518 2.0.15 ASA recommends that the Farm Service Agency (FSA) be the primary administrative 519 agency for USDA farm programs. 520 521 522 2.0.16 ASA recommends increased governmental funding to improve the antiquated information technology (IT) system of the Farm Service Agency (FSA). 523 524 2.0.17 ASA supports an adequate legal work force for agriculture production and processing. 525 ASA urges Congress to pursue and quickly implement policies that assure an adequate 526 workforce in all sectors of agriculture, and be implemented in a minimally disruptive way. 527 528 2.0.18 ASA supports legislation that mandates greater oversight of regulatory agencies by 529 Congressional bodies. 530 531 2.0.19 ASA recognizes that child safety is important. However, ASA opposes the Department of 532 Labor's proposing changes that limit the types of work minors could perform in agriculture. 533 534 2.0.20 ASA supports the process of streamlining the H2A work visa program. 535 536 537 2.0.21 ASA recognizes farm safety is an important issue. However, ASA opposes attempts by the Department of Labor to expand the jurisdiction of the Occupational Health and Safety 538 Authority to include farms with less than 10 employees. (OH) 539 540 541 2.0.22 ASA supports the implementation of the Sustainability Assurance Protocol and Soy Export Sustainability LLC to promote the sustainability of U.S. soybeans to buyers worldwide. 542 543 544 2.0.23 ASA strongly urges USDA to ensure the timely publication of agency reports, regardless of government status, to prevent adverse market effects. (ND) 545 546 547 **2.0.24** ASA strongly urges USDA to provide oversight and take all appropriate measures to improve and ensure the security of all agency reports, no matter the transmission mode, before 548

VD Session 6/10/2014 Page 14

549

their uniform release. (ND)

2.1.01 ASA recognizes the U.S. as the largest single market for soybeans. ASA places the expansion and maintenance of this market as a high priority.

2.1.02 ASA favors the promotion and use of registered soybean product logos to stimulate consumer awareness, thus encouraging expanded U.S. production, manufacturing and employment.

2.1.03 ASA encourages the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyard Administration (GIPSA) to work with grain and feed handlers and other industries to use soybean oil to control dust. ASA urges the insurance industry to consider rate reductions for those using soybean oil dust control systems.

2.1.04 ASA favors expanded promotion and research for new uses of soybeans and soybean products.

2.1.05 ASA opposes the inclusion of soybeans in any national or international strategic grain reserves.

- 2.2.00 Competition Policy
- 2.2.01 ASA urges strengthening the enforcement rules of antitrust laws and the Agricultural Fair
 Practices Act to protect the economic interests of America's farmers that may be affected by
 vertical integration and consolidation.

2.2.02 ASA supports the following changes to antitrust statutes and regulations that will further protect the sellers of commodities from anti-competitive behavior:

 The Department of Justice (DOJ) should ensure that proposed cooperative and/or vertical integration arrangements, if implemented, should continue to maintain independent producers access to markets;

 USDA should be more active in giving authority to review and provide recommendations to the DOJ on agribusiness mergers and acquisitions;

agriculture;
USDA should be empowered to investigate mergers, consolidation or concentration of agricultural input suppliers and processors for antitrust or anti-competitive activities.

A high level position should be maintained within the DOJ to enforce antitrust laws in

2.2.03 ASA urges Congress to review antitrust laws that may need to be brought up to date with scientific and business developments due to ongoing consolidations of seed and chemical companies.

2.2.04 ASA opposes mergers or acquisitions that could create a monopoly of production, production inputs and/or marketing of soybeans and products or otherwise reduce competition and/or increase production costs that would lead to lower income opportunities for soybean farmers.

596 597

598

599

600

2.2.05 ASA supports enabling trait providers and seed companies to access and use the data package of a patented biotech trait through agreements and established procedures for the purpose of preparing to register and commercialize generic versions of the trait after patent expiration. ASA supports efforts by the private sector or, if necessary, the federal government that facilitates this process.

601602603

604

- 2.3.00 Contract Production
- 2.3.01 ASA recognizes that contract production is a factor in poultry and hogs, and that specialty and designer soybeans will become a larger share of the soybeans we grow.

Therefore, ASA supports:

- Contracts written in plain language
- Farmer lien priority in the event the owner of the commodity files bankruptcy
- A 72 hour walk away provision for producers on production contracts

610 611

608

609

ASA opposes confidentiality clauses and arbitration as the only remedy in case of a dispute.

613

614 2.3.02 ASA advocates production contracts that allow for producers' liability to end when the 615 first purchaser accepts the product.

616 617

2.3.03 ASA recommends that farmers work together in cooperative ventures to gain increased access in a vertically integrated market environment.

618 619

ASA supports a voluntary national livestock traceability system in the U.S.

621 622

- 623 2.4.00 Soybean Policy
- 624 2.4.01 ASA will support a soybean program that:
- Provides no price floor to competitors of U.S. soybeans;
- Discourages government-owned stocks;
- 627 Opposes all set-asides;
- Supports a marketing loan at a level that enables soybeans to compete effectively with other major crops;
- Provides income protection for U.S. soybean farmers;
- Assists farmers to better manage risk;
- Allows equitable profit opportunities;
- Provides planting flexibility necessary for U.S. soybean farmers to base their decisions on market signals and respond to environmental and conservation concerns. Planting flexibility should be allowed on all cropland acres, without loss of benefits, including income support payments and loan eligibility; and
 - Allow producers who deliver their crops to retain beneficial interest until the transaction price is agreed upon.

638 639 640

641

637

2.4.02 ASA opposes Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loan origination fees. The CCC should not assess check-off fees on soybeans under loan unless they are forfeited to the CCC.

642	
643 644 645	2.4.03 ASA supports equitable treatment of soybean producers in the development and implementation of federal disaster and low price assistance programs.
646 647	2.4.04 ASA is opposed to a uniform national LDP rate.
648 649 650 651	2.4.05 ASA urges the Administration, the Federal Reserve and Congress to determine and address the detrimental effects of international currency exchange rates on U.S. soybean competitiveness.
652 653	2.4.06 ASA supports non-recourse marketing loans.
654 655 656 657 658	2.4.07 ASA supports amending the restriction on planting fruits and vegetables on program crop base acres to allow producers to preserve base history on acres planted to these crops while not being eligible for direct and counter-cyclical payments, except under the current exemption for double-cropping practices.
659 660 661	2.4.08 ASA supports programs that do not distort planting decisions and which are WTO compliant.
662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669	2.4.09 ASA strongly opposes efforts to require producers to lose beneficial interest in their commodities at the time they receive a Loan Deficiency Payment (LDP) or Marketing Loan Gain under the marketing loan program. ASA also strongly opposes proposals that would discriminate against planting soybeans by exempting crops that compete for acres with soybeans from the required loss of beneficial interest based on how these crops are marketed, or for any other reason.
670 671 672 673	2.4. 11 ASA opposes the forced diversion of any funds from one checkoff going to another checkoff without producer board approval. (IL)
674 675 676 677 678	2.4.12 ASA supports the use of federal crop insurance records and/or production evidence from three similar surrounding farms as an acceptable form of proving yields for federal farm programs.
680 681 682 683 684	2.4.12 ASA strongly supports the Agriculture Act of 2014, including improvements in the Federal crop insurance program, and opposes reopening this legislation. (MO)
685 686 687	2.4. 13 ASA encourages all first purchasers of soybeans to use the words "checkoff investment" when noting the deduction on payments and settlements. (MN)

688	
689	2.5.00 Farm Program Payments
690	2.5.01 ASA opposes limitations which impose means testing on Federal farm payments and
691	loans made to U.S. farmers. ASA opposes restricting eligibility for marketing loan gains or LDP's.
692	
693	2.5.02 ASA supports full funding of income support payments provided under farm legislation.
694	
695	2.5.03 ASA supports fair and equitable price determinations for LDP payments.
696	
697	2.5.04 ASA supports soybeans grown for non-traditional uses for all payments for which
698	commodity soybeans are eligible.
699	
700	2.5.05 ASA supports individual eligibility of a producer and spouse for equal program benefits.
701	
702	(IL)
703	
704	2.5.07 ASA supports the definition of actively engaged in farming in effect under the 2002 Farm
705	Bill.
706	
707	
708	
709	2.6.00 USDA Budget Accounting
710	2.6.01 ASA favors expressing farm program costs as net costs rather than total costs in the
711	federal budget.
712	
713	2.6.02 ASA supports Congressional review of budget forecasting by the Congressional Budget
714	Office (CBO).
715	
716	
717	2.7.00 Transportation
718	2.7.01 ASA supports efforts by the Soy Transportation Coalition to address issues affecting the
719	marketing and transport of oilseeds, grains, and their products.
720	
721	2.7.02 ASA supports directing federal infrastructure funding toward improving commercial
722	transportation, including locks and dams and rural roads and bridges.
723	
724	2.7.03 ASA opposes any new labeling of soy oil that would restrict its current transportation
725	status.
726	
727	2.7.04 ASA supports an infrastructure funding framework that allows for public and private
728	investment in the U.S. commercial transportation system to ensure U.S. soybeans and soybean
729	products will be delivered to domestic and international markets in a timely and cost effective
730	manner.
731	
732	[MOVED – NEW 2.8.06]

2.7.05 ASA encourages the Federal Aviation Administration to meet their September 2015 deadline for providing reasonable regulations for the use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) in production agriculture. Further, these regulations should not encumber the adoption or use of this valuable technology in production agriculture. (IL)

- 2.8.00 Waterways Transportation & Infrastructure
- 2.8.01 ASA urges the Department of Transportation (DOT), the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), other agencies, and private industry to ensure an infrastructure allowing U.S. soybeans to be delivered to domestic and international markets in a timely and cost-effective manner.

2.8.02 ASA supports maintenance and improvements of the U.S. waterway and navigation systems and that ASA be actively involved with other groups to improve the Mississippi River Waterway System.

2.8.03 ASA believes that monies deposited into the Inland Waterways Trust Fund should be used for new construction and major rehabilitation of navigation infrastructure. ASA supports additional and alternative financing measures to supplement the Inland Waterways Trust Fund (IWTF) to provide sufficient funds for new construction, major rehabilitation, and maintenance needs. ASA supports additional and alternative financing measures to provide a steadier and more reliable funding stream that is needed to reduce U.S. Army Corps of engineers project costs and timeframe for completion.

2.8.04 ASA urges the Department of Transportation (DOT), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and other responsible agencies to expedite the process of rebuilding and reconstructing flood control structures. ASA opposes proposed Master Water Control Manuals that would cause seasonal flooding or restricted barge traffic on the nation's waterways. ASA opposes any diversion of river flows that would adversely impact barge transportation.

 ASA supports Missouri River master plan alternatives that keep navigation and inland drainage as top priorities.

ASA supports keeping all river navigation systems important to agriculture open for use.

 ASA supports maintaining full funding for all river navigation systems.
ASA supports legislation that defunds Missouri River Authorized Purpose Study (MRAPS)

 ASA opposes the actions by FEMA to expand a flood plain designation. [MOVED FROM 2.8.12]

2.8.05 ASA urges Congress to adopt legislation to minimize dock strikes that interrupt the flow of soybeans and other farm commodities to our overseas customers.

2.8.06 ASA supports the maintenance and improvement of river locks and dams which maintain water-shipping levels in a manner that sustains barge traffic and does not inhibit agricultural production. We believe available funding should first be directed toward maintaining and preserving the existing system. If additional funding becomes available we support the construction of new 1,200 foot lock chambers at Lock and Dam 20-25 on the Upper Mississippi River and LaGrange and Peoria on the Illinois River along with the modification and expansion of other structures and facilities that increase transportation efficiency. (IA)

779

2.8.07 ASA supports the further development of West Coast Shipping of Midwest soybeans and soy products.

2.8.08 The Jones Act should be amended so as to allow the shipment on the lowest cost vessels of U.S. agricultural commodities from one U.S. port to another. ASA also supports an exemption for bulk agricultural commodities from the Jones Act.

785

2.8.09 ASA supports the aggressive pursuit of container shipping of soybeans and soybean products.

788

2.8.10 ASA supports the free movement of goods both domestically and internationally. ASA urges the U.S. government to be vigilant against any undue restrictions by foreign entities.

791 792

793

794

795

2.8.11 ASA urges the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Congress to take actions necessary to ensure that sufficient funding is provided for dredging all ports and waterways including the Great Lakes and the St. Lawrence Seaway, to maintain the maximum authorized navigable depth to ensure the free movement of soybeans and soybean product domestically and internationally. (IN/OH/MI)

796 797 798

[MOVED TO 2.8.04]

799

- 2.8.13 ASA supports legislation to require that all funds collected for the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund (HMTF) are used for the intended purposes of waterways dredging and port maintenance.
- 2.8.14 Producers should be made whole when the government chooses to intentionally flood farmland and farm property

805 806

2.8.15 ASA urges the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (USACOE) to recognize flood control and navigation as their top priority. ASA also urges that their funding reflects and supports these priorities.

808 809

807

[SENT TO NATURAL RESOURCES FOR REVIEW]

810811

- 812 **2.9.00** Railroad
- 2.9.01 ASA supports legislative efforts to promote increased competition in the rail industry to foster better service and lower rates.

815

2.9.02 ASA supports Canada Pacific/DM&E Railroad modernization and expansion projects in the North Central states. In addition, ASA supports railroad modernization and expansion from other U.S. production areas to Southeastern states, where imported soybeans and soybean products may have a price advantage over domestically grown soybeans because of transportation issues.

821

2.9.03 ASA supports strong state and federal assistance in maintaining low volume rail facilities in rural areas of the country.

