



 **ASA**
American Soybean
Association®

2022 DIRECTORY & RESOLUTIONS

ASA Mission

Advocate for U.S. soy farmers on policy and trade.

ASA Vision

ASA is the leading soy policy advocate and most sought-after partner and advisor advancing the success and prosperity of U.S. soybean farmers.



American Soybean Association
12647 Olive Boulevard, Ste. 410
St. Louis, MO 63141
314.576.1770

SoyGrowers.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ASA Executive Committee	3
ASA Directors	4
ASA Advocacy Teams	8
State Executives	9
ASA Staff	12
2022 ASA Policy Resolutions	14
ASA Bylaws	76
Upcoming Meetings and Events	91

ASA EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Brad Doyle (AR)

President

870.761.7730

brad@eagleseed.com

W. Stan Born (IL)

At-Large

815.514.6278

vintagefarms09@gmail.com

Daryl Cates (IL)

Vice President

618.973.6263

dcates3020@outlook.com

George Goblish (MN)

At-Large

507.828.3902

goblishg@gmail.com

Caleb Ragland (KY)

Secretary

270.537.4144

shadyrest08@icloud.com

Scott Metzger (OH)

At-Large

740.225.0035

jlscottmetzger@gmail.com

Josh Gackle (ND)

Treasurer

651.271.0454

josh.gackle@ndsga.com

Ronnie Russell (MO)

At-Large

816.868.4315

rn russ@hotmail.com

Kevin Scott (SD)

Chairman

605.360.7781

kevjs8@alliancecom.net

ASA CEO

Stephen Censky

Chief Executive Officer

(O) 314.754.1316

(C) 314.229.6412

scensky@soy.org

ASA DIRECTORS

ALABAMA

James Hereford

100 Herefordshire Rd
Gurley, AL 35748
256.682.6454
jwhereford89@gmail.com

ARKANSAS

Brad Doyle

PO Box 308
Weiner, AR 72479
870.761.7730
brad@eagleseed.com

GEORGIA/FLORIDA

Andrew Moore

802 Atkinson Dr NE
Dalton, GA 30720
770.548.2306
amoore@resacasun.com

ILLINOIS

W. Stan Born

833 Old Springfield Rd
Lovington, IL 61937
815.514.6278
vintagefarms09@gmail.com

Daryl Gates

1611 Gedern Dr
Columbia, IL 62236
618.973.6263
dcates3020@outlook.com

Jered Hooker

29 Cypress Dr
Clinton, IL 61727
309.275.1600
jeredhooker@yahoo.com

Ron Moore

1162 80th St
Roseville, IL 61473
309.255.2316
rmoore@speednet.com

Bill Raben

4000 Eldorado Blacktop Rd
Ridgway, IL 62979
618.313.2421
triplebfarms47@gmail.com

Rob Shaffer

468 E 4th St
El Paso, IL 61738
309.716.4713
shaf55@yahoo.com

Roberta Simpson-Dolbeare

13718 State Highway 96
Nebo, IL 62355
217.242.0997
brooksid@adams.net

Bill Wykes

11273 Brighton Oaks Dr
Yorkville, IL 60560
630.207.4198
wykes@prodigy.net

INDIANA

Kendell Culp

3496 S 150 W
Rensselaer, IN 47978
219.863.5872
kendell@culpfamilyfarms.com

Mike Koehne

864 E Co Rd 650 N
Greensburg, IN 47240
812.593.8178
kingdomfarms2@gmail.com

Phil Ramsey

6995 E 500 N
Shelbyville, IN 46176
317.498.0888
ramseyfarms78@gmail.com

IOWA

Steph Essick

3075 400th St
Dickens, IA 51333
712.260.2061
steph.essick@gmail.com

ASA DIRECTORS *(continued)*

Wayne Fredericks

1700 350th St
Osage, IA 50461
641.832.7106
fredericksw@osage.net

Morey Hill

2338 320th St
Madrid, IA 50156
515.360.0055
myhillfarm@gmail.com

Jeff Jorgenson

2926 180th St
Sidney, IA 51652
712.350.0407
jeffjorg5@gmail.com

Brian Kemp

5580 190th St
Sibley, IA 51249
712.261.3730
bkemp@premieronline.net

Dave Walton

808 Seminary St
Wilton, IA 52778
563.506.0646
waltonagseed@yahoo.com

KANSAS

Charles Atkinson

37 NW 50 Rd
Great Bend, KS 67530
620.797.1396
quapaw@cox.net

Andy Winsor

3005 Clark Rd
Grantville, KS 66429
785.224.6043
andywinsorfarm@gmail.com

KENTUCKY

Gerry Hayden

7650 Highway 1046
Calhoun, KY 42327
270.929.4306
ghayden1400@gmail.com

Caleb Ragland

680 Upton Rd
Magnolia, KY 42757
270.537.4144
shadyrest08@icloud.com

Fred Sipes

675 Stith Valley Rd
Ekron, KY 40117
270.668.2783
fsipes@bbtel.com

LOUISIANA

Luke Sayes

2928 Effie Hwy
Deville, LA 71328
318.332.8095
sayesmp195@yahoo.com

MICHIGAN

Heather Feuerstein

1384 Johnson Rd
Belding, MI 48809
616.808.1095
heatherjune09@yahoo.com

Matt Stutzman

4211 Treat Hwy
Adrian, MI 49221
517.260.1720
stutzman99@hotmail.com

MID-ATLANTIC

John Comegys

132 Tuxward Rd
Hartly, DE 19953
302.359.2116
jwcomegys@gmail.com

MINNESOTA

Jamie Beyer

406 10th St N
Wheaton, MN 56296
701.866.2725
jamie.v.beyer@gmail.com

ASA DIRECTORS

George Goblsh

32866 Dayton Ave
Vesta, MN 56292
507.828.3902
goblishg@gmail.com

Adam Guetter

25599 Harvest Ave
Wabasso, MN 56293
507.828.2945
aguetter7@hotmail.com

Chris Hill

79687 330th Ave
Brewster, MN 56119
507.370.0526
chris_hill.landandgrain@
yahoo.com

Jim Kukowski

17485 County Rd 6
Strathcona, MN 56759
218.689.3663
kukowskiseed@wiktel.com

Mike Petefish

13200 650th St
Claremont, MN 55924
651.261.5984
mrpsoy@gmail.com

Joel Schreurs

2157 County Highway 8
Tyler, MN 56178
507.829.8854
joelschr@gmail.com

MISSISSIPPI

Willard Jack

PO Box 534
Belzoni, MS 39038
662.299.8141
willard@silent-shade.com

MISSOURI

Brooks Hurst

27190 180th St
Tarkio, MO 64491
816.261.7710
abhurst@tarkio.net

Matthew McCrate

2508 Horse Shoe Rd
Cape Girardeau, MO 63701
573.225.5013
matt.mccrate@charter.net

Ronnie Russell

495 Trail Ridge Rd
Richmond, MO 64085
816.868.4315
rnruss@hotmail.com

Russell Wolf

205 W High St
Syracuse, MO 65354
660.287.9004
rewslw@gmail.com

NEBRASKA

Ken Boswell

504 Road V
Shickley, NE 68436
402.469.5297
klboswellfarm@gmail.com

Dennis Fujan

3060 County Rd 0
Prague, NE 68050
402.480.4950
dpfujan@gmail.com

NEW YORK

Brad Macauley

5244 Booher Hill Rd
Geneseo, NY 14454
585.704.1506
merrimac02@gmail.com

NORTH CAROLINA

Jimmy Thomas

8251 Oxford Rd
Timberlake, NC 27583
336.504.1746
tffinc1@gmail.com

NORTH DAKOTA

Josh Gackle

6 Center St E
Kulm, ND 58456
651.271.0454
josh.gackle@ndsga.com

ASA DIRECTORS *(continued)*

Monte Peterson

11595 41 M St SE
Valley City, ND 58072
701.840.0066
montepeterson19@gmail.com

OHIO

Jerry Bambauer

06337 E Shelby Rd
New Bremen, OH 45869
419.953.6470
jmlb@watchtv.net

Scott Metzger

9821 Westfall Rd
Frankfort, OH 45628
740.225.0035
jpscottmetzger@gmail.com

Ryan Rhoades

2395 Boxwell Rd
Prospect, OH 43342
740.225.0654
rhoades.ryan@protonmail.com

OKLAHOMA

Pam Snelson

4030 N 4020 Rd
Wann, OK 74083
918.440.3155
snelfarms@gmail.com

SOUTH CAROLINA

Justin Rivers

600 Land of Promise Rd
Chesterfield, SC 29709
843.348.8012
cecfield@shtc.net

SOUTH DAKOTA

Kevin Scott

26743 488th Ave
Valley Springs, SD 57068
605.360.7781
kevjs8@alliancecom.net

Brandon Wipf

40396 S Shore Rd
Huron, SD 57350
605.350.1978
bwipf@sdssoybean.org

TENNESSEE

Alan Meadows

865 Leon Meadows Rd
Halls, TN 38040
731.431.8229
alan.meadows8229@gmail.com

Casey Youngerman

1240 Youngerman Rd
Lexington, TN 38351
731.695.9812
cyoungerman@outlook.com

TEXAS

Mauricio Garcia

800 E Sundown Dr
McAllen, TX 78503
956.369.2238
mauriciogarciaballi@gmail.com

VIRGINIA

Nick Moody

4522 White Oak Rd
Blackstone, VA 23824
804.896.4221
nickm88@vt.edu

WISCONSIN

Don Lutz

E199 Bestul Rd
Scandinavia, WI 54977
715.412.3686
lutzfarms@aol.com

CANADA

Jeff Harrison

92 Harrison Rd, RR3
Quinte West, Ontario CAN
K8V 5P6
613.847.1232
jeffh.gfo@gmail.com

ASA ADVOCACY TEAMS

Farm Policy

Ronnie Russell, Chair
Kendell Culp, Vice Chair
Steph Essick
Heather Feuerstein
Dennis Fujan
Mauricio Garcia
Ron Moore
Mike Petefish
Andy Winsor
Russell Wolf
Casey Youngerman
Christy Seyfert, ASA Staff
Liaison
Tom Oswald, USB Liaison

Trade Policy & International Affairs

Bill Raben, Chair
Matt Stutzman, Vice Chair
Stan Born
Josh Gackle
Adam Guetter
Jeff Jorgenson
Brian Kemp
Mike Koehne
Monte Peterson
Joel Schreurs
Roberta Simpson-Dolbear
Virginia Houston, ASA Staff
Liaison
Philip Good, USB Liaison

Conservation & Precision Ag

Pam Snelson, Chair
Andrew Moore, Vice Chair
Charles Atkinson
John Comegys
Wayne Fredericks
George Goblish
Jim Kukowski

Don Lutz
Brad Macauley
Fred Sipes
Jimmy Thomas
Brandon Wipf
Ariel Wiegard, ASA Staff
Liaison
Kevin Wilson, USB Liaison

Biofuels & Infrastructure

Willard Jack, Chair
Dave Walton, Vice Chair
Jerry Bambauer
Ken Boswell
Jeff Harrison
Gerry Hayden
Morey Hill
Brooks Hurst
Caleb Ragland
Phil Ramsey
Ryan Rhoades
Justin Rivers
Rob Shaffer
Alexa Combelic, ASA Staff
Liaison
Bill Zurn, USB Liaison

Regulatory

Alan Meadows, Chair
Jered Hooker, Vice Chair
Jamie Beyer
James Hereford
Chris Hill
Matt McCrate
Scott Metzger
Nick Moody
Luke Sayes
Bill Wykes
Kyle Kunkler, ASA Staff Liaison
Lindsay Grenier, USB Liaison