824

825	2.9.04 ASA encourages alternative access for farmers in the event of railroad grade closings
826	during and following railroad development.
827 828	2.9.05 ASA supports reform of the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to address
829	competitiveness issues.
	competitiveness issues.
830 831	2.9.06 ASA supports the development of increased railroad capacity to Pacific Northwest export
832	facilities to help maintain the U.S. reputation for timely delivery of soybeans. (ND) [reinstate]
833	identities to help maintain the o.s. reputation for timely delivery of soybeans. (No) [remstate]
834	2.10.00 Highways & Roads
835	2.10.01 ASA opposes states or local municipalities enacting lower weight limits on primary
836	roads going through their jurisdiction without providing alternative routes.
650	roads going through their jurisdiction without providing afternative routes.
837	2.10.02 ASA supports expanding the truck weight limits on federal interstate highways to a
838	minimum of 97,000 pounds, provided that there is a 6 th axle.
839	
840	2.10.03 ASA urges State and Federal Department of Transportation to update roads and bridges
841	to accommodate all modern implements of husbandry, trucks and trailers per Federal DOT
842	definition.
843	
844	
845	2.11.00 Edible Oil Content Labeling
846	2.11.01 ASA will cooperate with all interested parties in educating consumers about the health
847	benefits of soybean oil.
848	
849	2.11.02 ASA supports exempting refined vegetable oil from any domestic or international
850	labeling requirement based on allergenicity or hypersensitivity.
851	2.44.02.464 agreements Food and Dura Administration/s mule that require a label to contain an
852	2.11.03 ASA opposes the Food and Drug Administration's rule that permits a label to contain an
853	optional listing of vegetable oils. Consumers should be provided with clear information on the
854	type and amount of vegetable oil that the product contains.
855	2.11.04 ASA supports front of package labeling on processed foods indicating the level of
856	2.11.04 ASA supports front of package labeling on processed foods indicating the level of saturated fat content on a per serving basis.
857	Saturated fat content off a per serving basis.
858 859	
860	2.12.00 Soy Foods
861	2.12.01 ASA opposes local, state and federal laws, tariffs and regulations that discriminate
862	against the use of soy oil or protein in foods.
863	against the use of soy on of protein in roous.
864	2.12.02 ASA supports the increased use of soy protein in foods and beverages, including foods
865	used in federal nutrition programs and opposes the protein restrictions for school lunches.
866	asea in reactal natifical programs and opposes the protein restrictions for school functies.
867	2.12.03 ASA encourages producers to grow high protein and oil soybean varieties, and
868	encourages purchasers to pay a premium for these varieties.
	Oze har erreger as half a hi surrani. (e. 191000 tar.)

VD Session 6/10/2014 Page 21

868 869

2.12.04 ASA supports the reimbursable option for fortified soymilk in the federal school lunch and breakfast programs without the requirement of a doctor's note.

2.13.00 Crop Insurance

2.13.01 Crop insurance should not be tied to specific environmental issues, cultural practices or conservation compliance.

2.13.02 ASA supports Crop Insurance Program reform that eliminates inadequacies of the current program for specific crops and regions. Subsidies should be increased at the higher levels of coverage to ensure that all producers can obtain affordable coverage for 85% of their crop based on actual historical yield. A more accurate and equitable rating system, responsive to multiyear disasters, and recognition of producer history must be integral components of program reform. Understanding that crop insurance is a valuable risk management tool, ASA supports policy that broadens the base of risk management tools, subsidized or otherwise. ASA also supports expanded development of revenue protection programs to insure that all producers can manage production and price risk at an affordable cost.

2.13.03 ASA urges the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) to establish representative farmer advisory committees at both the federal and state levels to provide input into the process of evaluating and revising farming methods to be included for coverage.

2.13.04 ASA should work towards the following changes being made to the crop insurance program.

On land rated as high risk due to a specific peril, such as flooding, we recommend the
attachment of a rider for that peril which will allow the producer to buy up additional
coverage for other perils at regular rates.

• FSA tract numbers should be used to determine units.

 • The actual loss in crop value attributed to crop quantity and quality discounts should be fully covered by crop insurance.

 Allow a producer that has had a Federal disaster declaration in their county/parish to delete those yields from their APH.

2.13.05 ASA supports changing the federal crop insurance regulations so that once a farmer has filed a claim and has provided all necessary information pertaining to the claim, the insurance company should have no more than 30 days to get a claim processed and paid. After this deadline, the insurance company should be required to pay interest on the outstanding claim until said claim is settled. Also, while that claim is outstanding, the insurance company cannot charge late fees or interest to the farmer's account for any outstanding premium due for the crop the claim has been filed on.

2.13.06 ASA supports efforts of states or regions that double-crop soybeans to compile the production and yield data necessary to allow FSA and RMA to adjust final planting dates without penalty on a sound actuarial basis.

2.13.07 ASA recommends that in counties where the practice of double-cropping is recognized as an acceptable practice that RMA rules requiring the exact double-crop practice in two out of the last four years be eliminated. (M-A)

918

2.13.08 ASA supports FCIC and RMA to re-review the actuarial rating process and rates for center pivot irrigating practice on all crops on a state by state basis.

921

2.13.09 ASA supports alternative private revenue protection products or insurance be made available for soybean farmers to use as risk management tools in addition to the current federal crop insurance program.

925

2.13.10 ASA supports a crop insurance premium discount for insured acres through the Risk
 Management Agency (RMA) for recognized crop rotations that decrease pest incidence and
 efficient nitrogen usage while increasing yield and profitability.

929

2.13.11 ASA supports moving the Federal Crop Insurance premiums due date be to September
 30 of each fiscal year.

932

2.13.12 ASA opposes cuts to the Federal crop insurance program.

934

2.13.13 The ASA strongly urges Risk Management Agency (RMA) and Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) to reinstate Group Risk Plan (GRP) and Gross Revenue Insurance Plan (GRIP) policies where they are no longer available.

938 939

940

941

2.13.14 ASA recognizes that the interaction between a farmer and their crop insurance agent and the amount of service provided by the agent to the farmer exceeds that of other types of insurance. ASA urges the Risk Management Agency (RMA) to consider this when considering the Standard Reinsurance Agreement (SRA).

942 943 944

945

946

2.13.15 ASA requests the Risk Management Agency (RMA) to use a fair and equitable formula based off of actual production history (APH) when establishing a historical yield for specialty beans/output trait soybeans. These plug yields should be used for years in which specialty soybean history is unavailable.

947 948 949

2.13.16 ASA supports private insurance carriers delivering crop insurance programs and opposes having FSA selling and servicing Federal Crop Insurance.

950951952

2.13.17 As soybean farmers adopt new traits that are covered under Federal Crop Insurance, ASA urges that:

953954955

1. Crop insurance agents to be trained to explain all allowable options available to clients regarding insuring specialty and commodity soybeans.

957 958

959

956

2. RMA strive to verify yield correlation between existing APH transfer to specialty soybeans where appropriate.

2.13.18 ASA strongly urges the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture to make sure that one of the appointments to the Board of the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation has a major financial interest in the production of commodity soybeans.

963 964

965

966

967

2.13.19 ASA believes cover crops offer economic benefits including improved soil quality while at the same time reducing erosion off site movements of nutrients. To allow further adoption ASA supports practical Risk Management Agency (RMA) policies allowing producers flexibility to destroy cover and still insure spring planted crops. In all cases producers most continue best management practices.

968969970

2.13.20 ASA supports the trend adjusted APH endorsement for crop insurance, and encourages RMA to make it available to all soybean farmers for the 2015 crop year and beyond.

971972973

- 974 2.14.00 Equity Protection of Grain
- 2.14.01 ASA should work with the USDA, other organizations and governmental agencies at the state and federal level to develop adequate protection for farmer's equity in the event of grain warehouse or dealer failure.

978

2.14.02 ASA opposes any Federal warehouse dealer regulation that would supersede State licensing and warehouse regulations when it offers less protection for farmers.

981 982

983

984

2.14.03 ASA supports grain warehouse regulations under which producers are provided a receipt to prove ownership upon delivery of grain, whether the grain is sold immediately or is delivered for storage.

985 986

- 987 2.15.00 Soybean Trading
- 2.15.01 ASA should work closely with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the CME Group to ensure that the system works fairly for all traders. ASA supports the public outcry trading system at the CME Group, but also encourages worldwide electronic trading on commodity exchanges.

992

2.15.02 ASA opposes a merger of the CFTC and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) or the transfer of futures regulation to any agency other than CFTC.

995 996

2.15.03 ASA supports the CFTC in its ongoing efforts to implement an instantaneous, verifiable audit system for commodity futures trading.

997 998

2.15.04 ASA opposes federal regulation of margin levels for futures contracts and on options contracts. ASA opposes transaction fees on commodity trading which will inhibit the trading of soybeans and soybean products.

1002

2.15.05 ASA believes any futures or options transactions that offset a current or anticipated
 cash commodity position and reduce price or basis risk should be considered a hedging position

1005 and not speculating. Gain or loss from a hedging or option position should be considered by the IRS as ordinary gain or loss for either personal or corporate tax returns. 1006 1007 1008 2.15.06 ASA strongly advocates and proposes a change in tax law to treat call options that are 1009 tied to a cash sale the same as a put option with gains taxed as ordinary income and losses 1010 100% deductible in the year they are incurred. 1011 1012 2.15.07 ASA supports improvement of federal oversight of commodity hedge funds to ensure the integrity of the futures trading system. 1013 1014 1015 1016 2.16.00 Farm Continuation and Tax Policy 1017 2.16.01 ASA encourages more funding and quicker distribution of funds for beginning farmers through the FSA Direct Loan Program, special young farmer loans, Farm Credit Associations and 1018 other sources. The percentage of residence allowance for beginning farmer loans should be 1019 1020 raised from 5% to 20%. 1021 1022 2.16.02 ASA supports efforts that would allow proceeds from the sale of qualified farm assets 1023 to be treated as an individual farmer retirement account to defer current capital gains taxes to 1024 a future date. 1025 1026 2.16.03 ASA supports continuation of the estate tax exemption of \$5 million per individual with a 100% spousal exemption, indexed to inflation with continuation of stepped up basis, and 1027 return to a maximum tax rate of 35%. Special use valuation should include all land staying in 1028 1029 production agriculture for minimum of 15 years. 1030 1031 2.16.04 ASA favors implementation of \$500,000 in lifetime tax credits for sale of used farm 1032 equipment. 1033 2.16.05 ASA supports maintaining the current three-year income averaging programs for 1034 agricultural producers, including family farm corporations. 1035 1036 1037 2.16.06 ASA supports an increase in the maximum gift tax exemption and is opposed to the 1038 application of the Alternative Minimum Tax on Schedule F. 1039 2.16.07 ASA urges that an individual who rents land or equipment to a family farm corporation, 1040 1041 partnership, Limited Liability Corporation or any other farming entity not be subject to selfemployment tax on rental income. 1042 1043 1044 2.16.08 ASA supports a change in the U.S. tax code to allow tax deductibility for permanent conservation practices to landowners that cash rent their land. 1045 1046

VD Session 6/10/2014 Page 25

2.16.09 ASA opposes double taxation when dissolving corporations.

include up to \$500,000 value of farm real estate.

2.16.10 ASA supports the proposed expansion of the tax exclusion on the sale of residences to

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051 2.16.11 ASA supports a federal tax credit for farmers' investment in value-added agricultural 1052 1053 ventures. ASA encourages federal support to provide technical assistance to commercialize 1054 value-added products as well as business structure assistance for farmer-owned value-added 1055 companies. ASA supports a federal tax credit for farmer's investment in value-added agricultural processing ventures. 1056 1057 1058 2.16.12 ASA supports extending the time period allowed for reinvestment of capital gains from 1059 45 days to 12 months. 1060 2.16.13 ASA supports the full deductibility of health insurance premiums and contributions to 1061 Health Savings Accounts (HSA's) by the self-employed and supports equitable treatment for 1062 1063 self-employed people under any new health care legislation. 1064 1065 2.16.14 ASA supports enactment of Federal legislation that would help to lower the cost of Group Health Insurance plans by allowing participation in the Group regardless of state of 1066 residence. 1067 1068 1069 2.16.15 ASA supports that real estate sold must maintain its current tax status when sold to Government entities or nonprofit groups or organizations, exempting religious institutions up 1070 1071 to 20 acres, as long as they maintain ownership. 1072 2.16.16 ASA recognizes the estate tax to be a major obstacle in farm transition planning and 1073 would prefer that it be eliminated regardless of estate valuation. 1074 1075

1076

1077

2.16.17 ASA strongly urges that the Section 179 election dollar limitation be returned to \$500,000 per year. In addition, ASA urges the reinstatement of the Accelerated First Year Depreciation or Bonus Depreciation allowance up to \$250,000 per year. (ND)

1078 1079

1080 2.16.18 ASA supports reinstating 2013 accelerated cost recovery system (ARCS) depreciation. 1081 (IL) (KS/NE/OK)

1082 1083

2.16.19 ASA opposes any proposal to require farmers to use accrual rather than cash accounting. (MO)

1084 1085

2.17.00 Biodiesel and Bio-Based Products 1086

1087 2.17.01 ASA supports the development of educational programs that provide science based information on energy conservation, energy management, renewable resources, and the 1088 1089 environment to consumers.

1090

1091 2.17.02 ASA encourages state soybean associations and all biodiesel stakeholders to enhance biodiesel as a renewable fuel and energy source through communications and marketing 1092 efforts. 1093

1094

1095 2.17.03 ASA urges state associations to work with state officials to:

> 6/10/2014 **VD** Session Page 26

- Define biodiesel as a fuel comprised of mono-alkyl esters of long chain fatty acids derived from vegetable oils or animal fats, designated B100, and meeting the requirements of ASTM D6751.
 - Adopt the most current version of ASTM D6751 as the specification for biodiesel used as
 a blend stock with diesel fuels, as well as future biodiesel or biodiesel blend
 specifications approved by ASTM.
 - Encourage state officials to actively enforce the adopted biodiesel related fuel specification standard
 - Encourage the adoption and enforcement of BQ9000 for feedstock production facilities and marketers of biodiesel

2.17.04 ASA supports and endorses the inclusion of soybeans and soybean-based product applications and blends of such products in the development and implementation of any potential alternative and/or renewable fuel policy programs. ASA only supports the ASTM designation of D6751 as the quality standard for biodiesel.