STATE EXECUTIVES

ALABAMA

Alabama Soybean and Corn Association
Madison, AL

Mark Hall

256.882.3369
mark@alabamasoycorn.org

Alabama Soybean Producers
Montgomery, AL

Carla Hornady

334.467.9347
chornady@alfafarmers.org

ARKANSAS

Arkansas Soybean Association
Little Rock, AR

Dawn Howe

501.666.1418
swsoy@artistotle.net

Arkansas Soybean Promotion
Board

Little Rock, AR

Mark Lambert

501.224.4400
mark.lambert@arfb.com

GEORGIA and FLORIDA

Georgia/Florida Soybean
Association
Athens, GA

Terry Hollifield

706.542.2351
terry.hollifield@georgiacrop.com

Georgia Agricultural
Commodity Commission for
Soybeans
Athens, GA

Billy Skaggs

706.542.2351
gasoybean@gmail.com

ILLINOIS

Illinois Soybean Association
Bloomington, IL

John Lumpe

309.663.7692
johnl@ils soy.org

INDIANA

Indiana Soybean Alliance
Indianapolis, IN

Courtney Kingery

317.347.3620
ckingery@indianasoybean.com

IOWA

Iowa Soybean Association
Ankeny, IA

Kirk Leeds

515.251.8640
kleeds@iasoybeans.com

KANSAS

Kansas Soybean Association
Kansas Soybean Commission
Topeka, KS

Kaleb Little

785.271.1030
little@kansassoybeans.org

KENTUCKY

Kentucky Soybean
Association
Kentucky Soybean Board
Princeton, KY

Debbie Ellis

270.365.7214
dellis@kysoy.org

LOUISIANA

Louisiana Cotton & Grain
Association
Monroe, LA

Bobby Skeen

318.537.8403
bskeen@la-ag.com

Louisiana Soybean and Grain
Research and Promotion
Board

Baton Rouge, LA

Andy Brown

225.922.6209
andyb@lfbf.org

(continues on page 10)

STATE EXECUTIVES

MICHIGAN

Michigan Soybean
Association
Michigan Soybean Committee
St. Johns, MI
Janna Fritz
989.652.3294
jfritz@michigansoybean.org

MID-ATLANTIC

Mid-Atlantic Soybean
Association (DE, MD, NJ and PA)
Greenwood, DE
Richard Wilkins
302.242.1495
richard.soyfarmer@gmail.com

Delaware Soybean Board
Maryland Soybean Board
Federalsburg, MD

Danielle Farace
443.812.4526
danielle@desoybeans.org
danielle@mdsoy.com

New Jersey Soybean Board Inc.
Trenton, NJ

Debbie Hart
609.585.6871
dhart@njsoybean.org

Pennsylvania Soybean Board
Harrisburg, PA

Jennifer Reed-Harry
717.651.5922
jrharry@pasoybean.org

MINNESOTA

Minnesota Soybean Growers
Association
Mankato, MN
Joe Smentek
507.388.1635
jsmentek@mns soybean.com

Minnesota Soybean Research
and Promotion Council
Mankato, MN

Tom Slunicka
507.388.1635
tslunicka@mns soybean.com

MISSISSIPPI

Mississippi Soybean
Association
Leland, MS

Craig Hankins
662.721.6515
chankins@msfb.org

Mississippi Soybean
Promotion Board
Starkville, MS

Dennis Reginelli, Ph.D.
662.418.4480
dennisr@bellsouth.net

MISSOURI

Missouri Soybean Association
Missouri Soybean
Merchandising Council
Jefferson City, MO

Gary Wheeler
573.635.3819
gwheeler@mosoy.org

NEBRASKA

Nebraska Soybean
Association
Lincoln, NE
Lori Luebbe
402.441.3239
lori@nebraskasoybeans.org

Nebraska Soybean Board
Lincoln, NE

Scott Ritzman
402.441.3240
scott@nebraskasoybeans.org

NEW YORK

New York Corn & Soybean
Growers Association
Silver Springs, NY

Colleen Klein
585.689.2321
cklein@nycornsoy.com

STATE EXECUTIVES *(continued)*

NORTH CAROLINA

North Carolina Soybean
Producers Association Inc.
Raleigh, NC

Owen Wagner

919.839.5700

ocwagner@ncsoy.org

NORTH DAKOTA

North Dakota Soybean
Growers Association
Fargo, ND

Nancy Johnson

701.566.9300

nancy.johnson@ndsga.com

North Dakota Soybean
Council

Fargo, ND

Stephanie Sinner

701.566.9300

ssinner@ndsoybean.org

OHIO

Ohio Soybean Association
Ohio Soybean Council
Worthington, OH

Kirk Merritt

614.476.3100

kmerritt@soyohio.org

OKLAHOMA

Oklahoma Soybean
Association
Oklahoma Soybean Board
Claremore, OK

Rick Reimer

918.343.2326

oklasoy@gmail.com

SOUTH CAROLINA

South Carolina Corn and
Soybean Association
South Carolina Soybean Board
Columbia, SC

Mary Catherine Cromley

803.422.7889

mcromley@scda.sc.gov

SOUTH DAKOTA

South Dakota Soybean
Association
South Dakota Soybean
Research and Promotion Council
Sioux Falls, SD

Jerry Schmitz

605.330.9942

jschmitz@sdsoybean.org

TENNESSEE

Tennessee Soybean Association
Tennessee Soybean Promotion
Board

Tennessee Soybean Promotion
Council

Jackson, TN

Parks Wells

731.668.2850

pwells@tnsoybeans.org

TEXAS

Texas Soybean Association
Texas Soybean Board
Lubbock, TX

David Gibson

806.687.6367

dgibson@texassoybeans.org

VIRGINIA

Virginia Soybean Association
Goochland, VA

Rachel Moore Gresham

804.466.1693

rachel.gresham@vasoybean.com

Virginia Soybean Board
Richmond, VA

Phil Hickman

804.371.6157

phil.hickman@vdacs.virginia.gov

WISCONSIN

Wisconsin Soybean
Association
Wisconsin Soybean Marketing
Board, Inc.

Madison, WI

Executive Position Open

608.274.7522

ASA STAFF

Ellen Ariston

Convention Coordinator
(0) 314.754.1283
eariston@soy.org

James Bernhardt

Director, Monitoring &
Evaluation, WISHH
(0) 314.754.1355
jbernhardt@soy.org

Wendy Brannen

Senior Director, Marketing &
Communications
(C) 703.216.0607
wbrannen@soy.org

Jordan Bright

Communications Manager
(0) 314.754.1344
jbright@soy.org

Lynn Burgdorf

Accounting & Compliance
Coordinator, WISHH
(0) 314.754.1354
lburgdorf@soy.org

Stephen Censky

Chief Executive Officer
(0) 314.754.1316
(C) 314.229.6412
scensky@soy.org

Alexa Combelic

Director, Government Affairs
(C) 203.631.0818
acombelic@soy.org

Marypat Corbett

Director, Latin America
Division & Special Projects
Lead, WISHH
(0) 314.754.1343
mcorbett@soy.org

Eric DeMerit

Manager, Program Operations,
WISHH
(0) 314.754.1304
edemerit@soy.org

Theresa Fang

Project Manager, Africa,
WISHH
(0) 314.754.1329
tfang@soy.org

Scott Gerlt

Economist
(0) 314.754.1330
sgerlt@soy.org

Farris Haley

Program Coordinator
(0) 314.754.1284
fhaley@soy.org

Denise Hart

Meetings Manager
(0) 314.754.1367
dhart@soy.org

Virginia Houston

Director, Government Affairs
(C) 423.337.8484
vhouston@soy.org

Michelle Hummel

Director, Industry &
Stakeholder Relations
(0) 314.754.1328
mhummel@soy.org

Michael Jones

Office & Operations Manager
(0) 314.754.1324
mjones@soy.org

Kyle Kunkler

Director, Government Affairs
(C) 202.702.9462
kkunkler@soy.org

Austin Leefers

Project Director, CAST & New
Business Development Lead
(0) 314.754.1314
aleefers@soy.org

ASA STAFF *(continued)*

Christine Luelf

Director, Affiliate Relations &
Leader Development
(0) 314.754.1291
cluelf@soy.org

Josh Neiderman

Regional Director, Africa,
WISHH
(C) 314.696.7338
jneiderman@soy.org

Sharetha Newsome

Office Administrator
(0) 314.754.1312
snewsome@soy.org

Leila Payer

Program Assistant, Special
Projects, WISHH
(0) 314.754.1368
lpayer@soy.org

Gena Perry

Executive Director, WISHH
(0) 314.754.1320
gperry@soy.org

Kendra Murphy Pirk

Commodity Classic Manager
(0) 314.754.1303
kpirk@soy.org

Abby Podkul

Director, Meetings &
Conventions
(0) 314.754.1345
apodkul@soy.org

Alan Poock

Director, Asia Division,
WISHH
(0) 314.754.1353
apoock@soy.org

Bill Schuermann

Executive Director, State &
Industry Relations
(C) 314.610.9756
bschuermann@soy.org

Christy Seyfert

Executive Director,
Government Affairs
(C) 571.523.9568
cseyfert@soy.org

Blair Shipp

State Policy Communications
Coordinator
(C) 703.350.2021
bshipp@soy.org

Chris Slempe

Director, Africa Division, WISHH
(0) 314.754.1301
cslempe@soy.org

Brian Vaught

Chief Financial Officer
(0) 314.754.1298
bvaught@soy.org

Jill Wagenblast

Director, Publications &
Special Projects
(0) 314.754.1310
jwagenblast@soy.org

Cindy Westervelt

Accounting Coordinator
(0) 314.754.1295
cwestervelt@soy.org

Ariel Wiegard

Director, Government Affairs
(C) 610.291.0450
awiegard@soy.org

Vickie Wilks

Chief Operating Officer, WISHH
(0) 314.754.1341
vwilks@soy.org

Kim Young

Lead Accountant
(0) 314.754.1305
kyoung@soy.org

Hannah Younger

Program Coordinator
(0) 314.754.1335
hyounger@soy.org



2022 ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

Approved by
ASA Voting Delegates
March 12, 2022

2022 ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

TITLE A – FARM SUPPORT, DOMESTIC MARKETS AND TRANSPORTATION

PREAMBLE.....	17
FARM INCOME SUPPORT PROGRAMS	17
General.....	17
Price and Revenue Supports	18
Payment Limitations and Eligibility.....	18
CROP INSURANCE	19
PRODUCTION	21
General.....	21
Crop Protection	21
Energy.....	24
DOMESTIC MARKETS.....	24
General.....	24
Livestock and Aquaculture	25
Bio-based Products	27
Soy Foods	27
BIOMASS-BASED DIESEL	28
TRANSPORTATION	31
General.....	31
Waterways Infrastructure.....	32
Railroads.....	34
Highways and Roads.....	34
COMMUNICATIONS AND TECHNOLOGY.....	35

TITLE B – RESEARCH, CONSERVATION AND FARMER-FOCUSED POLICIES

PREAMBLE.....	37
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH	37
General.....	37
Research Funding	38
Intellectual Property Rights.....	40
DOMESTIC BIOTECHNOLOGY	40
General.....	40
U.S. Regulatory Approvals.....	41
Domestic Commercialization of New Biotech Traits..	41
Biotech Product Labeling.....	42
COMPETITION POLICY.....	43
Antitrust.....	43
Soybean Trading	43
SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE.....	44
CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES	45
General.....	45
Water Quality and Usage.....	48
Nutrient Management.....	50

Air Quality..... 51
 Wildlife and Endangered Species..... 51
 Wetlands..... 53
 Carbon, Climate, and Ecosystem Services Markets ... 54
 EDUCATION FUNDING..... 56
 FARMER-FOCUSED POLICIES 57
 Farmer Wellness..... 57
 Contract Production 57
 Equity Protection of Grain..... 57
 Farm Continuation and Tax Policy 57
 Producer Data..... 59
 Property Rights 60
 Financing 62
 Farm Labor 63

TITLE C – TRADE POLICY, FOREIGN MARKET DEVELOPMENT & FOOD SECURITY

PREAMBLE..... 64
 TRADE POLICY..... 64
 General..... 64
 WTO Policies..... 65
 Regional and Bilateral Agreements..... 66
 Government Responsibilities 67
 FOREIGN MARKET PROMOTION AND
 EXPORT CREDIT PROGRAMS..... 67
 Foreign Market Development Programs 67
 Export Credit Guarantee Programs..... 68
 Government Responsibilities: Marketing & Export... 68
 INTERNATIONAL BIOTECHNOLOGY POLICIES..... 68
 International Regulatory Approvals
 and Commercialization 68
 Low Level Presence 69
 ASSISTANCE TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES 69
 Foreign Food Assistance 69
 International Agricultural Development..... 70
 Quality, Grading and Sustainability Standards 71

TITLE D – ORGANIZATIONAL AFFAIRS

PREAMBLE..... 73
 MEMBERSHIP 73
 CHECKOFF AND PROMOTION..... 73
 INDUSTRY AND COMMUNITY 74
 PUBLIC AFFAIRS 74
 FINANCE AND VIABILITY 75

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

TITLE A – FARM SUPPORT, DOMESTIC MARKETS AND TRANSPORTATION

PREAMBLE

The American Soybean Association (ASA) supports individual freedom and private initiative through the competitive enterprise system. ASA supports achieving a balanced federal budget and reducing the national debt through a comprehensive approach to deficit reduction that includes all entitlement programs and discretionary spending. Reductions in the cost of agriculture-related programs should be proportionate.