2.17.05 ASA supports the development of state and federal legislation that promotes biodiesel and biodiesel blends through:

Tax incentive

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

11051106

1107

11081109

1110

1111

1114

1115

11161117

1121

1124

1127

1131

11321133

11341135

1139

- Minimum renewable fuel content requirements that include biodiesel blends
- Programs that promote biodiesel blends of 2% minimum or higher
- Energy security measures that reduce U.S. dependence on foreign oil sources
- Use of biodiesel as a fuel additive to improve the lubricity of ultra low sulfur diesel fuel
 for on and off road applications including railroads
- Federal and state grants or programs for establishing biodiesel infrastructure.

2.17.06 ASA strongly supports policies that encourage all diesel fuel and diesel-powered vehicles to use biodiesel or a biodiesel blend.

2.17.07 ASA favors continued rebate of Federal and State fuel taxes on fuels used in non-highway uses.

2.17.08 ASA encourages petroleum distributors to provide biodiesel blends at all stations across the country to enhance our nation's energy security, improve our air quality and reduce our dependence on foreign oil.

2.17.09 ASA strongly recommends continued national education and research in the use of soy oil and other alternative sources of energy from renewable agricultural products. ASA supports the Biodiesel Education Program as authorized in the 2014 Farm Bill.

2.17.10 ASA supports increased lifecycle credit for the use of agricultural residues for the production of bio-based methanol for the use in biodiesel production, and the use of local, state and national funding for its promotion and research.

2.17.11 ASA urges that the benefits of the Federal biodiesel tax credit are captured by the end user.

1142 2.17.12 ASA supports the use of biodiesel for electrical generation, industrial and home heating 1143 1144 purposes. 1145 2.17.13 ASA supports the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS2) that reflects the expansion of the 1146 renewable fuels industry for biodiesel and ethanol and opposes any changes that would reduce 1147 1148 obligations or otherwise negatively impact the biodiesel industry. [NEW – 2.17.32] 1149 2.17.14 ASA supports labeling at the fuel pump when biodiesel is at or above 6%, as defined by American Standard for Testing Materials (ASTM) and the National Council on Weights and 1150 1151 Measures (NCWM). 1152 2.17.15 ASA supports U.S. production of biodiesel fuel using domestic feed stocks. 1153 1154 2.17.16 ASA encourages the National Biodiesel Board to work aggressively with the Original 1155 Equipment Manufacturers to include blends of up to 20% biodiesel (B20) in their engine 1156 1157 warranty policy. 1158 1159 2.17.17 ASA recognizes the immense role that agriculture can play in meeting our nation's 1160 energy needs. ASA endorses the goal of securing 25% of the U.S. energy supply from America's farms, forests and rangeland by the Year 2025. The benefits to the environment, fuel security 1161 and the economic stimulus for rural areas justify the sizable investment in a new energy future. 1162 ASA supports the 25 x 25 initiative. 1163 1164 1165 2.17.18 ASA supports the establishment of national fuel quality testing centers. 1166

2.17.19 ASA supports nationwide fuel quality testing at the appropriate governmental level to ensure the highest quality in all fuels. (OH)

1169 1170

1171

117211731174

1175

1176

1177

1180

1184

1185

1186

2.17.20 ASA supports the development of technologies to produce additional renewable energy products (including but not limited to cellulosic ethanol, soy meal derived ethanol or biobutanol, and hydrogen from soybean sources).

2.17.21 ASA encourages the development and usage of technologies addressing cold flow properties in the production of soy biodiesel. ASA supports any new retail devices including but not limited to blender pumps to distribute various blends of biodiesel at the level desired by the customer.

1178
 2.17.22 ASA supports affordable electricity from renewable and domestically produced sources.

2.17.23 ASA recommends that the economic impacts on production agriculture, including both crops and livestock, must be analyzed prior to any consideration by the EPA of a Renewable Fuel Standards (RFS2) waiver request.

2.17.24 ASA recognizes and supports the role agriculture can and should play in the U.S. food and fuel security. ASA believes the bio-fuels industry utilizes co-products from agricultural

production to reduce U.S. dependence on foreign oil as well as lower carbon emission, enabling 1187 the U.S. to become more energy independent. 1188 1189 1190 2.17.25 ASA supports the work that the National Biodiesel Board (NBB) has done to support practices and promote the use of sustainable feed stocks and biodiesel production methods. 1191 1192 1193 2.17.26 ASA supports that any policy involving direct and indirect land use metrics be based on 1194 multi-disciplinary science based and verifiable, transparent data so that biodiesel's impact on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions is accurately assessed. 1195 1196 1197 2.17.27 ASA strongly supports extension of the federal biodiesel tax credit for 2014 and 1198 beyond. ASA supports restructuring the biodiesel tax credit from a blender's credit to a production credit. 1199 1200 1201 2.17.28 ASA supports federal energy legislation which includes soy biodiesel incentives and 1202 supports-biodiesel as an advanced renewable fuel. 1203 1204 2.17.29 ASA strongly supports biodiesel as a domestically produced commercially available 1205 biomass based diesel fuel that meets EPA's definition of an advanced biofuel to meet RFS2 obligation. 1206 1207 1208 2.17.30 ASA supports a home heating oil checkoff program that promotes U.S. soy biodiesel (bioheat) in home heating oil markets. 1209

2.17.31 ASA opposes a fuel tax use for building and maintaining rural bike paths. (MN)

2.17.32 ASA encourages USB to continue to support research to advance soy biodiesel and soy bio-based products. (ND)

2.18.00 Property Rights

12101211

12121213

1214

1215 1216 1217

12221223

122412251226

1227

12281229

12301231

1232

2.18.01 ASA endorses private property rights as set forth in the United States Constitution. ASA believes that farmers should be adequately compensated for loss in property value or income due to unsubstantiated land claims, environmental regulations such as endangered species, wetlands and other government regulations. We also support a strong "Right to Farm" law.

2.18.02 Government agencies developing flood control projects must ensure that any damages caused by the project to surrounding property owners be fairly compensated.

2.18.03 ASA realizes that production data such as field maps, soil tests, production records, images and input records have monetary value. ASA believes this information gathered by GPS, GIS, or other precision farming practices remain the sole property of the owner and/or operator, or their agent, based on their respective investment, regardless if the data collection was voluntary or involuntary. This information should not be used, released or sold without consent.

- [WITHDRAWN BY IL] 1233
- ASA realizes that production data such as field maps, soil tests, production records, images and 1234
- input records have monetary value. ASA believes that information gathered by GPS, GIS, or 1235
- 1236 other precision farming practices remain the sole property of the owner and or operator or
- their agent, based on their respective investment, regardless if the data collection was 1237
- 1238 voluntary or involuntary. This information should not be used, released or sold without the
- 1239 owner's consent.

1240

- 1241 ASA recognizes proprietary data collected from farming and agricultural operations is valuable. 1242 should remain the property of the farmers and warrants protection, which includes the right of
- a farmer to have access to their own data regardless of when it was shared with a company. 1243
- 1244 (IA)

1245

- ASA supports national agricultural organizations working together on behalf of farmers and 1246
- with industry to develop industry and data standards to clarify policy for the acquisition, 1247
- ownership, valuation and utilization of agricultural data and to provide educational 1248
- opportunities for farmers on best use practices. 1249

1250

- 1251 ASA opposes any entity from serving as a data clearinghouse for all proprietary data without
- prior written farmer consent from the farmer who owns the data. (IL) 1252

1253

- 1254 2.18.04 ASA supports national agriculture organizations working together on behalf of farmers
- to clarify policy for the acquisition, ownership, valuation and utilization of agricultural data and 1255
- 1256 to provide educational opportunities for farmers on best use practices. (IA)

1257

- 2.18.05 ASA opposes condemnation or mandatory restrictions that postpone or restrict the 1258
- property rights of landowners without just compensation. ASA opposes the condemnation of 1259
- 1260 land for recreation purposes such as trails, parks, wildlife areas and wetlands, and for purposes
- 1261 of economic development. ASA also supports a process that provides reasonable advanced
- warning of right-of-way encroachment. 1262

1263

- 2.18.06 ASA supports voluntary and practical programs for buying land development rights in 1264 1265
 - order to preserve the land as green space or for farming.

1266

- 2.18.07 ASA supports not holding landowners responsible for costs associated with unlawful 1267
- acts committed by others on a landowner's property. 1268

1269

- 2.18.08 ASA opposes the use of Eminent Domain for taking wind, mineral, water, solar or 1270
- 1271 development rights.

1272

- [MOVED TO NATURAL RESOURCES FOR REVIEW] 1273
- 1274 2.18.09 ASA supports requiring all public entities to maintain their drainage ditches at the
- 1275 proper designed depth.

1276

6/10/2014 **VD Session** Page 30

2.18.10 ASA opposes involuntary annexation by municipalities, and supports restrictions on the 1277 ability of municipal governments to encroach or infringe on agricultural land unless the farmer 1278 1279 is fairly compensated. 1280 [MOVED TO NATURAL RESOURCES FOR REVIEW] [MOVED TO NATURAL RESOURCES FOR 1281 1282 REVIEW] 1283 2.18.14 ASA supports a requirement that wetlands, government owned lands and private 1284 conservation lands should be used as a first choice, instead of farmland, when routing public 1285 utilities and roadways. 1286

- [MOVED TO NATURAL RESOURCES FOR REVIEW] [MOVED TO NATURAL RESOURCES FOR 1287 **REVIEW**1 1288
- 1289 2.18.15 ASA opposes federal executive orders that could deprive farmers of private property 1290 rights.

1291

1292 2.18.16 ASA opposes the 3.8% tax on passive income recently instated by the Federal 1293 government to help fund national health care. Reinstate and make new section Move to section 1294 2.16.20

1295 1296

1297 2.18.17 ASA supports national agriculture organizations working together on behalf of farmers 1298 to clarify policy for the acquisition, ownership, valuation and utilization of agricultural data and 1299 to provide educational opportunities for farmers on best use practices. (IA) [duplicate 1300 language]

1301

1302 2.18.18 ASA wants to ensure the ownership and security of precision data collected by farmers. (MO) 1303

1304 1305

- 2.19.00 Financing 1306
- 2.19.01 ASA supports the participation of all types of lenders to provide businesses with loans 1307 or other financial arrangements to add value and find new uses for agricultural commodities. 1308

1309

1310 2.19.02 ASA will support the Farm Credit Service (FCS) as a farmer-owned and controlled financial cooperative to meet the needs of agriculture. 1311

1312

1313 2.19.03 ASA opposes any restructuring of FCS that replaces farmer-elected members of FCS Boards with commercial bankers or expands bank access to FCS funding. 1314

1315

1316 2.19.04 ASA believes the Farm Service Agency (FSA) should have the ability to make direct loans and loan guarantees sufficient to meet producer requirements for operating funds that are not 1317 met by other farm lending institutions. 1318

1319

1321

- 2.19.05 ASA supports adequate funding for FSA credit programs including: 1320
 - Increase of guaranteed loan limits:
- Increase of the subsidy rate on guaranteed loans; 1322

- Interest assistance for guaranteed farm ownership loans
 - To fully fund the direct Farm Ownership Loan Program;
 - Extended lifetime loan eligibility for FSA credit programs;
 - Waiver of the 1% fee for guaranteed loans;
 - Interest assistance on loans for building farmer owned grain facilities.

13271328

1324

1325

1326

2.19.06 ASA supports a farm financial and production standards system.

1330

2.19.07 ASA supports the USDA farm storage facility loan program with this exception. ASA recommends to USDA-FSA to establish terms of the loans to be the same regardless of the dollar amount of the loan.

1334

- 1335
- 1336 2.20.00 Industrial Uses of Soybeans
- 2.20.01 ASA supports incentives for the use of bio-based products. ASA supports expanding
- 1338 USDA's bio-preferred product list and an equitable tax credit for bio-based products. ASA
- encourages the USDA to actively provide the bio-based product label in order to increase
- acceptance and use of soy-based products by consumers and industry.

1341

- 2.20.02 ASA urges private and government agencies to continue to develop, promote and use
- products made with soybeans, including biodiesel, fuel additives, soy soap stock for dust
- control, soy ink and soy-based industrial lubricants and all other industrial products.

1345

- 2.20.03 ASA recommends that government and industry work together to develop the controls
- necessary to ensure that non-food/non-feed soybeans are kept identity-preserved and
- segregated from food and feed grade soybeans.

1349

- 2.20.04 ASA encourages all state entities, including colleges and universities, to purchase bio-
- based products when they are readily available, of equal or better quality and do not cost
- significantly more than the traditional product.

1353

1354

- 1355 2.21.00 Biotechnology and Nanotechnology
- 2.21.01 ASA strongly supports biotechnology and nanotechnology and believes the
- development of biotechnology-enhanced and nanotechnology crop varieties and products will
- benefit farmers, consumers and the environment. ASA believes biotechnology and
- nanotechnology are key tools that will help us meet growing world food, health and energy
- needs. ASA believes that it is critical that USDA, EPA and FDA operate a timely, efficient,
- predictable, and science based regulatory system for deregulation of new biotech traits.

1362

- 1363 2.21.02 ASA strongly supports the development and commercialization in the U.S. marketplace
- of new biotech soybean products that will enhance the profitability of U.S. soybean farmers,
- make soybeans a more competitive cropping choice for farmers, and enhance the ability of U.S.
- soybean farmers to compete in both domestic and international markets.

1367

U.S. Regulatory Clearances for Biotech Soybeans Intended for Food & Feed Use
 For new biotech soybean products intended for domestic food or feed use, ASA expects
 biotech and seed companies to obtain full food, feed, and environmental regulatory
 clearances from U.S. regulatory agencies before a new biotech product is
 commercialized. Until such clearances are obtained, ASA expects biotech and seed
 companies to institute the strict controls necessary to ensure that the new biotech
 product is kept completely out of all domestic and export food, feed, and planting seed
 channels.