FARM INCOME SUPPORT PROGRAMS

General

- A-1. ASA supports a soybean program that:
 - a. assists farmers to better manage risk
 - b. provides planting flexibility to enable U.S. soybean farmers to base their decisions on market signals
 - c. responds to environmental and conservation concerns
- A-2. ASA supports a Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) with sufficient ability to provide benefits in a timely manner. Any program funded by the CCC should primarily accrue benefits to agricultural producers. (2021)
- A-3. ASA will work closely with other farm organizations to enhance risk management tools and strengthen the farm safety net in the next Farm Bill, which could include an increased reference price, increased loan rate, and a voluntary base acre update, among others. (2022)
- A-4. ASA supports maintaining decoupling under the ARC and PLC programs. (2017)
- A-5. ASA urges USDA to adopt a uniform definition for a “Beginning Farmer and Rancher” to be used by all agencies within the department. We suggest that any definition used by the Farm Service Agency (FSA) adds these provisions directing the FSA to allow a producer to exclude certain years from their beginning farmer status if the producer meets one of the following criteria:
 - while they are under the age of 18 (including the crop year you turn 18)
 - while they are on full-time active duty in the U.S. military
 - while they are in a post-secondary education program (the post-secondary education exclusion cannot exceed 5 years) (2020)
- A-6. ASA encourages the Farm Service Agency (FSA) to have adequate in-person staffing at county offices for processing approvals and requests made by producers in a timely manner. (2022)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

Price and Revenue Supports

- A-7. ASA supports programs that do not distort planting decisions, and which are WTO compliant.
- A-8. ASA supports non-recourse marketing loans.
- A-9. ASA supports the continuation of beneficial interest status in commodities for producers marketing through cooperatives at the time they receive a Loan Deficiency Payment (LDP) or Marketing Loan Gain under the marketing loan program. (2021)
- A-10. ASA supports equitable treatment of soybean producers in the development and implementation of the federal disaster and low-price assistance programs.
- A-11. ASA supports the use of federal crop insurance records and/or production evidence from similar surrounding farms to update yields for federal farm programs. (2017)
- A-12. ASA supports amending the restriction on planting fruits and vegetables on program crop base acres to allow producers to preserve base history on acres planted to these except under the current exemption for double-cropping practices. (2015)
- A-13. The CCC should not assess checkoff fees on soybeans under loan unless they are forfeited to the CCC.
- A-14. ASA supports the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and opposes reopening this legislation. (2019)
- A-15. ASA supports keeping the agricultural titles and the nutrition title in the next Farm Bill. (2019)
- A-16. ASA supports economic assistance when significant market losses occur that are not covered by the existing farm safety net. (2022)
- A-17. ASA supports changing the acreage reporting dates of the Farm Service Agency (FSA) and Risk Management Agency (RMA) from July 15 to July 22. (2020)

Payment Limitations and Eligibility

- A-18. ASA opposes imposing means testing on federal farm payments and loans made to U.S. farmers.
- A-19. ASA opposes restricting eligibility for marketing loan gains or LDPs.
- A-20. ASA supports the definition of actively engaged in farming in effect under the 2018 Farm Bill. (2019)
- A-21. ASA supports individual eligibility of a producer and spouse for equal program benefits.
- A-22. ASA supports eligibility of soybeans grown for non-traditional uses for all payments for which commodity soybeans are eligible.

CROP INSURANCE

- A-23. ASA urges protection of crop insurance, which serves as a valuable risk management tool, and private sector delivery. ASA supports enhancements to expand coverage and product offerings at an affordable cost and address regional needs while maintaining actuarial soundness. (2020)
- A-24. ASA supports consideration of additional crop insurance options to improve risk management, including increasing support at the higher levels of coverage, reviewing the potential for multiyear disaster coverage, and expanding development of revenue protection programs. (2020)
- A-25. ASA urges the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) to establish representative farmer advisory committees at both the federal and state levels to provide input into the process of evaluating and revising farming methods to be included for coverage.
- A-26. ASA supports work toward the following changes being made to the crop insurance program.
- On land rated as high risk due to a specific peril, such as flooding, we recommend the attachment of a rider for that peril which will allow the producer to buy up additional coverage for other perils at regular rates.
 - The actual loss in crop value attributed to crop quantity and quality discounts should be fully covered by crop insurance. (2021)
- A-27. ASA supports timely processing and payment of crop insurance indemnities. (2021)
- A-28. ASA recommends that RMA establish a comprehensive and fair policy for double crop soybeans. ASA supports efforts of states or regions that double-crop soybeans to compile the production and yield data necessary to allow FSA and RMA to adjust final planting dates without penalty on a sound actuarial basis. (2015)
- A-29. ASA recommends that in counties where the practice of double-cropping is recognized as an acceptable practice that RMA rules requiring the exact double-crop practice in two out of the last four years be eliminated. (2014)
- A-30. ASA supports a stand-alone double-crop insurance policy in counties where the practice of double-cropping is recognized as an acceptable practice under RMA rules. (2016)
- A-31. ASA urges USDA-RMA to adjust the geographic line northward for producers to be eligible to insure double cropped soybeans. (2022)
- A-32. ASA supports alternative private revenue protection products or insurance be made available for soybean farmers to use as risk management tools in addition to the current federal crop insurance program.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- A-33. ASA urges Risk Management Agency (RMA) and Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) to reinstate Area Risk Protection Insurance (ARPI) policies in counties where no longer available. (2018)
- A-34. ASA recognizes that the interaction between a farmer and their crop insurance agent and the amount of service provided by the agent to the farmer exceeds that of other types of insurance. ASA urges the Risk Management Agency (RMA) to consider this when considering the Standard Reinsurance Agreement (SRA).
- A-35. ASA requests the Risk Management Agency (RMA) to recognize the increased value of specialty soybeans when calculating plug yields when producers switch from commodity soybeans to specialty soybeans.
- A-36. ASA supports private insurance carriers delivering crop insurance programs and opposes having FSA selling and servicing federal crop insurance. ASA opposes any further cuts to the administrative and operating (A&O) expense reimbursement and underwriting to approved insurance providers. (2020)
- A-37. As soybean farmers adopt new traits that are covered under federal crop insurance, ASA urges that:
- a. Crop insurance agents be trained to explain all allowable options available to clients regarding insuring specialty and commodity soybeans.
 - b. RMA strive to verify yield correlation between existing APH transfer to specialty soybeans where appropriate.
- A-38. ASA supports representation of producers actively engaged in soybean production on the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation's Board of Directors. (2021)
- A-39. ASA recognizes that cover crops offer economic benefits including improved soil quality. ASA supports practical Risk Management Agency (RMA) policies regarding cover crops that require producers to continue best management practices. (2020)
- A-40. ASA supports the trend adjusted APH endorsement for crop insurance. (2021)
- A-41. ASA supports a producer's ability to reopen a crop insurance claim if the discrepancy of delivered bushels exceeds 3% or \$5,000, whichever is less. The final settlement must be completed no later than 270 days after the date of signing the claim. (2015)
- A-42. ASA opposes reducing the current government subsidy levels of federal crop insurance premiums and opposes means testing for crop insurance. (2020)

- A-43. ASA supports continuous evaluation of crop insurance policies including reviewing and updating beginning and ending planting dates, premium levels and adjustment guidelines, to address developing management practices and technologies. ASA supports pilot programs that gather necessary data to determine those sustainable conservation practices that reduce risk and could lower farmers' crop insurance premiums. (2019)
- A-44. ASA supports survey research that utilizes post-harvest scientific sampling strategies within National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) identified Palmer Drought Index areas to supplement USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) reported yields to compute more realistic and yet statistically defensible yields for RMA crop insurance programs. (2019)
- A-45. ASA supports continuation of the harvest price option in revenue protection policies while preserving current levels of underwriting premium support. (2018)
- A-46. ASA supports changes to crop insurance policies and/or procedures that will make quality loss adjustments more closely aligned with revenue losses in the marketplace when quality damage occurs in soybeans. (2019)

PRODUCTION

General

- A-47. ASA supports increased domestic production and the unrestricted international exchange of feedstocks, goods, and services to ensure growers have consistent, affordable access to inputs, equipment, and other necessary agricultural supplies. (2022)
- A-48. ASA supports policies that would ease supply chain delays and obstructions. (2022)
- A-49. ASA opposes excessive regulations on farm inputs. (2022)

Crop Protection

- A-50. ASA supports and encourages reasonable efforts to improve crop protection product safety, handling, and education. ASA supports farm and commodity organizations taking leadership on those environmental and food safety issues that affect producers. ASA supports the efforts of farm organizations to obtain objective scientific research that quantifies the economic impacts of agricultural input restrictions. ASA encourages the inclusion of agricultural organizations by government, consumer, and environmental groups in designing practical solutions to environmental issues. (2017)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- A-51. ASA supports the use of safe, environmentally friendly containers for agricultural inputs. ASA supports policies that require Good Laboratory Practices (GLP) in generation of data for crop protection products. ASA supports agricultural leaders and environmental advocates working together in developing environmental policies and common goals, creating an atmosphere of cooperation.
- A-52. ASA supports EPA using scientifically valid data and research, including from agronomic field scientists outside of EPA, in making decisions governing crop production inputs, and that EPA and other regulatory bodies must consider economic impact statements and risk-benefit analysis before proposing any restriction on inputs or new technology. (2022)
- A-53. ASA supports expedited approval process for new, environmentally-friendly crop protection products. (2018)
- A-54. ASA encourages EPA when reviewing and considering registration changes for crop production inputs to take into consideration the current market availability and supply distribution situation of these products and potential replacement products prior to making any changes. (2022)
- A-55. ASA supports EPA using its authority to grant producers emergency use permits when emergency situations occur. (2021)
- A-56. ASA supports voluntary record keeping for general use crop protection product application and believes that such a practice provides evidence of responsible land and water use.
- A-57. ASA encourages state soybean associations to take an active role in states' development of environmental policy. Local governing bodies should be prohibited from imposing requirements more stringent than federal requirements on the sale or use of federally registered crop protection products or biotech products.
- A-58. ASA endorses the use of voluntary integrated pest management programs and best management practices consistent with sound ecological and economical principles.
- A-59. ASA opposes farmers being liable for environmental damages resulting from input use where recommended label rates and application procedures are followed.
- A-60. ASA supports all imported food products meeting EPA-established pesticide residue tolerances (maximum residue levels). (2021)
- A-61. ASA supports implementation of Worker Protection Standards (WPS) in a way that protects agricultural workers and bystanders while not undermining the ability of growers to use pesticides according to the label or placing growers at increased liability for doing so. (2021)

- A-62. ASA opposes the arbitrary or unnecessary discontinuation of pesticide products, uses, or tolerances to maximize the tools available to growers for best management practices. (2022)
- A-63. ASA opposes EPA establishing nationwide testing for certification or re-certification of private pesticide applicators, and supports allowing individual states to determine testing procedures, necessary training, and re-certification length. Online and non-classroom programs should be considered. (2020)
- A-64. ASA recognizes that crop protection products are a significant part of the soybean farmer's input costs. ASA supports that all Canadian crop protection products that have an equivalent U.S. EPA registered product be given automatic reciprocal EPA registration. (2018)
- A-65. ASA opposes any additional permitting process for crop protection product applications and urges EPA to craft any new regulations in a way that is not onerous to farmers. ASA opposes EPA requirements for NPDES permits for pesticide applications in crop production.
- A-66. ASA supports the use of sound science, realistic assumptions, and real-world data for conducting Endangered Species Act evaluations and consultations. (2022)
- A-67. ASA supports regulators consulting with growers to identify flexible, practical mitigations that are workable for growers and will protect endangered species and their habitats. ASA opposes the use of broad, disruptive restrictions, including county-wide prohibitions, to implement Endangered Species Act requirements in pesticide registrations. (2022)
- A-68. ASA opposes regulators imposing new use restrictions on existing pesticides effective for the upcoming growing season no later than August 31 the year prior to give growers sufficient certainty of product use conditions needed to make purchasing decisions. Ideally, regulators will announce any new use restrictions at least one year before they are to take effect. (2022)
- A-69. ASA supports the use of crop protection products based on current EPA regulations, provided application follows the label and manufacturers support further testing of the product. ASA encourages additional educational efforts to increase the knowledge applicators and producers have regarding new chemical technologies and their interaction on other crops. (2018)
- A-70. ASA encourages makers of any new crop protection formulations to increase their investments in and recognition of national and state associations, academic institutions, and state departments of agriculture for their efforts to ensure new innovative technologies are available for growers to combat weed resistance issues. (2018)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- A-71. ASA supports the history of scientific collaboration between the EPA and the appropriate state agency through the 24c registration process and asks that the EPA respect the sound science behind special local needs labels. (2022)

Energy

- A-72. ASA supports preferential energy allocation for agricultural production and marketing during times of critical energy shortages.
- A-73. ASA opposes the sale, transfer or other disposal of the federal power marketing administrations, as well as any regulatory or legislative measures that would increase the costs of electricity or impose other artificial cost burdens onto the rates U.S. farmers must now pay for electrical energy.
- A-74. ASA is opposed to any national or international carbon or energy tax program. (2022)
- A-75. ASA supports domestic production of petroleum and natural gas to stabilize future increases in farm input supply prices. (2021)
- A-76. ASA supports a comprehensive energy policy which utilizes all current sources and continues to develop and implement all new sources of domestically produced energy. (2015)
- A-77. ASA supports the routing and construction of current & future pipelines and other infrastructure to fully utilize North American energy resources. (2021)
- A-78. ASA supports inclusion of an Energy Title in the Farm Bill that includes specific authorization and funding for the Bioenergy Program and the Biodiesel Fuel Education Program to support and promote the development and use of biofuels. (2022)
- A-79. ASA calls on Congress to allocate funding for and require electrical providers to properly harden the U.S. electrical grid. (2018)
- A-80. ASA calls on Congress to allocate funding for and encourage electrical providers to expand three-phase power grid into rural areas. (2019)

DOMESTIC MARKETS

General

- A-81. ASA supports developing, maintaining, expanding, and promoting markets for identity preserved and specialty soybeans or soybean products. ASA supports premium structures that reflect the additional costs of identity preservation.
- A-82. ASA supports the promotion and use of registered soybean product logos to establish consumer awareness. (2021)

A-83. ASA affirms that USDA’s agricultural reports be classified as an “essential government service” to ensure their scheduled release in the event of government service disruptions. (2017)

Livestock and Aquaculture

- A-84. ASA supports the expanded use of soybean products by the livestock, poultry, dairy and aquaculture industries. ASA will work with these industries to promote the importance of these foods in a healthy, balanced, and sustainable diet. (2022)
- A-85. ASA will publicly support responsible livestock producers who face unwarranted challenges by individuals or organizations through local, state and federal zoning, permitting, regulatory or legislative processes. (2016)
- A-86. ASA supports extending cost share dollars to livestock and aquaculture producers for improvements to environmental sustainability, including upgrades to capture/reduce methane emissions. (2022)
- A-87. ASA supports the use of science-based environmental review process to evaluate livestock facilities siting. ASA also supports that objecting petitioners reside within reasonable proximity of the questioned site. (2021)
- A-88. ASA supports animal disease traceability programs to protect against the loss of soybean demand due to the outbreak of livestock, poultry, dairy and aquaculture diseases. (2022)
- A-89. ASA supports the efforts of the U.S. livestock, poultry, dairy and aquaculture industries to vigorously oppose any initiatives that would limit the use of modern and accepted production practices. (2020)
- A-90. ASA supports expansion of the domestic aquaculture industry, including offshore aquaculture, to increase food security, create jobs, and reduce the negative trade balance in aquaculture. ASA also encourages federal funding for research that would optimize the use of soybean protein and oil in aquaculture feed.
- A-91. ASA supports clear, consistent and reasonable science-based regulations and processes needed for the livestock industry to remain profitable. ASA further recognizes the following as science-based programs:
- a. Pork Quality Assurance Plus (PQA+)
 - b. United Egg Producers Certified (UEP)
 - c. Beef Quality Assurance (BQA)
 - d. Humane Slaughter Act as regulated by the USDA and meat packing industry
 - e. Transport Quality Assurance (TQA)
 - f. Farmers Assuring Responsible Management (FARM) (2020)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- A-92. ASA supports offshore marine aquaculture legislation that creates a means to achieve security of tenure for farms. (2019)
- A-93. ASA supports working with our partners to educate policymakers that modern animal production is science-based, provides safeguards for humane treatment of animals and is an important part of the soy value chain. (2022)
- A-94. ASA opposes legislative efforts and ballot initiatives that restrict established animal production systems that adequately provide for animal welfare, worker safety and environmental and economic sustainability.
- A-95. ASA opposes any state's attempt to regulate interstate commerce of crop and livestock products by adopting more stringent regulations than federal requirements for crop and livestock production and products and applying those standards to all products sold in that state.
- A-96. ASA supports full funding for the National Animal Vaccine and Veterinary Countermeasures Bank, the National Animal Disease Preparedness and Response Program, and the National Animal Health Laboratory Network (NAHLN). (2021)
- A-97. ASA supports agriculture exemptions for emissions reporting requirements under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). (2018)
- A-98. ASA affirms that U.S. food regulatory agencies should ban the labeling of plant or cell-cultured food products as meat. (2022)
- A-99. ASA supports the authority of APHIS to conduct risk assessments for foreign animal diseases that could enter the U.S. ASA also supports APHIS's authority to quarantine imported animals, animal products, feed ingredients, oilseeds, pet foods and pet products that pose risks of disease transmission. (2021)
- A-100. ASA supports full collaboration with states, industry, USDA agencies and producers to address bio security protocols and best practices, in response to African swine fever and other diseases. (2020)
- A-101. ASA opposes any legislative efforts that would limit or ban the size of large-animal unit feeding operations. (2021)
- A-102. ASA supports efforts to increase the number and capacity of local and regional livestock processing facilities in the U.S. ASA also supports efforts to assist those facilities to become federally inspected facilities so product can be sold across state lines. (2021)
- A-103. ASA supports research efforts toward vaccine development for African Swine Fever (ASF). (2022)

A-104. ASA opposes labeling of animal manure as a hazardous waste.

Bio-based Products

A-105. ASA supports incentives for the use of bio-based products. ASA supports expanding USDA’s bio-preferred product list and an equitable tax credit for bio-based products. ASA encourages the USDA to actively provide the bio-based product label in order to increase acceptance and use of soy-based products by consumers and industry.

A-106. ASA supports a soy or bio-based buying preference for federal, state and local government entities.

A-107. ASA supports new and increased funding for soy or bio-based product research and development, government-sponsored collaborations with academia and the private sector, and encourages expanded marketing and consumer education on bio-based product labeling and bio-based products. (2021)

A-108. ASA supports federal efforts to voluntarily increase on-farm use of soy or bio-based products, including for fuel, equipment, construction materials, and conservation purposes. (2022)

A-109. ASA supports specific authorization and funding for the Biobased Market Program (BioPreferred Program) in the Energy Title of the Farm Bill. (2022)

Soy Foods

A-110. ASA supports exempting refined vegetable oil from any domestic or international labeling requirement based on allergenicity. (2022)

A-111. ASA supports front of package labeling on processed foods indicating the level of saturated fat content on a per serving basis.

A-112. ASA opposes local, state and federal laws, tariffs, and regulations that discriminate against the use of soy oil or protein in foods.

A-113. ASA supports the increased use of soy protein in foods and beverages, including foods used in federal nutrition programs, and opposes the protein restrictions for school lunches.

A-114. ASA supports the reimbursable option for fortified soy beverage without the doctor’s note requirement in the federal school lunch and breakfast programs. (2022)

A-115. ASA affirms that qualitative definitions of food such as wholesome or nutritious should be based on the food’s actual composition without regard to geographical origin, growing practices, or type of crop (e.g., local foods, biotech traits, corn sugar, organic, etc.).

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

A-116. ASA supports marketing of high oleic soybeans and commercialization of next generation high or enhanced oil soybeans to offer expanded use of soybean oil to grow food sector and industrial demand. (2022)

BIOMASS-BASED DIESEL

- A-117. ASA supports U.S. production of biodiesel, renewable hydrocarbon diesel, and sustainable aviation fuel (hereafter referred to collectively as biomass-based diesel) using domestic feed stocks. (2022)
- A-118. ASA supports policies that encourage all diesel fuel and diesel-powered vehicles to use biodiesel, a biodiesel blend, or renewable hydrocarbon diesel. (2022)
- A-119. ASA supports the development of state and federal legislation that promotes biomass-based diesel through:
- a. Tax incentives that maintain a blenders credit.
 - b. Minimum renewable fuel content requirements that include biomass-based diesel.
 - c. Programs that promote biomass-based diesel blends of 11% minimum while striving for 20% or higher.
 - d. Energy security measures that reduce U.S. dependence on foreign oil sources.
 - e. Use of biomass-based diesel as a fuel additive to improve the lubricity of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel for on and off-road applications, including railroads.
 - f. Federal and state grants or programs for establishing biomass-based diesel infrastructure.
 - g. Incentivizing and support for biomass-based diesel inclusion in meeting the International Maritime Organization 2020 global particulate reduction mandate. (2022)
- A-120. ASA supports long-term extension of the biodiesel blender's tax credit. (2019)
- A-121. ASA recognizes and supports the role agriculture can and should play in the U.S. food and fuel security. ASA believes the biofuels industry utilizes co-products from agricultural production to reduce U.S. dependence on foreign oil as well as lower carbon emission, enabling the U.S. to become more energy independent.
- A-122. ASA supports biodiesel and renewable hydrocarbon diesel as domestically produced commercially available biomass-based diesel fuels that meets EPA's definition of an advanced biofuel to meet RFS2 obligation. (2019)
- A-123. ASA supports the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS2) that reflects the expansion of the renewable fuels industry for biodiesel, renewable hydrocarbon diesel and ethanol, and opposes any changes that would reduce obligations

or otherwise negatively impact the biodiesel or renewable hydrocarbon diesel industry. (2022)