- U.S. Regulatory Clearances for Biotech Soybeans Not Intended for Food & Feed Use (e.g., Industrial or Pharmaceutical Use)
 For new biotech soybean products not intended for food or feed (e.g., products with industrial or pharmaceutical properties), ASA encourages biotech and seed companies to comply with all relevant regulatory requirements and ensure that such products are kept completely out of all domestic and export food, feed, and planting seed channels.
- International Regulatory Clearances ASA encourages biotechnology, nanotechnology and seed companies to apply for international regulatory clearances on a timely basis in all significant U.S. soy export markets that have biotech approval processes, well before the new biotech product is commercialized in the U.S. market. International regulatory submissions on new biotech products should be made such that, based on previous experience in these export markets, sufficient time for regulatory review and approval is allowed prior to product commercialization. A "timely basis" is considered to be the average time period in each individual export market the regulatory agencies require to grant approvals, plus an appropriate additional period of time required to provide a "regulatory approval cushion."

ASA will actively support the efforts of biotechnology, nanotechnology and seed companies to obtain regulatory clearances in significant U.S. export markets, using both ASA policy and international marketing resources.

In the event a biotechnology, nanotechnology or seed company obtains U.S. regulatory clearances but has not made submissions to allow sufficient time for international regulatory clearances in all significant U.S. soy export markets that have biotech approval processes, ASA encourages the biotech and seed companies involved to institute the strict controls necessary to ensure that the whole soybeans and soy products produced from the new biotech product are kept out of commodity soy export as well as planting seed channels until the appropriate international clearances are obtained. In such a circumstance, ASA also supports the biotech and seed companies involved to establish the compliance systems and documentary evidence necessary to show that whole soybeans and soy products produced from the new biotech product have been utilized in the U.S. market.

In the event regulatory clearances have been applied for in a timely manner in significant export markets but clearances have not been obtained due to a non-functioning approval

process in a country, ASA will consult with the biotech company involved to determine the best course of action. In making its decision on whether to support the commercialization of the new biotech product despite the lack of regulatory clearance in an export market, ASA will consider the potential benefits of the new biotech product to the profitability and competitiveness of U.S. soybean farmers, the size of the export market in question, and the likelihood of a functioning approval process being implemented and clearances obtained, among other factors.

Marketplace Acceptance

ASA encourages biotechnology, nanotechnology and seed companies bringing new soybean biotech products to the market to implement a comprehensive "marketplace acceptance" strategy at least one, and preferably two-to-three years before the products are commercialized. Such a strategy should include outreach and education to both domestic and foreign buyers, processors, feed millers, food companies, livestock feeders, retailers, consumer groups, and the media.

ASA will actively support and participate in the efforts of biotechnology and seed companies to obtain marketplace acceptance of new biotech products, using both ASA policy and international marketing resources.

- Intellectual Property Protection
- ASA encourages biotechnology and seed companies NOT to commercialize new soybean biotech products in countries that have weak intellectual property protection laws and/or enforcement unless a system is implemented to obtain appropriate compensation of the value created by the technology. Biotechnology and seed companies should take appropriate steps to prevent the misappropriation of new biotech products and technologies by or in those countries. ASA urges that all legal remedies be pursued to prevent the illegal planting in foreign countries of soybean seed for which biotechnology and seed companies have not received appropriate compensation for biotech traits.

2. 21.03 ASA supports expansion of controlled identity preserved systems that meet ASA's Identity Preserved guidelines for internationally unapproved biotech and specialty varieties while continuing to provide customers with the products they desire and support the development, production and promotion of biotech crops that are acceptable to domestic and foreign consumers.

2. 21.04 Before technology companies commercialize a soybean biotechnology event in a country in additional to the United States, ASA urges technology companies to ensure that:

 Intellectual property protection and value capture systems are in place to ensure that growers are paying appropriate royalties similar to U.S. growers; and

• A new event is not commercialized in a country until it is determined that patent protection for an event will expire no sooner than patent protection in the U.S. market.

2. 21.05 ASA supports mandatory review and approval of all biotechnology-enhanced crops by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and Food

- $\,$ and Drug Administration (FDA) and supports policy that assures that proper marketing
- protocols are developed and implemented so that germplasm or varieties approved for specific,

1462 non-generic use do not enter the commodity soybean market.

1463

- 1464 2. 21.06 ASA believes producers should not be held liable for damages resulting from
- biotechnology-enhanced product use, when recommended practices and procedures are

1466 followed.

1467

- 2. 21.07 ASA supports development of a voluntary certification and/or labeling system domestically and internationally for non-biotechnology-enhanced crops and organically grown
- crops or products For those customers who desire them.

1471

- 1472 2. 21.08 ASA recommends that life science companies should develop tests that can be used to
- efficiently detect the presence of a new biotechnology event before that event is
- 1474 commercialized. ASA supports the development of a review process that will result in
- standardized tests and methodology for detecting biotechnology-enhanced products within the

soy food chain.

1477

- 2. 21.09 ASA believes that regulations governing biotechnology-enhanced products be based on
- science.

1480

- 1481 2. 21.10 ASA supports the communication of the benefits and implications of new
- biotechnology-enhanced soybeans as they enter the marketplace.

1483

- 1484 2. 21.11 ASA believes that identity-preserved (IP) crops are valued-added products and should
- be included in federal programs for grants, loans, export programs and incentives at USDA and other agencies.

1.407

1487

- 2. 21.12 ASA supports an expedited process for approval and acceptance of biotechnology
- 1489 products in the European Union.

1490

- 2. 21.13 ASA supports biotechnology, commercial fertilizer, and commercial crop protection
- products as part of an integrated crop management system, and believes that any definition of
- "sustainable agriculture" includes the use of these products.

1494

- 2. 21.14 ASA supports the statutory definition of sustainable agriculture, as included in the 1990
- Farm Bill. Public Law 101-624, Title XVI, Subtitle A, Section 1603. Under that law, sustainable
- agriculture means "an integrated system of plant and animal production practices having a site-
- specific application that will, over the long term:

14991500

1503

1504

1505

- Satisfy human food needs;
- Enhance environmental quality and the natural resource base upon which the agricultural economy depends;
 - Make the most efficient use of nonrenewable resources and on-farm resources and integrate where appropriate, natural biological cycles and controls;
 - Sustain the economic viability of farm operations; and

• Enhance the quality of life for farmers and society as a whole."

1506 1507 1508

2.21.15 ASA supports developing a definition of sustainable agriculture that encompasses profitable, intensive production and encourages consumer acceptance of biotechnology enhanced products and satisfies food, feed, fiber, and biofuel needs.

1510 1511 1512

1509

2. 21.16 ASA supports establishing a process to maintain foreign registrations of biotech traits in countries that require them as long as traces of a trait are identified in export shipment.

15131514

2. 21.17 ASA supports efforts to establish a commercially viable and internationally accepted tolerance for the presence of deregistered traits in shipments and products in order to ensure the competitiveness of U.S. soy exports in world markets.

1518

1519 2.21.18 Low Level Presence (LLP) refers to low level unintentional introduction of biotech 1520 derived plant material in grain or feed that has been through a full safety and risk assessment in one or more countries, but not the country of import. ASA supports efforts to establish a 1521 commercially viable and internationally accepted LLP tolerance of not less than five percent for 1522 the presence of deregistered traits in shipments and products in order to ensure the 1523 1524 competitiveness of U.S. soy exports in world markets and prevent disruptions in trade. The 1525 value chain must cooperate to steward new technologies when unique functional 1526 characteristics impact commodity markets below 5%.

1527

1528

1529

2.21.19 Any qualitative definitions of food such as wholesome or nutritious should be based on the food's actual composition without regard to geographical origin, growing practices, or type of crop (e.g. local foods, biotech traits, corn sugar, organic, etc).

15301531

2. 21.20 ASA supports private sector efforts to resolve any issues surrounding the deregulation
 of special use biotech traits through consultations between the trait provider and interested
 industry sectors, including farmer associations, processors and exporters.

1535

2.21.21 ASA supports an aggregate approach to documenting the sustainability of U.S. soybean
 production. ASA believes U.S. federal and state conservation, environmental and labor laws,
 and existing U.S. farmer compliance with them, provide assurance that U.S. soybeans are
 sustainably produced.

1540

2.21.22 ASA recognizes that American soybean production is one of the most sustainable in the world and will work to ensure that our image is maintained while avoiding undue regulations and empowering movements that would jeopardize our competitive position.

1544

2.21.23 ASA opposes programs which would subvert constitutional authority by implementing sustainability and environmental programs through local and national executive orders.

1547

2.21.24 ASA opposes state food labeling requirements, and supports federal legislation thatwould preempt state laws requiring labeling of GMOs. (MO)

1550

1551 2.21.25 ASA should work closely with food manufacturers, technology companies, and others in the supply chain to develop strategies for the long term on biotech labeling. 1552 1553 1554 2.21.26 Commercialization of high oleic soybeans provides U.S. soybean farmers and the soybean industry the opportunity to regain a significant portion of the 4 billion pounds of edible 1555 soybean oil use lost as a result of transfat labeling, and offers expanded use of soybean oil in 1556 1557 industrial applications. ASA supports regulatory review, timely approvals and the rapid market 1558 adoption of this new technology. 1559 1560 1.21.27 ASA encourages grain purchasers to segregate new technologies that are unapproved for foreign markets. (NE) 1561 1562 1563 2.21.27 ASA believes any final the FDA's proposal to eliminate the Generally Regarded As Safe (GRAS) status for partially-hydrogenated oils (PHOs) should be modified to allow a 1564 tolerance for PHOs in food products, and should reflect a reasonable timeframe to ramp up 1565 high oleic soybean production and other technologies as alternatives for food processors. 1566 determination on the matter to rescind the Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) status for 1567 partially-hydrogenated oils (PHO), including partially-hydrogenated vegetable oil (PHVO) 1568 1569 should reflect a reasonable timeframe to ramp up high oleic soybean production as an alternative for food processors. (NE) (MO) 1570 1571 1572 2.21.28 ASA encourages USDA to approve seed and crop protection traits in a timely manner to give U.S. farmers an advantage in controlling weeds and insects while also 1573 supplying stable markets and opening new markets. (KY) (KS/NE/OK) 1574 1575 2.21.29 In order to provide feed, fuel and fiber to our ever increasing 1576 world populations, we support the use of biotechnology and 1577 1578 implementation of new technology to meet these demands. (KY) (WI) 1579 1580 2.22.00 Telecommunications 1581 2.22.01 ASA believes that broadband access to the Internet is important to the quality of life in 1582 rural America and to strong and competitive farming operations. Therefore, we urge the 1583 1584 federal, state and local governments to develop programs that will encourage private businesses and cooperatives to bring quality high-speed access to farms at affordable rates. 1585 1586 1587 2.22.02 ASA supports a dependable public Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) differential correction signal available to all producers at no cost. 1588 1589 1590 2.22.03 ASA opposes the use of adjacent band width by any company that would compromise the effectiveness of GPS technology for farmers. 1591 1592

2.22.04 ASA encourages the continued development and expansion of cellular networks to adequately address the needs of rural regions of the United States.

VD Session 6/10/2014 Page 37

1593

159415951596

- 1597 **2.23.00 Soybean Rust**
- 1598 2.23.01 ASA strongly urges APHIS to take all appropriate precautions to protect domestic
- soybean production against the additional spread of soybean rust. Importation of whole
- soybeans, soybean meal, and soybean seed from countries with soybean rust infestation must
 - be subject to science-based regulations as determined and implemented by APHIS.

2.23.02 ASA supports increased Federal funding for soybean rust research, including the
 identification of rust resistance and tolerance traits through genome mapping and data
 management.

1606

2.23.03 ASA supports the EPA to complete review and to approve Section 18 emergency use requests for fungicides that are effective in treating soybean rust. ASA encourages crop protection companies and the Federal Government to facilitate the availability of products in the event of need, particularly those deemed effective on soybean rust.

16111612

1613

2.23.04 ASA supports Homeland Security Presidential Directive, HSPD-9 with a stated purpose to establish a national policy to defend U.S. agriculture and food systems against terrorist attacks and major disasters including the spread of soybean rust.

161416151616

1617 1618

1619

1620

16211622

1623

1624

1625

- 2.23.05 ASA encourages Congress and USDA to support the continuation and permanent funding for Pest Information Platform for Extension and Education (PIPE). This national strategy monitors and communicates the detection and latest information regarding domestic occurrences and treatment of soybean rust including, but not limited to the following issues:
 - Scouting soybeans and other host plants
 - Expanding U.S. research on soybean rust, subject to strong bio-security requirements
 - Continuing a diagnostic and identification network
 - Establishing a National Center for Soybean Rust & Plant Pathogen Solutions.
 - Expansion to other soybean diseases and other crops
 - Continue funding a soybean rust sentinel system (IA)

1626 1627 1628

1629

1630

- 2.2400 Animal/Livestock Agriculture/Aguaculture
- 2.24.01 ASA supports the continuation and expansion of the livestock industry (meat, poultry and aquaculture) in the U.S. for greater use of U.S. soybean products. ASA will work with these industries to improve the public image on the importance of these foods in a balanced diet.

16311632

2.24.02 ASA will show strong public support to livestock producers throughout the zoning and
 permitting process. Furthermore, ASA will publicly support livestock producers that face
 challenges by individuals or organizations with an agenda to diminish or eliminate livestock
 production.

1637

2.24.03 ASA asks consideration that cost share dollars that are available to livestock producers
 for upgrading livestock facilities be made available to all livestock producers who upgrade,
 replace or expand their operation to make it an environmentally viable entity.

1641

- 2.24.04 ASA encourages states to use science based environmental review process to evaluate livestock facilities. ASA also supports that petitioners reside within reasonable proximity of the
- 1644 questioned site.

2.24.05 ASA supports Livestock Premise ID to protect against the loss of soybean demand due to the outbreak of exotic diseases of livestock, poultry and aquaculture.