- A-124. ASA urges EPA to release renewable volume obligation (RVO) volumes in a timely manner as established by Congress and in doing so restrict the damage that delaying the volume levels announcement past the established deadline can cause the biomass-based diesel industry. (2022)
- A-125. ASA affirms EPA should place a higher priority on policies that promote and support domestic biomass-based diesel production, including the establishment of annual volume requirements that accommodate increasing volumes of domestic biomass-based diesel production. One of the primary intents and purposes of the Renewable Fuel Standard is to promote U.S. energy independence and reduce dependence on imports. (2022)
- A-126. ASA supports stringent enforcement by EPA of RFS feedstock eligibility requirements for imported biomass-based diesel. (2022)
- A-127. ASA supports policy that requires the economic impacts on production agriculture, including both crops and livestock, to be analyzed prior to any consideration by the EPA of a Renewable Fuel Standards (RFS2) waiver request. ASA opposes any waiver request with negative economic impact to agriculture. (2019)
- A-128. ASA encourages state soybean associations and all biomass-based diesel stakeholders to enhance these fuels as a renewable energy source through communications and marketing efforts. (2022)
- A-129. ASA supports a nationwide effort for each state to:
- a. Define biodiesel as a fuel comprised of mono-alkyl esters of long chain fatty acids derived from vegetable oils or animal fats, designated B100, and meeting the requirements of ASTM D6751.
 - b. Adopt the most current version of ASTM D6751 as the specification for biodiesel used as a blend stock with diesel fuels, as well as future biodiesel or biodiesel blend specifications approved by ASTM.
 - c. Encourage state officials to actively enforce the adopted biodiesel related fuel specification standard.
 - d. Encourage the adoption and enforcement of BQ9000 for production facilities and marketers of biodiesel. (2022)
- A-130. ASA supports and endorses the inclusion of soybeans and soybean-based product applications and blends of such products in the development and implementation of any potential alternative and/or renewable fuel policy programs. ASA only supports the ASTM designation of D6751 as the quality standard for biodiesel.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- A-131. ASA encourages petroleum distributors to provide biomass-based diesel blends at all stations across the country to enhance our nation's energy security, improve our air quality and reduce our dependence on foreign oil. (2022)
- A-132. ASA recommends continued national education and research in the use of soy oil and other alternative sources of energy from renewable agricultural products. (2019)
- A-133. ASA supports labeling at the fuel pump when biomass-based diesel is at or above 6%, as defined by American Standard for Testing Materials (ASTM) and the National Council on Weights and Measures (NCWM). ASA supports labeling biodiesel and renewable diesel in an identical manner at fuel pumps. (2022)
- A-134. ASA supports nationwide fuel quality testing at the appropriate governmental level to ensure the highest quality in all fuels. (2014)
- A-135. ASA supports the development of technologies to produce additional renewable energy products (including but not limited to cellulosic ethanol, soy meal derived ethanol or bio-butanol, and hydrogen from soybean sources).
- A-136. ASA encourages the development and usage of technologies addressing cold flow properties in the production of soy biomass-based diesel. (2022)
- A-137. ASA supports government programs that fund construction of biofuels infrastructure such as terminals and any new retail devices including but not limited to blender pumps to distribute various blends of biodiesel at the level desired by the customer. (2022)
- A-138. ASA supports the work that Clean Fuels Alliance America has done to support practices and promote the use of sustainable feed stocks and biomass-based diesel production methods. (2022)
- A-139. ASA supports the use of biodiesel for electrical generation, industrial and home heating purposes, and supports promotion of U.S. soy biodiesel (bio-heat) in home heating oil markets. (2019)
- A-140. ASA opposes importation of unfairly subsidized foreign biomass-based diesel that is sold at levels below domestic production costs. (2022)
- A-141. ASA supports educating legislators and the public about food and fuel as it relates to biomass-based diesel production. (2022)
- A-142. ASA supports the use of domestically produced biomass-based diesel in national, state and regional programs to lower the carbon intensity of transportation fuels. (2022)
- A-143. ASA opposes tax incentives, subsidies, and RFS eligibility for co-processed renewable diesel and co-processed sustainable aviation fuel. (2022)

- A-144. ASA supports defining co-processed renewable diesel as a product that is produced at an existing petroleum facility through a process that uses a mixture of petroleum and renewable feedstocks. (2021)
- A-145. ASA supports defining renewable hydrocarbon diesel as a product produced at a stand-alone facility that processes 100% renewable feedstock into 100% renewable diesel. (2021)
- A-146. ASA seeks recognition by the U.S. EPA that soybean oil is the preferred feedstock for sustainably produced renewable hydrocarbon diesel and biomass-based diesel. (2021)
- A-147. ASA opposes federal support policies, including tax incentives, research and development funding, pilot programs or other subsidies for sustainable aviation fuel that exclude from eligibility soybean oil and other agricultural feedstocks. (2022)

TRANSPORTATION

General

- A-148. ASA urges the Department of Transportation (DOT), the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), other agencies, and private industry to ensure an infrastructure allowing U.S. soybeans to be delivered to domestic and international markets in a timely and cost-effective manner.
- A-149. ASA supports directing federal infrastructure funding toward improving commercial transportation, including locks and dams, navigation projects, and rural roads and bridges. (2021)
- A-150. ASA supports efforts by the Soy Transportation Coalition to address issues affecting the marketing and transport of oilseeds, grains, and their products.
- A-151. ASA supports an infrastructure funding framework that allows for public and private investment in the U.S. commercial transportation system to ensure U.S. soybeans and soybean products will be delivered to domestic and international markets in a timely and cost-effective manner.
- A-152. ASA opposes any new labeling of soy oil that would restrict its current transportation status.
- A-153. ASA supports the transportation of petroleum through pipelines in order to alleviate transportation congestion that limits soybeans ability to be delivered to all markets. (2015)
- A-154. ASA supports the extended hours of service and the exemption of the electronic logging device (ELD) when carrying livestock and other agricultural commodities and products beyond the 150 air-mile agricultural zone. (2021)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- A-155. ASA opposes all regulation which requires the phase-out of engines with older model years. ASA strongly encourages increased incentives and standards for the use of renewable fuels to lower emissions and particulate matter. (2021)
- A-156. ASA supports the continued exemption of agricultural-related hauling from the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration Commercial Driver's License (CDL) Program. (2021)
- A-157. ASA supports an option that allows drivers to test out of CDL training requirements. (2022)
- A-158. ASA supports federal infrastructure legislation including a minimum of 25% funding carve-out for rural areas. (2021)

Waterways Infrastructure

- A-159. ASA supports maintenance and improvements of the U.S. waterway and navigation systems. (2019)
- A-160. ASA affirms that monies deposited into the Inland Waterways Trust Fund should be used for new construction and major rehabilitation of navigation infrastructure. (2019)
- A-161. ASA supports additional and alternative financing measures to supplement the Inland Waterways Trust Fund (IWTF) to provide sufficient funds for new construction for major rehabilitation and maintenance needs. ASA supports additional and alternative financing measures to provide a steadier and more reliable funding stream that is needed to reduce U.S. Army Corps of Engineers project costs and timeframe for completion, excluding privatization. (2019)
- A-162. ASA supports the maintenance and improvement of river locks and dams which maintain water-shipping levels in a manner that sustains barge traffic and does not inhibit agricultural production. We believe available funding should first be directed toward maintaining and preserving the existing system. We support the necessary funding to modify and expand structures and facilities that increase transportation efficiency. (2018)
- A-163. ASA urges the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Congress to take actions necessary to ensure that sufficient funding is provided for dredging all ports and waterways including the Great Lakes and the St. Lawrence Seaway, to maintain the maximum authorized navigable depth to ensure the free movement of soybeans and soybean product domestically and internationally. (2014)
- A-164. ASA supports legislation to require that all funds collected for the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund (HMTF) are used for the intended purposes of waterways dredging and port maintenance.

- A-165. ASA urges the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to recognize flood control and navigation as their top priority. ASA also urges that their funding reflects and supports these priorities.
- A-166. ASA urges the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and other responsible agencies to expedite the process of rebuilding and reconstructing flood control structures. ASA opposes proposed Master Water Control Manuals that would cause seasonal flooding or restricted barge traffic on the nation's waterways. ASA opposes any diversion of river flows that would adversely impact barge transportation.
- ASA supports keeping all river navigation systems important to agriculture open for use.
 - ASA supports maintaining full funding for all river navigation systems.
 - ASA opposes actions by FEMA to expand a flood plain designation. (2021)
- A-167. ASA urges Congress and the Administration to adopt legislation and policies to minimize strikes, work slowdowns and lockouts that interrupt the flow of soybeans, soybean products, and other farm commodities to our customers. (2022)
- A-168. ASA supports the further development of west coast shipping of Midwest soybeans and soy products. (2022)
- A-169. ASA supports an exemption for bulk agricultural commodities from the Jones Act. (2021)
- A-170. ASA supports the aggressive pursuit of container shipping of soybeans and soybean products.
- A-171. ASA supports the creation of inland container handling ports facilitating the growth of containerized intermodal soybean shipments of soybeans and soybean products. (2022)
- A-172. ASA supports the free movement of containerized soy-fed products in refrigerated containers containing meat products for export and the elimination of barriers limiting refrigerated container movement. (2022)
- A-173. ASA supports expanded dredging of Lower Mississippi River Ship Channel to maintain an operating river channel depth of 50 feet. (2021)
- A-174. ASA supports the dredging of the Port of Catoosa and the Arkansas River to attain a depth of 12 feet to support loading of barges to capacity. (2020)
- A-175. ASA supports enhanced navigation along the Missouri River. (2021)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- A-176. ASA supports and encourages full delivery of the projects contained in the Navigation and Ecosystem Sustainability Program (NESP), including construction of new 1,200-foot lock chambers, and any additional efforts to improve navigation on the Upper Mississippi and Illinois Rivers. (2022)
- A-177. ASA supports public policies to maintain a navigable level of water in rivers and inland waterways by preventing the Corps of Engineers from assigning undue importance and priority to interests such as recreation to the detriment of power, municipal water supply, navigation, and flood control. (2020)

Railroads

- A-178. ASA supports legislative and regulatory efforts to promote increased competition in the rail industry to foster better service and lower rates. (2022)
- A-179. ASA supports the development of increased railroad capacity to export facilities to help maintain the U.S. reputation for timely delivery of soybeans. (2018)
- A-180. ASA supports strong state and federal assistance in maintaining low volume rail facilities in rural areas of the country.
- A-181. ASA encourages alternative access for farmers in the event of railroad grade closings during and following railroad development.
- A-182. ASA encourages the U.S. railroad industry to include biomass-based diesel in their fuel use at the optimal levels appropriate to support an energy balance that achieves mitigation of impacts to the environments through emissions mitigation, efficiency of the locomotive operation including considerations of maintenance and repair and enhance relationships with agricultural customers and stakeholders. (2022)
- A-183. ASA supports expansion of container handling facilities along the U.S. rail network. (2019)
- A-184. ASA encourages all Class I railroads hauling agriculture products to create agriculture advisory boards. (2021)
- A-185. ASA urges the Surface Transportation Board to review precision-scheduled railroading practices by Class I railroads to prevent the railroads from demarketing agricultural customers. (2021)
- A-186. ASA encourages the Surface Transportation Board to pursue reforms that will increase access to competitive rail service and market-based rates. (2021)

Highways and Roads

- A-187. ASA recognizes the need to increase revenue to fund the Federal Highway Trust Fund and encourages a legislative

solution that levies equitable federal taxes on all motor vehicles, regardless of energy source. (2021)

- A-188. ASA urges state and federal Departments of Transportation to update roads and bridges to accommodate all modern implements of husbandry, trucks and trailers per federal DOT definition.
- A-189. ASA supports expanding the truck weight limits on federal highways to a minimum of 91,000 pounds, provided that there is a 6th axle with proper vehicle configurations. (2015)
- A-190. ASA opposes states or local municipalities enacting lower weight limits on primary roads going through their jurisdiction without providing alternative routes. Alternative routes should be comparable in distance and time traveled. (2021)
- A-191. ASA encourages federal and state government entities to review ways to improve our state and federal highway system and to explore ways to finance it by working with the freight industry. (2016)
- A-192. ASA supports researching alternate methods of funding the Federal Highway Trust Fund that do not disproportionately affect agriculture more than other industries. (2018)
- A-193. ASA supports the Soy Transportation Coalition project that promotes the use of load testing technology when evaluating the load-carrying capacity and structural integrity of bridges. (2021)
- A-194. ASA supports the efforts of the Soy Transportation Coalition and others in promoting innovative methods for replacing and repairing rural bridges that: 1.) Will provide cost savings; 2.) Have been affirmed by credible engineers; and 3.) Are widely accessible throughout rural America. ASA supports dissemination of this information to state, county, and local officials to promote better management of rural infrastructure. (2021)
- A-195. ASA urges the use of soy-based products to be used by the U.S. Department of Transportation on all federally funded infrastructure projects where applicable. (2019)

COMMUNICATIONS AND TECHNOLOGY

- A-196. ASA affirms that broadband access to the Internet is important to the quality of life in rural America and to strong and competitive farming operations. ASA encourages private businesses and cooperatives to collaborate with state and local governments to seek grants through the USDA's Rural Utilities Service (RUS), U.S. Department of Commerce, Federal Communications Commission (FCC), and applicable COVID-19 relief legislation or other available sources to bring quality high-speed broadband to rural areas at affordable rates. (2021)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- A-197. ASA supports a dependable and free public Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) differential real time kinematic correction signal available across all of the U.S.' agricultural production land. (2017)
- A-198. ASA supports the FCC collaborating, to the greatest extent practicable, with relevant experts at USDA and other stakeholder agencies to ensure the agriculture sector is not adversely impacted by spectrum licensing decisions. (2022)
- A-199. ASA opposes the use of adjacent bandwidth by any company that would compromise the effectiveness of GPS technology for farmers.
- A-200. ASA encourages the continued development, expansion and improvement of cellular networks to adequately address the needs of rural regions of the United States. (2017)
- A-201. ASA supports the agricultural producer's right to repair equipment and machinery. (2022)
- A-202. ASA supports federal oversight of private entities receiving federal broadband contracts in rural areas to ensure adequate and efficient broadband service is deployed in rural and underserved areas. (2021)
- A-203. ASA supports federal efforts to: a) ensure farmers have accurate and reliable access to the enabling technologies they need to benefit from precision agriculture technology; and, b) expand growers' voluntary adoption of precision technology to improve environmental stewardship and provide economic returns. (2022)
- A-204. ASA affirms that agriculture and rural stakeholders should be represented in any federal decision-making affecting drone usage policies and best practices. (2021)
- A-205. ASA supports efficient deployment of Broadband Equity, Access & Deployment Program funds made available through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (P.L. 117-58) and encourages the National Telecommunications and Information Administration to work closely with rural and agricultural areas as the program is introduced. (2022)
- A-206. ASA supports improved broadband mapping to ensure adequate broadband access to every American. (2022)
- A-207. ASA supports USDA partnering with other federal agencies, as well as academics, developers, and industry stakeholders, to prevent, protect against, mitigate, respond to, investigate, and recover from cyber incidents; bring new, secure technologies to market; and support adoption of information security standards across agriculture that create equipment and software redundancies and maintain sharp focus on protecting against unauthorized access to or use of agriculture sector data. (2022)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

TITLE B – RESEARCH, CONSERVATION AND FARMER-FOCUSED POLICIES

PREAMBLE

The American Soybean Association (ASA) is a leading advocate for the research of agronomics, crop husbandry, animal and aquaculture nutrition, food and industrial uses, conservation priorities, and standards needed to support and maintain a viable, profitable, resilient, and sustainable soybean industry. ASA supports the various sources of funding needed to meet and expand these goals. (2021)

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

General

- B-1. ASA supports research that increases competitiveness of U.S. soybean farmers, expands consumer use of soybean products, improves efficiency and profitability of soybean producers, and positions U.S. soybeans and soy products as the preferred source. (2021)
- B-2. ASA supports more research on soybean composition that focuses on the enhancement of industrial applications (including bio-based energy), health and nutrition benefits, animal nutrition, and production for both domestic and foreign customers.
- B-3. ASA supports research and development of conventional soybean varieties that are important to agriculture, provide the greatest biodiversity, and produce the widest availability of varieties and traits. ASA encourages private and public soybean breeders to continue the development of conventional soybean varieties and to make them available to soybean producers. Conventional plant breeding and germplasm accessibility must be maintained. (2022)
- B-4. ASA supports the National Coalition for Food and Agricultural Research (C-FAR) and state councils' efforts intended to promote research funding for food and agriculture initiatives. (2022)
- B-5. ASA encourages soybean producers to select seed genetics based on high quality, high yielding protein, and oil content. ASA also encourages soybean seed companies to include estimated amino acid, protein, oil, and energy values content on a 13% moisture basis in their sales literature. Seed variety name/number should be available to the producer at the time of purchase. ASA encourages seeking ways to enhance the nutritional bundle and oil levels. (2021)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-6. ASA supports a requirement that all research data cited or used as the basis for regulations, rules, laws or policies that impact production agriculture are based on the most current and up-to-date peer reviewed science, and that the data, background material, sources, linkages, and citations are made available to the public and are completely open for comment and independent review. (2022)
- B-7. ASA supports new technologies for trait development that enhance individual soybean plant characteristics using the soybean genome. (2017)
- B-8. ASA supports USDA funding of research on sulfur and micronutrients as they relate to increasing soybean yield, optimizing amino acid balance, and increasing oil and protein content. (2022)

Research Funding

- B-9. ASA supports increasing federal investment in USDA's Agricultural Research Service (ARS) and the USDA's National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) programs that will benefit soybean producers. (2017)
- B-10. ASA supports increased funding for USDA ARS's National Plant Germplasm System, including full disclosure of all information concerning germination, vigor, and quality. (2021)
- B-11. ASA supports full funding of the USDA ARS for the exploration and applied use of the USDA NPGS Global Soybean Collection to develop new and diverse conventional varieties as parental stock to broaden the genetic base of public and private soybean breeding. (2021)
- B-12. ASA requests that soybean research conducted at public institutions and funded completely or in part with soybean checkoff investments be considered as public property and made available for the benefit of U.S. soybean farmers. (2017)
- B-13. ASA encourages public soybean breeders to release new varieties on a non-exclusive basis.
- B-14. ASA supports research into new resistant varieties, biologicals and genomes, producer education, and testing recommendations for Soybean Cyst Nematode. Soybean varieties should be tested for cyst nematode reproduction. Seed tags and marketing and information profiles should reflect if it is a cyst resistant variety, source of resistance, and the variety number. (2017)
- B-15. ASA supports working with the American Seed Trade Association (ASTA) or individual seed companies to develop guidelines which allow farmers to continue planting and propagating soybean varieties that seed companies no longer offer for sale. (2022)

- B-16. ASA supports a coordinated effort of state and national soybean organizations to set priorities for all federally funded soybean research projects. Among the factors to be considered in setting priorities are acreage, disease, and compositional traits. (2017)
- B-17. ASA supports federal funding of research that would optimize the use of soy in aquaculture feed and support aquaculture species development to better utilize soybean protein. (2021)
- B-18. ASA opposes any USDA efforts to impose administrative charges on cooperative research agreements, including farmer checkoff-funded research projects.
- B-19. ASA supports adequate funding through USDA's Animal Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) to protect the U.S. soybean industry from both bioterrorism and naturally occurring pests and pathogens. (2017)
- B-20. ASA supports the development and transition to high oleic soybeans.
- B-21. ASA requests that APHIS takes all appropriate precautions to protect domestic soybean production against the additional spread of soybean rust. Importation of whole soybeans, soybean meal, and soybean seed from countries with soybean rust infestation must be subject to science-based regulations. (2017)
- B-22. ASA supports Homeland Security Presidential Directive, HSPD-9, a national policy established to protect U.S. agriculture and food systems against terrorist attacks and major disasters including the spread of diseases and pathogens. (2020)
- B-23. ASA requests that Congress and USDA permanently fund the Integrated Pest Information Platform for Extension and Education (iPIPE). (2020)
- B-24. ASA supports additional USDA and other federal agency funding for projects dedicated to developing new soybean varieties resistant to drought and flood damage. ASA supports conventional field testing and genomic analysis. Existing soybean varieties should be tested for resistance to drought and flooding. (2021)
- B-25. ASA supports expanded funding for research to ensure sustainable use of crop protection technology to manage resistance issues and to promote sound environmental stewardship. (2021)
- B-26. ASA supports increasing funding to levels that allow USDA to collect data to achieve the most accurate, all-inclusive information and transparency to producers and researchers at land grant universities while at the same time protecting producer anonymity. (2022)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-27. ASA supports USDA funding of soybean research at levels proportionate with soybean's share of farmgate receipts. (2022)

Intellectual Property Rights

- B-28. ASA supports research and development to create added-value traits intended to improve soybean sustainability and growers' profitability. (2019)
- B-29. ASA supports the Plant Variety Protection Act. (2017)
- B-30. ASA supports continued work with the U.S. government, seed industry, and national and international organizations to ensure consistent global application of soybean technologies' intellectual property rights, essential to the growth and development of the soybean industry. (2017)
- B-31. ASA discourages producer contracts concerning patented genetic material that may transgress on private property rights without reasonable belief of patent infringement.
- B-32. ASA supports keeping basic research information related to the soybean genome, transcriptome, proteome and metabolome in the public sector. (2021)
- B-33. ASA encourages genetic intellectual property rights to be issued and controlled in the public sector when they are developed by public or soybean checkoff funds.
- B-34. ASA encourages the seed production companies to continue offering "genetic technology" post patent.
- B-35. ASA supports patents derived through soybean checkoff funding which are subsequently sold to private industry, should be brought to market within three years, or returned cost-free to the original public entity. (2017)
- B-36. ASA supports resolution of issues with China regarding intellectual property rights. (2020)

DOMESTIC BIOTECHNOLOGY

General

- B-37. ASA supports the adoption and use of biotechnology-derived products in farming operations. ASA supports biotechnology and believes the development of biotechnology-enhanced crop varieties and products will benefit farmers, consumers, and the environment. ASA supports the use of biotechnology as a key tool to help us meet growing world food, health and energy needs. ASA supports USDA, EPA and FDA operating a timely, efficient, predictable, and science-based regulatory system for approval of new biotech traits. (2018)

U.S. Regulatory Approvals

- B-38. ASA supports seed trait developers engaging with appropriate or necessary U.S. regulatory agencies and acquiring any necessary regulatory approvals prior to entering biotechnology crop traits into commerce. (2021)
- B-39. ASA supports the development of plant breeding innovations, which include modification of plants that could be found in nature or obtained through conventional breeding, as valuable tools that are needed to adapt to changing conditions and environmental threats. (2019)
- B-40. ASA supports plant breeding innovations being regulated the same as similar varieties that are found in nature or developed through conventional breeding that do not require pre-market regulatory approval. ASA urges USDA, EPA, and FDA to coordinate closely in changes to the Coordinated Framework to affirm this policy domestically as well as work with export countries to harmonize that approach internationally. (2019)
- B-41. ASA supports moving regulatory oversight for gene-edited and transgenic agricultural livestock from the FDA to the USDA. (2021)