1648

2.24.06 ASA supports the efforts of the U.S. livestock, poultry and aquaculture industries to
 vigorously oppose any initiatives that would limit the use of modern and accepted production
 practices.

1652

2.24.07 ASA supports expansion of the domestic aquaculture industry, including offshore aquaculture, to increase food security, create jobs, and reduce the negative trade balance in aquaculture. ASA also encourages federal funding for research that would optimize the use of soybean protein and oil in aquaculture feed.

16571658

1659

1660

16611662

1663

1664

- 2.24.08 ASA supports clear, consistent and reasonable science based regulations and processes needed for the livestock industry to remain profitable. ASA further recognizes the following as science based programs:
- Pork Quality Assurance Plus (PQA+)
 - United Egg Producers Certified (UEP)
 - Beef Quality Assurance (BQA)
 - Humane Slaughter Act as regulated by the USDA and meat packing industry
 - Transport Quality Assurance (TQA)

16651666

And also, any other programs that will "maximize" consumer confidence that are based on sound, scientific analysis and economic feasibility.

1669

2.24.09 ASA supports the recommendation of the Gulf Coast Fishery Management Council to allow offshore fish farms in the Gulf of Mexico.

1672

2.24.10 ASA will work with our partners to educate consumers that modern animal agriculture production is science-based and provides safeguards for humane treatment of animals.

16751676

1677

2.24.11 ASA believes that anyone who trespasses or enters property to release livestock or poultry or to damage property in the attempt to halt, destroy or curtail animal production, serum production or research should be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

16781679

2.24.12 ASA adamantly opposes efforts by the Humane Society of the U.S. (HSUS) and other animal rights organizations that attempt to petition or legislate against science tested, modern animal care practices.

1683

2.24.13 ASA adamantly supports changes to current Federal regulations that do not allow the sanitary removal, by rendering companies, of dead livestock determined to be 30 months of age or older.

1687

- 2.24.14 ASA supports U.S. livestock, aquaculture and poultry organizations that face challenges 1688 by individuals or organizations such as the Humane Society of United States (HSUS) with an 1689 1690 agenda to diminish or eliminate animal agriculture. 1691 1692 2.24.15 ASA supports our livestock industry partners as they consider best management 1693 practices in determining humane treatment of their animals. 1694 1695 2.24.16 ASA supports the pork industry's continued use of gestation stalls as being a production practice which is essential in protecting employee safety, animal care and ensuring a reliable 1696 1697 supply of quality and reasonable priced product to the consumer. 1698 2.24.17 ASA opposes legislative efforts and ballot initiatives that restrict established animal 1699 1700 production systems that adequately provide for animal welfare, worker safety and environmental and economic sustainability. 1701 1702 1703 2.24.18 ASA supports the livestock industry. ASA believes livestock producers and their 1704
- veterinarians are the experts on animal well-being and care, and will make the best decision for 1705 the animals under their care.
- 1707 **2.24.19** ASA believes that no state should attempt to regulate interstate commerce of crop and 1708 livestock products by adopting more stringent regulations than federal requirements for crop 1709 and livestock production and products and applying those standards to all products sold in that state. (IA) 1710

2.25.00 Energy 1713

1706

1711 1712

1716

1721

1724

1727

- 2.25.01 ASA supports preferential energy allocation for agricultural production and marketing 1714 1715 during times of critical energy shortages.
- 2.25.02 ASA opposes the sale, transfer or other disposal of the federal power marketing 1717 1718 administrations, as well as any regulatory or legislative measures that would increase the costs 1719 of electricity or impose other artificial cost burdens onto the rates U.S. farmers must now pay 1720 for electrical energy.
- 2.25.03 ASA is opposed to the concept of an energy tax and/or users fee when agriculture is 1722 forced to bear a disproportionate share of these costs. 1723
- 2.25.04 ASA supports legislative action to increase domestic production of petroleum and 1725 1726 natural gas to help stabilize further dramatic increases in farm input supply prices.

6/10/2014 **VD Session** Page 40

1728	2.25.05 ASA insists that Congress and our current Administration develop and implement a
1729	comprehensive energy policy that is sustainable for agriculture.
1730	
1731	2.25.06 ASA supports the routing and construction of the Keystone XL and other pipelines to
1732	fully utilize North American Energy Resources. Routing can, and should be done in a safe and
1733	environmentally sustainable way.
1734	
1735	
1736	

III. RESEARCH, EDUCATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES 1737 1738 1739 3.0.00 Preamble 1740 3.0.01 The American Soybean Association (ASA) is a leader in promoting the research, education and natural resource priorities and needs of the soybean industry. 1741 1742 1743 3.0.02 ASA stresses the need to increase funding for agricultural research and conservation 1744 efforts. ASA supports measures to prohibit sovereign nations or global entities from setting environmental and/or water quality standards more stringent than federal or state regulations. 1745 1746 1747 3.1.00 Research, Extension and Education Priorities 1748 1749 3.1.01 Rapid improvement of yields while maintaining or improving quality should be the top priority of soybean research and outreach. 1750 1751 1752 3.1.02 ASA should place major emphasis on research to increase competitiveness of U.S. soybean farmers, expand consumer use of soybean products, reduce production costs; improve 1753 1754 profitability of soybean production and position U.S. soybeans and soy products as the 1755 preferred source. 1756 1757 3.1.03 ASA believes more research on soybean composition should focus on the enhancement of industrial applications (including biobased energy), health and nutrition benefits, animal 1758 nutrition and production for both domestic and foreign customers. 1759 1760 1761 3.1.04 Production research should be directed towards enabling U.S. farmers to be the most efficient and profitable soybean producers in the world. Production-oriented research should 1762 be continued in areas such as: 1763 weed control 1764 disease control 1765 1766 insect control, nutrient management, 1767 germplasm studies, 1768

• biotechnology,

1770

17721773

17741775

1776

17771778

1779

1780

17811782

- nanotechnology,
- soil amendment, and plant nutrient and water utilization

3.1.05 Genomic and varietal development should emphasize soybean yield and improving market-driven attributes of soybeans. ASA encourages more research by public and private interests to develop best management practices and economical crop protection products that maximize environmental safeguards. ASA encourages expanded efforts in market oriented education programs for soybean producers. Efforts should be made to develop new equipment and innovative techniques which will allow soybeans to continue to be grown economically in an environmentally sound, soil and water conserving manner.

3.1.06 ASA encourages the development of a standard formula that includes uniform non-stress germination tests, as well as stress tests.

1783 3.1.07 ASA also supports full disclosure of all information concerning germination, vigor and 1784 1785 quality. 1786 3.1.08 ASA supports full funding for the National Plant Germplasm System of the Agricultural 1787 Research Service of USDA and also supports full disclosure of all information concerning 1788 1789 germination, vigor and quality. 1790 1791 3.1.09 ASA demands accurate seed labeling for genetic purity to give producers knowledge of 1792 possible biotech seed in a non-biotech variety. 1793 1794 3.1.10 ASA strongly encourages all seed companies to enter their varieties in independent 1795 university variety trials. 1796 1797 3.1.11 ASA supports conventional research & development of soybean varieties that are 1798 important to agriculture to provide the biodiversity and a widest availability of varieties & 1799 traits. ASA encourages private and public soybean breeders to continue the development of 1800 conventional soybean varieties and to make them available to soybean producers. 1801 Conventional plant breeding and germplasm accessibility must be maintained. 1802 1803 3.1.12 ASA supports the efforts of the National Council on Food and Agricultural Research (C-

3.1.12 ASA supports the efforts of the National Council on Food and Agricultural Research (C FAR) and state councils intended to promote research funding for food and agriculture initiatives.

1806

3.1.13 ASA recommends increased base funding for USDA's research programs, Land-Grant
 University research programs, Extension programs and new funding for other research
 universities.

1810

3.1.14 ASA supports the protection for the U.S. soybean industry from both bio-terrorism and naturally occurring pests and pathogens by providing adequate funding for the continued efforts by the Animal Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) of USDA.

1814

3.1.15 ASA encourages soybean producers to select seed genetics based on high quality, high yielding protein and oil content. ASA also encourages soybean seed companies to include estimated protein and oil content on a 13% moisture basis in their sales literature.

1818

3.1.16 ASA urges states to coordinate plans for new utilization research centers through existing national experiment station forums to reduce unnecessary duplication and to maximize results. ASA urges that these centers establish industry advisory panels to assist in assessing needs, determining priorities and evaluating market potentials.

1823

3.1.17 ASA recommends continued labeling on all soybean seed containers to include the number of seeds per pound.

1826

3.1.18 ASA supports a requirement that all research cited or used as the basis for rules and regulations are available and completely open for independent review.

1829 **3.1.19** ASA supports efforts to increase requirements through improved standards for sciences 1830 1831 or other efforts to educate students on how food is produced, processed and properly stored. 1832 (MN) 1833 1834 3.1.20 ASA supports agriculture conservation certification programs that educate and promote 1835 best management practices. These programs must be farmer led, voluntary, flexible and 1836 confidential. (MN) [MN withdraws – redundant] 1837 1838 3.2.00 Research Funding 1839 3.2.01 ASA should continue to lead in coordinating, soliciting, prioritizing and allocating funds 1840 1841 for soybean utilization and production research projects. ASA supports increased public and private research through coordinated efforts and funding by government, commodity 1842 1843 organizations, universities and private industry. 1844 3.2.02 1845 1846 ASA supports strategic increases in federal investment in USDA's Agricultural Research Service 1847 (ARS) National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) formerly the Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES) programs that will benefit soybean 1848 1849 producers. 1850 3.2.03 ASA encourages state-supported soybean research, extension and education programs. 1851 1852 ASA supports regional approaches to research and education programs directed at addressing 1853 needs and attaining goals. 1854 3.2.04 ASA encourages state soybean organizations to use technical advisory panels for 1855 1856 soybean research proposal evaluation. 1857 1858 3.2.05 ASA encourages USDA and state experiment station administrators to fully staff soybean 1859 research projects. 1860 3.2.06 ASA urges that soybean research conducted at public institutions and funded completely 1861 1862 or in part with farmer check-off investments be considered as public property and made available for the benefit of U.S. soybean farmers. 1863 1864 1865 3.2.07 ASA recommends the Director of the Oilseed-Fiber Division of the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) must remain permanently staffed by a soybean specialist. 1866 1867 1868 3.2.08 ASA encourages public soybean breeders to release new varieties on a non-exclusive 1869 basis. 1870 1871 3.2.09 ASA encourages any private or public entity research groups patenting, licensing, or in any other way financially benefiting from soybean check off-funded research, to use the 1872 1873 monetary gain to expand soybean production, market development and new uses research. 1874

- 3.2.10 Soybean Cyst Nematode (SCN) is an ongoing problem in the soybean growing areas. ASA supports research into new resistant varieties, biologicals and genomes, producer education and testing recommendations for SCN.
- 1878
- 3.2.11 ASA supports working with the American Seed Trade Association (ASTA) or individual seed companies to develop guidelines which will allow farmers to continue to plant and propagate soybean varieties that a seed company has patented and no longer offers for sale.
- 1882
- 1883 3.2.12 ASA supports QUALISOY to continue to improve commodity soybeans through 1884 compositional work. ASA will coordinate and manage all legislative activities with regard to 1885 QUALISOY.
- 1886
- 3.2.13 ASA supports a coordinated effort of state and national soybean organizations to set priorities and coordinate all federally funded soybean research projects. Among the factors to be considered in setting priorities are acreage, disease and compositional traits.
- 1890 1891
- 3.2.15 ASA applauds the Department of Energy (DOE) collaboration with USDA to sequence the soybean genome. ASA urges these agencies to establish long-lived plant genomic databases capable of responding to technological advances. This will allow the soybean industry to benefit not only from the sequencing of the soybean genome, but also from translation of information from other sequencing projects.
- 1897
- 3.2.16 ASA encourages Federal funding for research that would optimize the use of soy in aquaculture feed and support species development in aquaculture to better utilize soybean protein.
- 1901
- 3.2.17 ASA opposes any USDA efforts to impose administrative charges on cooperative research agreements, including farmer check-off funded research projects.

3.2.18 Licensing or royalty agreements should be explored by QSSB and associations when developing research agreements with public and/or private institutions using farmer checkoff funds.

1908

3.2.19 ASA supports federal funding for thorough life-cycle analysis of soybean production and the end uses of soybeans, including livestock and soybeans-to-biodiesel technology.

1911

3.2.20 ASA supports the development and transition to high oleic soybeans.

1913

3.2.21 ASA encourages that soybean research be expanded as every dollar spent on research and development generates a \$10 benefit to farmers which ultimately flows to the consumer in maintaining affordable, healthy and abundant food.

1917

- 1918 3.3.00 Conservation and Natural Resources
- 3.3.01 ASA strongly encourages farmers to implement conservation plans to bring their highly
- erodible land into compliance. ASA endorses the implementation of voluntary conservation

- practices that reduce soil erosion and improve water quality. Conservation plans should allow for adaptive management. Public funding should be available for land altering practices required by conservation plans.

 3.3.02 Future requirements for conservation plans should be changed or exceptions allowed (minimum area and width) for erosion control, grass strips, wildlife food plots and other similar measures. ASA encourages requirements for conservation plans that promote flexibility for soil conservation and water quality practices.
- 3.3.03 ASA urges the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) to recognize the full value of no-tilled, strip-tilled, ridge-tilled, narrow row or solid-seeded soybeans and the use of cover crops in their conservation plan.