Domestic Commercialization of New Biotech Traits

- B-42. ASA supports proper traceability and stewardship protocols being in place prior to commercialization for biotechnology soybean varieties intended for specific, non-generic uses to, as required or appropriate, prevent their comingling with the bulk commodity soybean market. (2021)
- B-43. ASA supports a clear, predictable federal regulatory pathway for plant biostimulants, which are substances, microorganism, or a mixture thereof that, when applied to seeds, plants, the rhizosphere, soil or other growth media, acts to support a plant's natural nutrition processes independently of the plant biostimulant's nutrient content. The plant biostimulant thereby improves nutrient availability, uptake or use efficiency, tolerance to abiotic stress, and consequent growth, development, quality or yield. (2022)
- B-44. ASA encourages biotechnology and seed companies bringing new soybean biotech products to the market to implement a comprehensive "marketplace acceptance" strategy at least one, and preferably two to three years, before the products are commercialized. Such a strategy should include outreach and education to both domestic and foreign buyers, processors, feed millers, food companies, livestock feeders, retailers, consumer groups, and the media.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-45. ASA supports expansion of controlled identity preserved systems that meet ASA's Identity Preserved guidelines for internationally unapproved biotech and specialty varieties while continuing to provide customers with the products they desire and support the development, production and promotion of biotech crops that are acceptable to domestic and foreign consumers.
- B-46. ASA opposes producers being held liable for damages resulting from biotechnology-enhanced product use, when recommended practices and procedures are followed.
- B-47. ASA supports, as is scientifically possible, seed trait developers creating tests that can be used to efficiently detect the presence of a new biotechnology trait before varieties containing that trait enter commerce. ASA supports the development of a review process that can result in these tests becoming standardized. (2021)
- B-48. ASA supports private sector efforts to resolve any issues surrounding the deregulation of special use biotech traits through consultations between the trait provider and interested industry sectors, including farmer associations, processors, and exporters.
- B-49. ASA supports production contracts that allow for producers' liability to end when the first purchaser accepts the product.
- B-50. ASA supports efficient, timely, risk and science-based regulatory policies for products of biotechnology that are based on characteristics of the product itself, and not the method used to produce it. Undue regulatory burden on products of plant breeding innovation, such as gene edited varieties, may discourage scientific innovation and limit technology adoption by private and public soybean breeders. (2021)

Biotech Product Labeling

- B-51. ASA opposes a patchwork of state disclosure requirements for foods containing bioengineered ingredients and supports P.L. 114-216, the National Bioengineered Food Disclosure Standard and its final 2018 implementing regulations, which establishes a national, uniform disclosure standard for foods containing bioengineered ingredients. (2021)
- B-52. ASA supports working closely with food manufacturers, technology companies, and others in the supply chain to develop strategies for the long-term on biotech labeling and implementation of the biotech labeling law and update to the Coordinated Framework. (2017)
- B-53. ASA supports the definition of "bioengineering" in Section 291 of P.L. 114-216 and believes that rules and regulations to implement the law should adhere to the statute. (2017)

- B-54. ASA supports legislation that would prohibit labeling a product as non-GMO when there is no GMO alternative available for use. (2018)

COMPETITION POLICY

Antitrust

- B-55. ASA urges strengthening the enforcement rules of antitrust laws and the Agricultural Fair Practices Act to protect the economic interests of America's farmers that may be affected by vertical integration and consolidation.
- B-56. ASA supports the following changes to antitrust statutes and regulations that will further protect the sellers of commodities from anti-competitive behavior:
- The Department of Justice (DOJ) should ensure that proposed cooperative and/or vertical integration arrangements, if implemented, should continue to maintain independent producers' access to markets.
 - USDA should be more active in giving authority to review and provide recommendations to the DOJ on agribusiness mergers and acquisitions.
 - A high-level position should be maintained within the DOJ to enforce antitrust laws in agriculture.
 - USDA should be empowered to investigate mergers, consolidation or concentration of agricultural input suppliers and processors for antitrust or anti-competitive activities.
- B-57. ASA supports analyzing the potential impacts on soybean farmers of proposed agribusiness mergers. If our analysis identifies areas of concern, ASA will urge divestiture or other remedies for any segments of a proposed merger where competition would be significantly impacted, or disapproval of the merger. (2017)

Soybean Trading

- B-58. ASA opposes a merger of the CFTC and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) or the transfer of futures regulation to any agency other than CFTC.
- B-59. ASA opposes federal regulation of margin levels for futures contracts and on options contracts. ASA opposes transaction fees on commodity trading which will inhibit the trading of soybeans and soybean products.
- B-60. ASA recommends any futures or options transactions that offset a current or anticipated cash commodity position and reduce price or basis risk should be considered a hedging position and not speculating. Gain or loss from a hedging or option position should be considered by the IRS as ordinary gain or loss for either personal or corporate tax returns.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-61. ASA supports a change in tax law to treat call options that are tied to a cash sale the same as a put option with gains taxed as ordinary income and losses 100% deductible in the year they are incurred.
- B-62. ASA supports improvement of federal oversight of commodity hedge funds to ensure the integrity of the futures trading system.
- B-63. ASA recommends the CFTC release daily trading reports to provide transparency for producers. (2017)

SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

- B-64. ASA supports biotechnology, agritechnology, commercial fertilizer, and commercial crop protection products as part of an integrated crop management system and believes that any definition of “sustainable agriculture” includes the use of these products. (2022)
- B-65. ASA supports a statutory definition of sustainable agriculture that reads, “an integrated system of plant and animal production practices having a site-specific application that will, over the long term:
 - a. Satisfy human food, fuel, and fiber needs;
 - b. Enhance environmental quality and the natural resource base upon which the agriculture economy depends;
 - c. Make the most efficient use of nonrenewable resources and on-farm resources and integrate, where appropriate, natural biological cycles and controls;
 - d. Sustain the economic viability of farm operations;
 - e. Enhance the quality of life for farmers and society as a whole. (2022)
- B-66. ASA supports an aggregate approach to documenting the sustainability of U.S. soybean production. ASA believes U.S. federal and state conservation, environmental and labor laws, and existing U.S. farmer compliance with them, provide assurance that U.S. soybeans are sustainably produced. We support premium structures that reflect the additional costs of industry-driven sustainability initiatives. (2022)
- B-67. ASA recognizes that American soybean production is the most sustainable and results in the highest quality and value in the world. ASA will work to ensure that our image is maintained while avoiding undue regulations and empowering movements that would jeopardize our competitive position. (2022)
- B-68. ASA opposes implementation of environmental actions or programs through local, state, or federal executive order in contravention to the U.S. or state constitutions, the Administrative Procedure Act, or other regulatory procedural statutes. (2022)

- B-69. ASA supports engaging in public and private research on best adaptations for crops and livestock, and implementation of conservation practices to maintain the productivity of land. We support pathways that strengthen production systems, improve profitability, and reduce environmental impacts. (2022)
- B-70. ASA supports the use of, removing barriers to, and improving access to pesticides, biotechnology, and the conservation practices they enable to further improve their environmental benefits. (2022)

CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES

General

- B-71. ASA encourages farmers to implement conservation plans to bring their highly erodible land into compliance. ASA endorses the implementation of voluntary best management and conservation practices that reduce soil erosion, sequester carbon, improve soil resiliency to extreme weather events, and improve water quality. Conservation plans should allow for adaptive management. Public funding should be available for land altering practices required by conservation plans. (2021)
- B-72. Future requirements for conservation plans should be changed or exceptions allowed (minimum area and width) for erosion control, grass strips, wildlife food plots, pollinator habitat, and other similar measures. ASA encourages requirements for conservation plans that promote flexibility for soil conservation and water quality practices. (2022)
- B-73. ASA supports Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or other national conservation programs targeting the most fragile and environmentally sensitive lands. ASA supports efforts to ensure that water quality objectives remain a priority under CRP and are reflected in rental contracts. (2017)
- B-74. ASA requests that the Farm Service Agency enforce the 25% total tillable acres cap on CRP acres per county.
- B-75. ASA does not support the requirement to reseed established CRP grasses when CRP is reenrolled.
- B-76. ASA opposes subjecting all Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) payments to Self-Employment Contribution Act (SECA).
- B-77. ASA supports allowing small, irregularly shaped whole fields into the continuous enrollment CRP program.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-78. ASA encourages the USDA to maintain penalties for CRP contract holders who voluntarily withdraw early from the program, including refunding all or part of the payments received, plus interest, as well as liquidated damages as provided in the contract. (2022)
- B-79. ASA supports the increase in CRP acres in the Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018. (2019)
- B-80. ASA supports full funding of the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) for both commodity and livestock projects. States and counties should be allowed to choose and administer all soil conservation, water conservation, and water quality programs that best meet local needs. (2022)
- B-81. ASA supports the use of burning, light disking, and other means of mechanical destruction of vegetative growth on CRP acres for mid-term management and general maintenance. (2021)
- B-82. ASA supports that NRCS has adequate funding and clear freedom to operate without undue influence from Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) in performing their duties. (2022)
- B-83. ASA supports expanding the conservation and environmental planning work force by providing private sector conservation planners access to the same tools and resources the public sector uses, and by accelerating development and evaluation of new planning tools and technologies through private sector engagement efforts. ASA supports the use of private technical service providers at producer reimbursable expense. These determinations are to be treated equally by NRCS and reviewed in a timely and fair manner to expedite conservation practices. We believe that the spending for approved practices should be flexible and tied to acreage serviced by the practice. (2018)
- B-84. ASA supports farmers' flexibility in improving and maintaining drainage for production purposes. ASA opposes drainage regulations that limit the most productive use of agricultural land. (2022)
- B-85. ASA recommends that all environmental mandates must have incentives for farmer compliance rather than penalties for non-compliance.
- B-86. ASA recommends that whole farm planning remain a voluntary process. Farm payment or cost share payment should not be dependent on the development of a whole farm plan. ASA does not support establishment of national standards.

- B-87. ASA supports requiring all federal public entities to maintain the functionality of drainage ditches acquired from the states. (2022)
- B-88. ASA supports local farmer representation at all levels whenever watershed policies and regulations are being formulated. (2020)
- B-89. ASA supports full funding and implementation of NRCS voluntary working lands conservation programs. Payments should reward producers for good stewardship and conservation practices, including but not limited to the longevity/permanence thereof. Compensation for conservation practices should not be limited by the size of the producer's operation. Consideration should be given to practical conservation farming practices based on soil type and climate conditions. (2021)
- B-90. ASA supports NRCS increasing outreach to non-operating landowners (NOLs) regarding the values, benefits, and importance of NRCS voluntary working lands conservation programs. (2021)
- B-91. ASA opposes disproportionate compensation from government conservation programs that potentially remove valuable agricultural land from production.
- B-92. ASA opposes the depletion of productive, irreplaceable, and essential natural resource of farmland in areas prone to flooding. (2022)
- B-93. ASA supports voluntary agriculture conservation programs that are farmer led, flexible, and confidential at the individual farm level. These programs should be based on the premise that long term soil health, fertility, productivity, and resiliency are the primary conservation goals. These programs should be in place to supersede any mandatory certification programs brought forth by local, state, or federal agencies. (2021)
- B-94. ASA supports the NRCS definition of soil health, also referred to as soil quality, as the continued capacity of a soil to function as a vital, living ecosystem that sustains plants, animals, and humans. (2021)
- B-95. ASA supports states' efforts to enact voluntary soil health programs. (2022)
- B-96. ASA supports establishing and enforcing a seed inspection and certification policy to ensure that seed used for cost share habitat and cover crop programs is free from noxious weeds. (2017)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-97. ASA supports cover crop and other carbon sequestration programs that allow farmers to pool their available acres through an ag producer cooperative or ag service retailer to collectively negotiate program terms, conditions, and payments in consideration of local crops grown, soil types, and climatic conditions. (2022)

Water Quality and Usage

- B-98. ASA recommends that Natural Resources and Conservation Service (NRCS) be the agency responsible for coordinating groundwater and voluntary surface water programs. (2022)
- B-99. ASA supports the use of scientific-based research in developing national water quality standards and educational programs to safeguard groundwater and surface water resources. State and local agricultural agencies should plan and assist in implementing programs and policies based on geographical and geological differences.
- B-100. ASA supports agriculture's right to use ground water, surface water, and gravitational water for production purposes. ASA supports the definition of excess crop root zone water as "gravitational water." (2017)
- B-101. ASA supports research that addresses the source, movement, and acceptable levels of crop protection products in surface and groundwater regardless of whether the source is agricultural or non-agricultural. Based upon scientific research, any environmental recommendations or regulations must take into consideration a cost-benefit analysis to the consumer, producer, and positive balance of U.S. trade. (2022)
- B-102. ASA supports water quality standards that are ecologically and economically attainable. ASA supports just compensation to the producer when standards are imposed or required other than agronomically optimal management systems.
- B-103. ASA supports requiring that a "cause and effect" linkage to water quality be established through field or farm scale research, before federal or state watershed monitoring and demonstration programs are funded.
- B-104. ASA opposes the 2015 EPA Clean Water Rule and any attempts by any agency to enact any portion of that rule. (2022)
- B-105. ASA opposes removing the word "navigable" from the Federal Clean Water Act or redefining it to include all U.S. waters which would then greatly expand the jurisdictional authority of the federal government to the detriment of farmers and others.
- B-106. ASA supports the proper implementation of the agricultural exemption to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, including the continuation of the normal farming practice exemption.