1933

1938

1944

1947

1950

1953

1956

1959

1964

- 3.3.04 ASA supports Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or other national conservation programs for the most fragile and environmentally sensitive lands. ASA supports efforts to ensure that water quality objectives remain a priority under CRP and are reflected in rental contracts.
- 3.3.05 ASA encourages the Farm Service Agency to enforce the 25% total tillable acres cap on
 CRP acres per county.
- 3.3.06 ASA does not support the requirement to reseed established CRP grasses when CRP is reenrolled.
- 3.3.07 ASA strongly opposes subjecting all Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) payments to Self-Employment Contribution Act (SECA).
- 3.3.08 ASA supports allowing small, irregularly shaped whole fields into the continuous enrollment CRP program.
- 3.3.09 ASA encourages the USDA to maintain the current penalties incurred on CRP acres withdrawn early from the CRP program.
- 3.3.10 ASA encourages an economic study of the affect of CRP acres on food and feed availability for end users.
- 3.3.11 ASA supports research and education on soil and water conservation in the private sector.
- 3.3.12 ASA supports full funding of the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) for both commodity and livestock projects. States and counties should be allowed to choose and administer all soil conservation, water conservation and water quality programs that best meet the needs at the local level.
- 3.3.13 ASA supports the NRCS as an agency within USDA and urges them to provide adequate funding for field staff and technical assistance. ASA urges adequate funding for the NRCS to

provide locally trained field staff and experienced technical assistance. ASA also supports using mandatory funding to pay for Technical Service Providers.

1969

3.3.14 ASA believes farmers must have flexibility in improving and maintaining drainage for production purposes. ASA discourages regulations that limit the most beneficial use of agricultural land.

1973

3.3.15 ASA strongly urges NRCS to allow variances for the implementation of conservation plans in declared disaster counties and on small tracts of land under super-sod busting law. ASA supports the idea that the super sod-busting provision of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) approved in the 1990 Farm Bill remains farmer friendly. ASA agrees with the intent of the super sod-busting law on larger tracts of land; however ASA strongly believes that some tolerance or exemptions need to exist.

1980

3.3.16 ASA recommends that all environmental mandates must have incentives for farmer compliance rather than penalties for non-compliance.

1983

3.3.17 ASA recommends that wetland non-compliance determinations be limited to a three (3) year look back.

1986

3.3.18 ASA urges that in the case of a wetland compliance violation, a penalty shall be assessed based on wetland, fair market tax value of said wetland. Said fine shall only apply to the wetland acreage found in non-compliance.

1990 1991

1992

1993

1994

1995

3.3.19 ASA supports public policies to maintain a navigable level of water in rivers and inland waterways by preventing the Corps of Engineers from assigning undue importance and priority to interests such as recreation to the detriment of power, municipal water supply, navigation and flood control. ASA discourages additional land acquisition by government agencies with the purpose of increasing wetlands and recreation without consideration of the impact to inland drainage, navigation and flood control.

1996 ir 1997 **3**

3.3.20 ASA supports the timely determination of the FSA Form 1026 process. The process should be completed in 60 days and the appeal process should be completed in 30 days. (ND/MN/SD/NY)

2000

3.3.21 ASA supports the establishment of a local arbitration system for disputed determinationsof wetlands and highly erodible lands. (ND/MN/SD/NY)

20032004

2005

3.3.22 ASA believes laws and regulations designed to protect endangered species must be science based. ASA supports legislation that would protect producers from unintentional impacts to endangered species.

20062007

2008 3.3.23 ASA strongly recommends that whole farm planning remain a voluntary process. Farm
2009 payment or cost share payment should not be dependent on the development of a whole farm
2010 plan. ASA does not support establishment of national standards.

2011

3.3.24 ASA requests that all federal agencies review and justify the use of river gauge data, frequency of inundation and length of inundation to define a true agricultural wetland. After this review and justification, an emphasis should be placed on assigning an environmental value to said wetlands and allow the scope of normal farming practices to be assigned to that value.

2016
2017 3.3.25 ASA believes t
2018 federal agency respo

3.3.25 ASA believes that the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) should be the federal agency responsible for making technical determinations on agricultural lands with respect to wetlands or converted wetlands. ASA recommends that Federal environmental regulations for farming related activities be administered by the NRCS at the local level, when possible. [MOVE SECTIONS 2.18.08/2.18.11-12/2.18.14-15 AFTER THIS SECTION]

2021 2022

2019

2020

3.3.26 ASA encourages the development of wetland mitigation banks and further, that mitigation is limited to a one-acre for one-acre basis.

2025

2026 3.3.27 ASA supports consistent wetland delineation procedures for all states.

2027

2028 3.3.28 ASA urges farmer representation at the local watershed level whenever policies and regulations are being formulated.

2030 2031

2032

2033

2034

3.3.29 ASA supports producer-led and managed application of Certified Environmental Management Systems for Agriculture (CEMSA) as a framework for producers to improve resource management and address impacts on the environment. ASA encourages federal and state governments, universities, and private interests to provide support for a pilot project that involves providing goods and services to producers in the application of CEMSA.

203520362037

20382039

2040

3.3.30 ASA supports full funding and implementation of the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). Payments should reward producers for good stewardship and conservation practices. Compensation for conservation practices should not be limited by the size of the producer's operation. Consideration should be given to practical conservation farming practices based on soil type and climate conditions.

204120422043

20442045

3.3.31 ASA believes that information a producer provides to the USDA for participation in the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) should remain confidential. Furthermore, farmers who voluntarily submit information to the USDA in order to participate in the CSP should be held harmless for that disclosure.

20462047

2048 3.3.32 ASA calls on the U.S. EPA to include land grant university researchers in its scientific 2049 review process of water quality and hypoxia related issues. Especially white papers by the U.S. 2050 EPA Regional 4 office in Atlanta. (KY)

2051

2052 3.3.33 ASA supports a requirement of using aerial photos from the 1980 to 1990 timeframe for making wetland determinations.

2054

3.3.34 ASA supports refocusing Federal conservation programs back to those that promote the
 sustainability of soil fertility and productivity, in order to control erosion and maintain soil
 organic matter levels.

3.3.35 ASA supports holding regulatory agency personnel to the same level of accountability for misrepresenting permit requirements as holders have for meeting permit requirements.

3.3.36 ASA believes that land dedicated to wildlife habitat should be subject to property taxes.

3.3.37 ASA supports policy that requires any information used by USDA, EPA or other agencies to form agriculture nutrient, pesticide and/or climate change regulations to meet the following requirements:

a) The person providing the information to provide an express and identifiable reference to the sources used as the basis for the recommendation.

b) The sources used as the basis for the recommendation shall be public information and shall include the underlying data and methodology in a format sufficient to allow the general public to evaluate the statistical inferences and to duplicate the methodology used to create the source information.

3.3.38 ASA supports land owners and tenants having exclusive rights to regulate access for hunting, fishing or recreation on private land. (SD)

3.3.39 ASA discourages disproportionate compensation from Government conservation programs that potentially remove valuable agricultural land from production.

3.3.40ASA supports the development of a voluntary, farmer led stewardship program that recognizes productivity as the foundation of conservation.

3.3.41 ASA opposes the depletion of productive, irreplaceable and essential natural resource of farmland for the sole purpose of establishing urban growth in areas prone to flooding. (ND)

3.3.42 ASA supports voluntary agriculture conservation programs that are farmer led, flexible and confidential at the individual farm level. These programs should be based on the premise that long term soil health, fertility and productivity are the primary conservation goals. These programs should be in place to supersede any mandatory certification programs brought forth by local, state or federal agencies.

3.3.43 ASA supports a requirement that data generated by any water quality monitoring programs, including date used for development of standards and date to establish designated uses, be gathered and analyzed with protocols that meet the highest level of Quality Control and Quality Assurance.

3.3.44 ASA supports the recommendation of the 25x25 Adaptation Initiative, including engaging in public and private research on best adaptations for crops and livestock, implementation of conservation practices designed to maintain the productivity of land, and assisting farmers in risk management to minimize potential losses. We support adaption pathways that strengthen production systems, improve profitability and reduce environmental impacts. (IA)

3.3.43 ASA encourages EPA to enforce safe sound levels both high and low frequencies that 2104 protects the health and safety of rural citizens from wind turbines. (IL) 2105 2106 2107 3.4.00 Crop Production Inputs 3.4.01 ASA supports and encourages reasonable efforts to improve crop protection product 2108 2109 safety, handling and education. ASA feels farm and commodity organizations should take 2110 leadership on those environmental and food safety issues that affect producers. ASA supports 2111 the efforts of farm organizations to obtain objective scientific research that quantifies the 2112 economic impacts of agricultural input restrictions. ASA encourages the inclusion of agricultural 2113 organizations by government, consumer and environmental groups in designing practical solutions to environmental issues. 2114 2115 2116 3.4.02 ASA supports the use of safe, environmentally friendly containers for agricultural inputs. ASA supports policies that require Good Laboratory Practices (GLP) in generation of data for 2117 crop protection products. ASA believes that agricultural leaders and environmental advocates 2118 2119 need to work together in developing environmental policies and common goals, creating an atmosphere of cooperation. 2120 2121 2122 3.4.03 ASA recommends that EPA use scientifically valid data and research in making decisions governing crop production inputs and that EPA and other regulatory bodies must consider 2123 economic impact statements and risk/benefit analysis before proposing any restriction on 2124 2125 inputs or new technology. 2126 2127 3.4.04 ASA supports the expedited approval process for new environmentally friendly crop protection products. 2128 2129 3.4.05 ASA believes that the EPA should grant producers emergency use permits in emergency 2130 2131 situations for products already approved by the EPA for other crops. 2132 3.4.06 ASA supports voluntary record keeping for general use crop protection product 2133 application and believes that such a practice provides evidence of responsible land and water 2134 2135 use. 2136 2137 3.4.07 ASA encourages state soybean associations to take an active role in states' development 2138 of environmental policy. Local governing bodies should be prohibited from imposing requirements more stringent than federal requirements on the sale or use of federally 2139 2140 registered crop protection products or biotech products. 2141 2142 3.4.08 ASA favors an international harmonized crop protection product code that conforms to 2143 U.S. standards as economic agreements are made with other countries. 2144

3.4.09 ASA endorses the use of voluntary integrated pest management programs and best

3.4.10 ASA supports the complete and detailed labeling of all crop protection products and

does not condone the misuse of crop protection products. ASA recommends that farmers not

management practices consistent with sound ecological and economical principles.

VD Session 6/10/2014 Page 50

2145

2146

2147

21482149

2150	be liable for environmental damages resulting from input use where recommended label rates					
2151	and application procedures are followed.					
2152						
2153	3.4.11 ASA recommends that all imported food products meet USDA crop protection product					
2154	residue or other food safety standards.					
2155						
2156	3.4.12 ASA recommends Worker Protection Standard Act (WPSA) be reasonably and rationally					
2157	implemented.					
2158						
2159	3.4.13 ASA supports the preservation of crop protection uses to maximize the list of pest					
2160	management tools available to the grower for best management practices. ASA supports the					
2161	use of the "data call in" provisions for the tolerance.					
2162						
2163	3.4.14 ASA recognizes that crop protection products are a significant part of the soybean					
2164	farmers input costs, and that under the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), U.S.					
2165	markets are open to Canadian grain imports. ASA in the full spirit of NAFTA judges that all					
2166	Canadian crop protection products that have an equivalent U.S. EPA registered product be					
2167	given automatic reciprocal EPA registration.					
2168						
2169	3.4.15 ASA believes the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) already gives adequate					
2170	consideration to the Endangered Species Act (ESA) when registering pesticides and additional					
2171	requirements are not needed.					
2172	·					
2173	3.4.16 ASA believes that "common detection" should be redefined in the Pesticide					
2174	Management Plan based on some level of a scientifically established Health Risk Limit.					
2175	,					
2176	3.4.17 ASA supports full disclosure of all information concerning variety name number, traits,					
2177	germination, vigor and quality.					
2178						
2179	3.4.18 ASA requests the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to discontinue any further					
2180	implementation of "chemical specific" or "chemical class specific" use of buffer restrictions on					
2181	pesticide labeling until the agency establishes protocols to evaluate "drift reduction					
2182	technology" (DRT) and incorporates DRT language into pesticide labeling.					
2183						
2184	3.4.19 ASA opposes the elimination of atrazine and atrazine products as a method of weed					
2185	control in general farming practices.					
2186						
2187	3.4.20 ASA also opposes heightened pesticide guidelines and forced change from cash based to					
2188	accrual based accounting in agriculture. [MISSOURI TO REWRITE AND RESUBMIT]					
2189						
2190	3.4.20 ASA supports maintaining all agricultural inputs as a tax deduction in crop production.					
2191	(IN/OH/MI) [move to tax section] 2.16.00					
2192	(, - ,					

VD Session 6/10/2014 Page 51

3.5.00 Water Quality & Usage

2193

3.5.01 ASA recommends that Natural Resources and Conservation Service (NRCS) be the 2194 responsible agency for coordinating groundwater and surface water programs. [MOVE 2195 SECTIONS 2.8.16 TO 2.8.18 AFTER THIS SECTION] 2196 2197 3.5.02 ASA supports the use of scientific based research in developing national water quality 2198 2199 standards and educational programs to safeguard groundwater and surface water resources. 2200 State and local agricultural agencies should plan and assist in implementing programs and 2201 policies based on geographical and geological differences. 2202 2203 3.5.03 ASA recommends that research should be conducted that addresses the source, movement and acceptable levels of crop protection products in surface and groundwater 2204 regardless of whether the source is agricultural or non-agricultural. Based upon scientific 2205 2206 research, any environmental recommendations or regulations must take into consideration a cost-benefit analysis to the consumer, producer and positive balance of U.S. trade. 2207 2208 2209 3.5.04 ASA recommends the continuation of research and education programs that would 2210 enhance the environmentally sound and economically viable storage and use of agricultural by 2211 products and animal manure nutrients. 2212 2213 3.5.05 Cropland erosion and fresh water quality are matters of national concern that call for reevaluation and increased funding of Federal cost-sharing practices such as terracing, strip 2214 2215 cropping, grassed waterways, field borders, cover crops and other traditional soil and water management practices. ASA supports voluntary programs and encourages the adoption of Best 2216 2217 Management Practices and believes there must be adequate government compensation for 2218 such cost sharing practices. 2219 3.5.06 ASA supports water quality standards that are ecologically and economically attainable. 2220 2221 ASA supports just compensation to the producer when standards are imposed or required 2222 other than agronomically optimal management systems. 2223 2224 3.5.07 ASA supports the development and funding of rural drinking water projects. 2225 3.5.08 ASA supports agriculture's right to use ground water and surface water for production 2226 2227 purposes and considers it a priority use. 2228 3.5.09 ASA believes there is a need for research to determine the net effect of phosphorus 2229 2230 bound by sediment on surface water quality. 2231 2232 3.5.10 ASA supports voluntary science based programs and policies directed on a farm-by-farm 2233 basis toward ongoing in-field evaluation of nutrient management methods which allow

producers to continually improve their nutrient management practices. ASA supports and believes in:

2235 2236 2237

2238

2239

2234

- central coordination of networks of in-field evaluation to improve nutrient management decisions
- infrastructure for conservation efforts in watersheds and sub-watersheds

6/10/2014 **VD** Session Page 52

- cooperative conservation efforts among public and private organizations and individuals that achieve a positive environmental impact and meets demands for production
 - research findings and citations of data accessible to producers must be the foundation for developing and expanding nutrient management programs.

2247

2248

2243

3.5.11 ASA believes all rules and regulations impacting production agriculture should be based on current scientific based research. Linkages and citations of data must be a guiding force in developing environmental programs and policy. Quality assurance provisions must be available for review in order to judge data integrity and utility.

22492250

2251 3.5.12 ASA opposes a shift in liability that could occur with a Point: Non-Point pollution credit 2252 trading program and supports a requirement that farmer stakeholders affected by the trading 2253 provisions have final control over the program.

22542255

3.5.13 ASA supports the requirements that point-point source water quality pollution trading be automatically available to any new point source permit holders that have lower discharge concentrations than existing point sources.

22572258

2256

2259 3.5.14 ASA opposes labeling of animal manure as a hazardous waste.

2260

3.5.15 ASA supports requiring environmental groups to be required to bring forth their views
 and opinions on TMDL/Feed Lot regulations at public hearing as stakeholders as livestock
 producers are required to do.

22642265

3.5.16 ASA supports requiring that a "cause and effect" linkage to water quality be established through field or farm scale research, before federal or state watershed monitoring and demonstration programs are funded.

22672268

2266

2269 3.5.17 ASA opposes removing the word "navigable" from the Federal Clean Water Act or 2270 redefining it to include all U.S. waters which would then greatly expand the jurisdictional 2271 authority of the federal government to the detriment of farmers and others.

22722273

3.5.18 ASA believes that landowners or farmers should not be held responsible for negative water quality or public health consequences resulting from the establishment of wetlands or wildlife habitat.

22752276

2274

3.5.19 ASA supports the proper implementation of the agricultural exemption to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, including the continuation of the normal farming practice exemption.

2279

3.5.20 ASA supports water quality initiatives that are science based. ASA encourages the participating agencies in the Mississippi River Water Shed Nutrient Management Task Force project to continue to fund research and monitoring on the causes of hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico and their sources, and to submit its research to science based review.

2284

2285 3.5.21 ASA supports a Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia Action Plan that is consistent with the scientific 2286 studies in the Science Advisory Board Reports; taking into account the strength of the sources 2287 and linkages between Nitrogen and Phosphorous discharges into the Gulf.

22882289

2290

2291

2292

2293

2294

3.5.22 ASA believes the Clean Water Act does not authorize EPA's extension of Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) requirements to agricultural lands. ASA should continue to monitor the development of, and modification of; TMDL standards to ensure that any mandated TMDL requirements would be scientifically sound and economically practical for farmers to implement or farmers are provided compensation for such implementation. The EPA must be able to cite the specific scientific based research that proves that the impairment threshold is justified.

22952296

2297 3.5.23 ASA believes an Agricultural Ecosystem is a legitimate ecosystem and should be 2298 preserved and recognized in establishing water quality standards. TMDL discharge standards 2299 appropriate for other types of ecosystems should not be imposed on Agricultural Ecosystems.

2300

3.5.24 ASA supports a requirement for consideration of background loading in all TMDL studies, plans, and legislation.

23032304

2305

2306

3.5.25 ASA believes that agriculture should not be held responsible for pollution caused by natural conditions when dealing with TMDL legislation, and that all natural loadings be separately identified and properly considered in the TMDL process, and that natural loadings consider climate and ecosystem dynamics.

23072308

2309 3.5.26 ASA believes there is a need for research that ensures that climatic effects on flow and 2310 sediment loads are properly factored into TMDL studies.

23112312

2313

3.5.27 ASA supports a policy requiring that BMP's (Best Management Practices) which are promoted or required in Conservation and Water Quality programs have input from agricultural professionals, including farm operators and managers.

23142315

2316 3.5.28 ASA encourages EPA to work with producers to develop voluntary incentive-based programs that would assist producers in meeting any future water quality objectives.

2318

3.5.29 ASA opposes the establishment, by any unit of government, water quality impairment taxes or fees.

2321

3.5.30 ASA supports a requirement that TMDL allocations be updated when new science indicates the existing allocations are incorrect.

2324

3.5.31 ASA recommends that the Clean Water Act be amended to exempt producers from litigation/liability and not require a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit when producers can certify that the pesticides have been used in a manner that complies with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA).

2329

3.5.32 ASA opposes any additional permitting process for crop protection product applications 2330 and urges EPA to craft any new regulations in a way that is not onerous to farmers. ASA 2331 opposes the EPA requirements for NPDES Permits for pesticide applications in crop production. 2332 2333 3.5.33 ASA supports subsurface drainage such as tiling to reduce erosion from surface water 2334 2335 movement. 2336 2337 3.5.34 ASA opposes the inclusion of ditches and prior converted crop land on the EPA and Army Corps of Engineers list of impaired waterways. 2338 2339 3.5.35 ASA support restriction of non-elected Federal, State and Local government personnel, 2340 on participation in advisory Councils, Boards, Technical Committees and Stakeholder 2341 2342 Committees that are components on the Impaired Waters – TMDL process. 2343 3.5.36 ASA supports the balance of volunteer and elected stakeholders, and the restrictions of 2344 2345 Federal, State and Local government agency employee's as participants on advisory councils, boards, technical committees and stakeholder committees that are components of the 2346 2347 impaired waters TMDL process. 2348 2349 3.5.37 ASA will work to ensure that the Volatile Suspended Solids (organic fraction) of the Total 2350 Sediment in our rivers and streams is properly accounted for when developing load allocations 2351 for turbidity impaired waters. 2352 3.5.38 ASA supports an independent review of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the 2353 2354 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to determine how it can be downsized 2355 3.5.39 ASA supports practices and policies that create permanent runoff reduction through 2356 2357 increased crop water usage versus temporary retention through increased wetlands or dams 2358 for flood control. 2359 3.5.40 ASA does not support the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation plan to divert water from the 2360 Missouri River to the western states. 2361 2362 2363 3.5.41 ASA supports farmer implementation of environmental programs that incorporate adaptive management for nutrient stewardship and provide farmers long term economic 2364 benefits with the goal of continuous environmental improvement. 2365 2366 3.5.42 ASA has concerns about the EPA new oil spill containment regulations for on-farm fuel 2367 2368 storage. ASA urges Congress to raise the gallon limit that requires Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC) and containment to more than 10,000 gallons in above ground 2369 2370 containers, and clearly define the rules and implement consistently across the regions. (ND) 2371

3.5.43 ASA opposes the EPA utilizing citizen monitoring to collect samples and submit data for

VD Session 6/10/2014 Page 55

determining product regulation, registration, or environmental impact.

2372

23732374

3.5.44 ASA supports the use of county, state and federal funds to perform routine maintenance 2375 on water and sediment control basins, grade stabilization structures, terraces and other 2376 sediment catch basins. that have filled with sediment and that will no longer catch sediment 2377 2378 without such routine maintenance. (MN) 2379 3.5.45 ASA opposes the expansion of the Clean Water Act. [MISSOURI TO REWRITE AND 2380 2381 **RESUBMIT** 2382 2383 2384 3.6.00 Air Quality 2385 3.6.01 ASA encourages EPA to work with producers to develop voluntary, incentive-based 2386 2387 programs that would assist producers in meeting any current and future air quality standards. ASA favors air quality standards for agriculture which are ecologically and economically 2388 2389 attainable, and that are supported by science-based research. ASA further believes air quality 2390 standards for agriculture should be addressed and promulgated at the federal level. 2391 2392 3.6.02 ASA is opposed to any local, state or federal legislation or EPA actions to regulate 2393 particulate matter or odor from agriculture operations or rural environments. 2394 2395 3.6.03 ASA should be engaged in legislative and/or regulatory efforts that address Climate 2396 Change. 2397 2398 3.6.04 ASA believes that agriculture should not be subject to greenhouse gas emission caps 2399 established in Climate Change legislation or regulation. 2400 3.6.05 ASA does not support regulation of greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act. 2401 2402 2403 3.6.06 ASA supports farmers being able to enroll the same tract of land for multiple incentive based payments addressing carbon sequestration, water quality, air quality and any other 2404 future environmental credits of benefit to the society as a whole. 2405 2406 3.6.07 ASA supports carbon sequestration research and related efforts to maximize the 2407 2408 ancillary benefits of conservation practices that store carbon and other nutrients in soil. 2409 3.6.08 ASA opposes any federal legislation and/or regulation which attempts to adopt air 2410 2411 quality control standards that are not science based. 2412 2413 3.6.09 ASA is opposed to the federal government signing or endorsing any global warming 2414 treaty or implementing parts of the treaty by Executive Order that would have a negative effect 2415 on agriculture. 2416 2417 2418 3.7.00 Intellectual Property Rights 3.7.01 ASA recognizes the need for research and development to improve the profitability of 2419 2420 soybeans for the grower and to create added value traits to address end user needs, and

supports intellectual property rights of the developers of new traits, domestically and 2421 internationally. 2422 2424

2423

3.7.02 ASA supports the Plant Variety Protection Act. ASA believes this protection is important to encourage investment in research that will keep soybeans as a profitable crop and maintain soybeans as a premium source of oil and protein.

2426 2427 2428

2429

2430

2431

2425

3.7.03 Strong and uniform international intellectual property protection for new soybean technologies is critical to maintain the growth and development of the soybean industry. ASA will continue to work with the U.S. government, the U.S. seed industry and international organizations to ensure consistent global application of the intellectual property rights for new soybean technologies.

2432 2433

3.7.04 ASA supports the adoption and use of biotechnology-derived products in farming 2434 operations. ASA discourages producer contracts concerning patented genetic material that 2435 may transgress on private property rights without reasonable belief of patent infringement. 2436

2437

2438 3.7.05 ASA supports keeping the soybean genome and protenome research information in the 2439 public sector.

2440

3.7.06 ASA encourages genetic intellectual property rights to be issued and controlled in the 2441 2442 public sector when they are developed by public or soybean check-off funds.

2443

2444 3.7.07 ASA encourages broad integration of technologies with varying seed sources.

2445 2446

3.7.08 ASA encourages the seed production companies to continue offering "genetic technology" post patent.

2447 2448

2449

2450

3.7.09 ASA believes that patents derived from soybean checkoff funding which are subsequently sold to private industry, should be brought to market within a 3-year span of time, or be returned at no cost to the original public entity.

2451 2452 2453

2454 3.8.00 Education Funding

3.8.01 ASA believes that agricultural education is an important foundation for the future of 2455 agriculture and that there is need for a comprehensive school curriculum that will accurately 2456 2457 inform and educate K-12 students about the importance of agriculture. ASA particularly supports the efforts and expansion of 4-H, FFA and the national Ag-in-the-Classroom program 2458 2459 and believes that it is important that all groups representing agriculture must be involved. We 2460 also believe that the corporations and companies that are our suppliers and which resell or 2461 process commodities also have a vital interest in a favorable public perception of agriculture.

2462 2463

3.8.02 ASA supports maintaining current funding levels for youth agriculture education programs, such as 4-H, FFA, and family and consumer science programs.

2464 2465

> 6/10/2014 **VD** Session Page 57

3.8.03 ASA endorses the long range goal for agricultural education and urges ASA members to 2466 provide active support for its projects an activities on local, state and national levels that will 2467 help create new programs in communities not yet served by agricultural education and FFA and 2468 ensure the quality and high performance of current programs providing personal, academic and 2469 career education in agriculture. 2470 2471 2472 3.8.04 ASA supports more government, corporate and private education that increases public 2473 awareness of the stewardship, economics and risks involved in agricultural production. 2474 2475 3.8.05 ASA strongly urges more effort by our land grant university system and all other public and private organizations and entities to increase responsible and accurate educational 2476

programs as a way to offset consumer concerns regarding biotechnology, food safety,

renewable fuels, crop protection products and animal agriculture.

2478 2479 2480

2477

3.8.06 ASA should work at creating educational materials and opportunities to educate youth on the basics of lobbying for agriculture.

2481 2482 2483

3.8.07 ASA should work with USB to create educational materials and opportunities to educate youth and elected officials on the food chain and life cycle of soybeans.

2484 2485 2486

3.8.08 ASA supports development of education programs that will promote, enhance and encourage science education with special emphasis in K-6.

2487 2488 2489

2490 2491

2492

3.8.09 ASA supports the Morrill Act as written in 1862 and later amended in 1890. The original intent of the Morrill Act was to develop land grant universities with the charge of specializing in agriculture and mechanical arts. ASA also supports the Hatch Act, which developed the experimental stations and the Smith-Lever Act. ASA is concerned that the current implementation of these Acts has been diverted from their original and important intent.

2493 2494

2495

2496

6/10/2014 **VD** Session Page 58

IV. ORGANIZATIONAL AFFAIRS

24972498

2500

2501

2499 4.0.00 Preamble

4.0.01 ASA is a national, not-for-profit, grassroots membership organization that develops and advocates policies to increase the profitability of U.S. soybean farmer members, and the entire soybean industry. ASA further believes that control must remain with its farmer members.

250225032504

25052506

2507

2508

- 4.1.00 Membership Statement
- 4.1.01 Membership is the core of the American Soybean Association. ASA is made up of affiliated state soybean associations. These state associations provide the leadership, grassroots support, and guidance to make ASA successful. In doing this, ASA's membership generates farmer involvement and investment in ASA, strengthens ASA's policy influence and builds future leadership for the organization, demonstrated by the following statements:

250925102511

25122513

2514

2515

2516

2517

2518

2519

- Development of membership is vital for the ASA, state associations and the entire soybean industry
- Members are the foundation of the association
- Membership dues provide financial support to the association
- Members support programs and policies which make the association effective in its efforts to build opportunities for soybean farm profits
- Members determine the future direction of the association through policy resolutions and the election of volunteer leaders
- Members' policy efforts complement and enhance the soybean checkoff that funds market development, export promotion, research and education programs.

252025212522

2523

25242525

The focus of the membership program is to meet the needs of the members. The Association should seek direct input from the members, and should communicate directly with them. ASA places the membership program as one of its top priorities and believes it is vital both to ASA and the state associations to maintain and increase current membership and strive to be a strong membership organization.

252625272528

2529

2530

4.1.02 Membership is a state-oriented program developed cooperatively with the national association; therefore, ASA and affiliated state associations should adopt and aggressively pursue membership goals and develop programs, funding, and organize volunteers and staff to meet those goals.

253125322533

2534

2535

2536

2537

2538

- 4.1.03 ASA Voting Delegates supports the following membership goals:
 - As of September 30, 2014, achieve a membership goal of 22,866 members.
 - The ASA membership program should offer basic membership services and programs for which farmers are willing to pay, focus at the local and state level with national support, provide a communications umbrella for membership programs of the national and affiliated states, and significantly differentiate between the services, programs and benefits for members versus non-members.

253925402541

2542

4.1.04 ASA urges the ASA Membership Committee and staff to aid all states to meet their membership goal.

2543						
2544						
2545	4.2.00 State Membership					
2546	4.2.01 ASA urges state soybean associations to have active membership committees. Each					
2547	state is encouraged to plan and conduct membership acquisition, retention, and involvement					
2548	programs and further establish that membership be a high priority.					
2549						
2550						
2551	4.3.00 Soybean Promotion, and Research Checkoff					
2552	4.3.01 ASA supports continuation of the national soybean checkoff and encourages soybean					
2553	farmers to support continuation of the national checkoff program. ASA believes that the					
2554	national soybean checkoff is an important tool to help soybean farmers develop new uses,					
2555	conduct production research and expand domestic and foreign markets.					
2556						
2557	4.3.02 ASA supports the original intent of the Soybean Promotion and Research Checkoff					
2558	(SPARC).					
2559						
2560	4.3.03 ASA supports commodity and livestock checkoff programs.					
2561						
2562	4.3.04 ASA is committed to work as a partner with USSEC and USB to promote U.S. soybeans					
2563	and soybean products worldwide.					
2564						
2565	4.3.05 ASA, as the cooperator with USDA-FAS, fully supports USSEC as the international					
2566	marketing contractor for ASA and USB.					
2567						
2568	4.3.06 ASA believes it should maintain a shared leadership role with USB in implementing					
2569	international market development efforts.					
2570						
2571	4.3.07 ASA supports ASA and state soybean associations in contracting with USB & QSSB's and					
2572	earning a reasonable management fee. ASA and state associations shall have no restrictions or					
2573	the use of this fee.					
2574						
2575	4.3.08 ASA supports policy that would ensure that USB, USSEC, QUALISOY & QSSB'S use ASA					
2576	and state associations as primary contractors and coordinates for use in policy development					
2577	any non-restricted dollars they may generate.					
2578						
2579	4.3.09 ASA supports USB's efforts to educate the general public about the positive aspects of					
2580	production agriculture with particular emphasis on soybeans and allied commodities.					
2581						
2582	4.3.10 It is recommended that ASA and USB implement the coordination committee as					
2583	allowable in the SPARC orders.					
2584						
2585	4.3.11 ASA supports commodity check-offs and that any and all funds may not be used for any					
2586	government deficit or general funds needs.					
2587						

4.3.12 As the major force in the creation of the Soybean Promotion and Research Act (SPARC) 2588 legislation that created the United Soybean Board (USB), ASA is extremely proud of the Board it 2589 helped create and its accomplishments during the past 20 plus years. (IN OH/MI)) 2590 2591 4.3.13 One of the strengths of the national checkoff is the autonomy, authority and ability of 2592 2593 the individual Qualified State Soybean Boards (QSSBs) to manage their one-half share of the 2594 funds collected and to select the USB directors they deem qualified to best represent their state 2595 on the board. (IN OH/MI)) 2596 2597 While ASA appreciates the role USDA plays in the oversight of the management and coordination of the soybean checkoff, USDA is currently attempting to exert undue influence on 2598 the QSSBs independent ability to appoint who they wish to represent them on USB. 2599 2600 Therefore be it resolved that ASA use whatever means necessary to ensure the QSSBs receive 2601 2602 the representation on the United Soybean Board they wish and not one imposed by USDA staff 2603 or the administration. (KS) 2604 2605 4.3.14 Therefore, be it resolved that ASA urges USDA to follow the Act and Order and 2606 expeditiously appoint the directors based on nominees submitted by the QSSB's. (IN/OH/MI) 2607 4.4.00 Industry Support and Cooperation 2608 2609 4.4.01 ASA and affiliates appreciate the support they are receiving from their current agribusiness partners and encourage other agricultural allied industries to consider providing 2610 2611 financial and in-kind investments in ASA programs. 2612 4.4.02 Leadership skills are more and more valuable as the industry further expands into global 2613 markets. ASA greatly appreciates all corporate and checkoff funded programs that develop 2614 2615 leadership and relationships and reach new people in the industry. 2616 4.5.00 Public Affairs 2617 4.5.01 One of the primary member services of ASA should be to provide and implement an 2618 2619 effective governmental relations program. To be a more effective Washington, D.C. voice for U.S. soybean farmers, ASA should continue to build coalitions and develop congressional 2620 2621 contacts, as well as be sensitive to both national and/or state concerns as they are addressed 2622 by national policy.

26232624

4.5.02 ASA supports the continuation of a Political Action Committee (PAC) to support issues and individual candidates supportive of ASA policies.

26262627

2628

26292630

2631

2625

4.6.00 General Farm Organizations

4.6.01 Realizing the importance of a united front in the policy area and the need to develop a positive public image of farmers and agriculture, ASA leadership should maintain a continuing dialogue and work with general farm organizations, commodity groups, urban interests, and others to achieve our policies and objectives.

26322633

4.6.02 ASA urges that the ASA and USB Executive Committees meet at least twice annually to 2634 develop common goals and evaluate programs to benefit the U.S. soybean producer. 2635 2636 4.6.03 ASA supports efforts by commodity and rural interest groups to reach out to non-ag 2637 groups to build positive relationships and improve communication. 2638 2639 2640 4.6.04 ASA supports the United States Farmers and Ranchers Alliance and commonground 2641 programs at the National level. 2642 4.7.00 Farm Safety 2643 4.7.01 ASA encourages farm families to develop, maintain, and implement aggressive farm 2644 safety practices to help protect our current and future generations. ASA encourages 2645 2646 cooperation with other farm organizations to promote and implement farm safety and 2647 education programs. 2648 4.8.00 Financial Responsibilities 2649 4.8.01 The ASA Voting Delegate body directs the ASA Executive Committee to examine the 2650 2651 annual resolutions and formulate a priority list that takes into account available monetary and 2652 human resources. The draft copy shall be submitted to the ASA Board for review, amended if necessary, and adopted in a timely manner. 2653 2654 2655 4.9.00 State and National Soybean Association Viability 2656 4.9.01 The ability of soybean associations to successfully impact the issues of importance to 2657 soybean farmers is largely determined by the financial strength of these organizations. As such, 2658 maintaining financially viable state and national soybean associations is paramount. ASA and 2659 the state affiliates should pursue innovative fundraising strategies aimed at keeping national 2660 2661 and state soybean associations financially strong. 2662 4.9.02 Continued support and work should be maintained to ensure the viability and strength 2663 of ASA as the national soybean policy organization for state affiliates and individual farmer 2664 members. 2665 2666 2667 4.9.03 Continue to use the name American Soybean Association (ASA) for the national soybean organization for domestic and international policy and American Soybean Association-2668 International Marketing (ASA-IM) and World Initiative for Soy in Human Health (WISHH) for 2669 2670 market development. 2671 2672 2673 5.0.00 AMERICAN SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION – 2014 General Resolutions

5.1.00 The Delegates of this annual meeting wish to give special recognition to the following recruiters and states for extraordinary membership achievement in the 2013 Membership Campaign and to also recognize the top three national recruiters.

RECRUITERS

2674

2675 2676

2677

2678 2679

> 6/10/2014 **VD** Session Page 62

2680	Level 1:	ROBERT NELSEN - MINNE	SOTA					
2681	Level 2:							
2682	Level 3:	TOMMY HINES - VIRGINIA						
2683								
2684	ASA further commends all states for participating in the 2013 Membership Campaign and							
2685	applaud those who met their 2013 membership goal (in green).							
2686								
2687	Alabama	Arkansas	Georgia/Florida	Illinois				
2688	Indiana	lowa	Kansas	Kentucky				
2689	Louisiana	Michigan	Mid-Atlantic	Minnesota				
2690	Mississippi	Missouri	Nebraska	New York				
2691	North Carolina	North Dakota	Ohio	Oklahoma				
2692	South Carolina	South Dakota	Tennessee	Texas				
2693	Virginia	Wisconsin						
2694								
2695		wishes to recognize the co	•					
2696	host-city for the 2014 COMMODITY CLASSIC® and gratefully acknowledges all organizations							
2697	and individuals that made generous contributions of time, money and hospitality.							
2698								
2699	5.2.01 ASA looks forward to strengthening its relationship with NCGA, NAWG and NSP through							
2700	their Commodity Classic partnership.							
2701								
2702	5.2.02 ASA looks forward to the addition of the Association of Equipment Manufacturers (AEM)							
2703	as a Commodity Classic affiliate in 2016.							
2704 2705	E 2 02 ASA com	mands tha 2012/2014 CON	ANACOUTY CLASSIC® com	mittag mambars from ASA				
2706	5.2.03 ASA commends the 2013/2014 COMMODITY CLASSIC® committee members from ASA Co-Chair - Wyatt Whitford,							
2707	•	Dean Campbell for a job v	vall done					
2707	Sain Butter and	Dean Campbell for a job w	ven done.					
2709	5 2 04 ASA com	mends the SovPAC Commi	ttee esnecially auctionee	r Fric Maunin and Chairman				
2710	5.2.04 ASA commends the SoyPAC Committee, especially auctioneer Eric Maupin and Chairman Mike Cunningham for a job well done.							
2711	.viiike Gariiiiigiia	m ror a job men aone.						
2712	5.3.00 ASA com	mends:						
2713	 State agricultural experiment stations for their aggressive support of soybean 							
2714	research important to the future of U.S. soybean farmers							
2715	The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) for invaluable assistance with current							
2716	ASA priorities:							
2717	0	Development, maintenan	ce and expansion of mark	ets for U.S. soybeans and				
2718	soy products through the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS)							
2719	 Providing resources for development of a comprehensive environmental 							
2720	education and management program through the Natural Resources							
	(4100)							

2725 control and combat soybean diseases and pests

o Promotion of biodiesel use through increased usage of soy-based biodiesel fuel

All Federal, State and private entities to continue efforts to monitor, identify,

VD Session 6/10/2014 Page 63

Conservation Service (NRCS)

in select government fleets

2721

2722

2723

2724

- All the volunteer leaders who have become involved in soy promotion 2726 2727 • The U.S. farm and trade organizations who have worked cooperatively with ASA to achieve our mutual goals for farm policies, issues, research and promotion 2728 The United Soybean Board (USB) and QSSB's for their efforts in investing U.S. 2729 soybean farmers' check-off dollars 2730 The National Biodiesel Board (NBB) for its ongoing efforts in developing and 2731 promoting biodiesel as an end-user for soybean oil 2732 The State Associations for promoting soy-based biodiesel in their states 2733 The efforts of the National Association of Farm Broadcasting (NAFB), North 2734 American Agricultural Journalists (NAAJ) and American Agricultural Editors 2735 Association (AAEA) for their continued support of American Agriculture. 2736 The efforts of World Initiative for Soy in Human Health (WISHH) program and staff 2737 for their excellent market development work with undernourished world 2738 populations and request that ASA continue to coordinate and operate WISHH 2739 programs 2740 • The USSEC for its international marketing of U.S. soybeans and soybean products 2741 Soybean producers continued support through contributions to the World Soy 2742 Foundation. The WSF mission is to reduce malnutrition worldwide through the 2743 consumption of soy. 2744 2745
 - The formation and work of the Committee formed under the Code of Conduct Memorandum of Understanding between ASA and USB to promote collaboration between the two organizations
 - 5.4.00 The ASA delegate body commends the domestic and international employees and all affiliated states of the American Soybean Association for a job well done during the year 2013.

27472748

27492750

2751

2752

27532754

2755

2756

2757

27582759

27602761

- 5.5.00 ASA recommends that the appointees to all federal commodity checkoff boards be active producers of their commodity. [MOVE TO END OF 4.3.00]
- 5.6.00 ASA encourages all soybean farmers to voluntarily be a member of ASA so they better understand how policy and active farmer involvement compliments their checkoff funded successful marketing, research and education efforts for U.S. soybean farmer profitability. [MOVE TO END OF 4.3.00]
- 5.6.01 ASA strongly encourages USDA to select the first nominees from QSSB's to serve on USB. [MOVE TO END OF 4.3.00] (IN/OH/MI)