- B-107. ASA supports science-based water quality initiatives. ASA encourages the participating agencies to continue to fund research that monitors water quality including impairments, causes, sources, and to submit its research for science-based review. (2018)
- B-108. ASA supports action plans that are consistent with the scientific studies in the Science Advisory Board Reports, taking into account the strength of the sources and linkages between nitrogen and phosphorous discharges. (2018)
- B-109. ASA affirms that an agricultural ecosystem is a legitimate ecosystem and should be preserved and recognized in establishing water quality standards. TMDL discharge standards appropriate for other types of ecosystems should not be imposed on agricultural ecosystems.
- B-110. ASA supports a requirement for consideration of background loading in all TMDL studies, plans, and legislation.
- B-111. ASA supports that agriculture should not be held responsible for pollution caused by natural conditions when dealing with TMDL legislation, and that all natural loadings be separately identified and properly considered in the TMDL process, and that natural loadings consider climate and ecosystem dynamics. (2022)
- B-112. ASA supports the need for research that ensures that climatic effects on flow and sediment loads are properly factored into TMDL studies.
- B-113. ASA supports a policy requiring that BMPs (Best Management Practices) which are promoted or required in conservation and water quality programs have input from agricultural professionals, including farm operators and managers. (2021)
- B-114. ASA opposes the establishment, by any unit of government, of additional water quality impairment, fertilizer, or nutrient taxes or fees. (2021)
- B-115. ASA supports a requirement that TMDL allocations be updated when new science indicates the existing allocations are incorrect.
- B-116. ASA recommends that the Clean Water Act be amended to exempt producers from litigation/liability and not require a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit when producers can certify that the pesticides have been used in a manner that complies with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA).
- B-117. ASA supports subsurface drainage such as tiling as a means to increase sustainability and resiliency, improve soil health, sequester carbon, and to reduce soil erosion from surface water movement and saline levels in the soil. (2021)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-118. ASA opposes the inclusion of ditches and prior converted crop land on the EPA and Army Corps of Engineers list of impaired waterways.
- B-119. ASA supports the balance of volunteer and elected stakeholders, and the restrictions of federal, state, and local government agency employees as participants on advisory councils, boards, technical committees, and stakeholder committees that are components of the impaired waters TMDL process.
- B-120. ASA supports the use of county, state, and federal funds to perform routine maintenance on water and sediment control basins, grade stabilization structures, terraces and other sediment catch basins. (2014)
- B-121. ASA supports farmers' efforts to achieve continuous improvement in environmental performance by collaborating with industry, ag producers, and other units of government. (2015)
- B-122. ASA supports a farmer's ability to self-certify a Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) plan if the farm has a total aboveground oil and/or fuel storage capacity of 10,000 U.S. gallons or less. (2022)
- B-123. ASA supports significant stakeholder involvement in all components of impaired waters and TMDL processes.
- B-124. ASA calls on the U.S. EPA to include land grant university agricultural researchers in its scientific review process of water quality and hypoxia related issues, especially white papers by the U.S. EPA. (2014)

Nutrient Management

- B-125. ASA supports USDA leveraging existing funding streams for nutrient application research, farmer equipment, and infrastructure upgrades to help incentivize producer adoption of fertilizer and manure incorporation tools, nutrient management plans, and precision agriculture technologies that improve fertilizer efficiency and environmental stewardship. (2018)
- B-126. ASA supports voluntary science-based programs and policies directed on a farm-by-farm basis toward ongoing in-field evaluation of nutrient management methods which allow producers to continually improve their nutrient management practices. ASA supports and believes in:
- Central coordination of networks of in-field evaluation to improve nutrient management decisions.
 - Infrastructure for conservation efforts in watersheds and sub-watersheds.
 - Cooperative conservation efforts among public and private organizations and individuals that achieve a positive environmental impact and meet demands for production.

d. Research findings and citations of data accessible to producers must be the foundation for developing and expanding nutrient management programs.

B-127. ASA supports research and education programs that would enhance the environmentally sound and economically viable storage and use of agricultural byproducts and animal manure nutrients.

B-128. ASA supports farmer implementation of environmental programs that incorporate adaptive and best management practices for nutrient stewardship and provide farmers long term economic benefits with the goal of continuous environmental improvement. (2015)

Air Quality

B-129. ASA encourages EPA to work with producers to develop voluntary, incentive-based programs that would assist producers in meeting any current and future air quality standards. ASA supports air quality standards for agriculture that are ecologically and economically attainable, and that are supported by science-based research. ASA supports air quality standards for agriculture being addressed and promulgated at the federal level.

B-130. ASA is opposed to any local, state, or federal legislation or EPA actions to regulate particulate matter, gases, or odor from agriculture operations. (2015)

B-131. ASA supports the use of B20 and higher soy biomass-based diesel and bioheat blends as well as higher octane ethanol blends to immediately improve air quality by lowering emissions. (2022)

Wildlife and Endangered Species

B-132. ASA recommends that benefits and economic impacts on farmers and consumers should be considered in laws and regulations designed to protect endangered species. (2020)

B-133. ASA requests that laws and regulations designed to protect endangered species must be science-based. ASA supports legislation and education that would protect producers from unintentional impacts to endangered species. (2022)

B-134. ASA supports voluntary-based efforts on private lands to improve honeybee, monarch butterfly, and other pollinator habitat and urges federal, state, and local governments to incorporate methods to improve such habitat on publicly owned lands. (2021)

B-135. ASA supports the collaborative efforts of the Farm Service Agency (FSA) and National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services (USFWS) to provide regulatory predictability under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). (2020)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-136. ASA supports NRCS in developing a weed management plan that prevents the spread of weeds to adjacent areas while maintaining a refuge area for monarch butterflies during migration. The plan should allow for follow-up weed control during periods when monarch butterflies are not migrating.
- B-137. ASA supports geographically adapted, affordable seed mixes that are tested and approved, thus supporting the use of a broader range of regionally adapted, native, and milkweed species for habitat seeding. (2021)
- B-138. ASA supports having producers and landowners held harmless from possible federal regulations if any species for which farmers develop habitat is placed on the Endangered Species List. (2018)
- B-139. ASA supports exempting man-made agricultural structures from the provisions of the Endangered Species Act. (2020)
- B-140. ASA supports a definition of biodiversity that encompasses the variety and variability of beneficial animals, plants, and microorganisms used directly or indirectly for food and agriculture, including genetic resources and species used for crop and livestock production, non-harvested species that support production (e.g., soil microorganisms, pollinators) and species in the environment that support agricultural ecosystems (agricultural, pastoral, forest, and aquatic). (2022)
- B-141. ASA requests that nonprofit organizations that dedicate land to wildlife habitat should be subject to property taxes. (2019)
- B-142. ASA supports the right to use commonly accepted agricultural practices on private farmland on or adjacent to national wildlife refuges. (2016)
- B-143. ASA supports significant stakeholder involvement in drainage and water management decisions on federal wildlife refuges when it impacts adjacent farmland. (2016)
- B-144. ASA supports Congress requiring the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to offer landowners of property burdened by USFWS waterfowl production area easements the opportunity to purchase releases of those easements. (2017)
- B-145. ASA supports Congress requiring the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to include landowners in the mapping of easement boundaries including requiring both parties to sign off on boundary borders subject to acres purchased in the easement. (2020)
- B-146. ASA supports Congress requiring the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to offer landowners of property impacted by the USFWS waterfowl production area easements the opportunity to mitigate those wetland acres under easement. (2020)

B-147. ASA supports that the Department of Interior be prohibited from entering into conservation easements of longer than fifty years. Landowners who have existing easements that have been in existence for longer than fifty years, or those who have no supporting wetland maps, should be able to renegotiate with the department. (2021)

Wetlands

- B-148. ASA opposes additional land acquisition by government agencies or NGOs with the purpose of increasing wetlands and recreation without consideration of the impact to inland drainage, navigation, and flood control. (2022)
- B-149. ASA supports the establishment of a local arbitration system for disputed determinations of wetlands and highly erodible lands. (2014)
- B-150. ASA requests that all federal agencies review and justify the use of river gauge data, frequency of inundation, and length of inundation to define a true agricultural wetland. After this review and justification, an emphasis should be placed on assigning an environmental value to said wetlands and allow the scope of normal farming practices to be assigned to that value.
- B-151. ASA recommends that the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) be the federal agency responsible for making technical determinations on agricultural lands with respect to wetlands or converted wetlands. ASA recommends that Federal environmental regulations for farming related activities be administered by the NRCS at the local level. (2022)
- B-152. ASA supports education efforts with local and state NRCS about the improved profitability of farmable wetlands through subsurface drainage systems such as tiling which will also benefit conservation and erosion of farmland and improve productivity by establishing more surface residue. (2022)
- B-153. ASA recommends requiring the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, when administering USFWS wetlands easements, to use NRCS guidelines for determining applicable setback distances from wetlands and for mitigation options in drainage projects. (2017)
- B-154. ASA requests that landowners or farmers should not be held responsible for negative water quality or public health consequences resulting from the establishment of wetlands or wildlife habitat.
- B-155. ASA supports practices and policies that create permanent runoff reduction through increased crop water usage in addition to temporary retention through increased wetlands or dams for flood control. (2022)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-156. ASA requests that in the case of a wetland compliance violation, a penalty shall be assessed based on fair market tax value of said wetland. Said fine shall only apply to the wetland acreage found in non-compliance.
- B-157. ASA supports the timely determination of the FSA Form 1026 process. ASA supports on-site methods be used for certified wetland determinations at the landowner request. Landowners should have the choice in which methods are used for their wetland determinations. The process should be completed in 60 days and the appeal process should be completed in 30 days. (2015)
- B-158. ASA opposes use by NRCS of the wetland determination process as a deterrent to improvements to drainage systems, which may produce water quality and crop production benefits, as well as resiliency to climatic extremes. (2021)
- B-159. ASA requests that the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) implements consistent guidelines regarding the interpretation and determination of a wetland designation across county and state lines. (2018)
- B-160. ASA encourages the development of wetland mitigation banks and further, that mitigation is limited to a one-acre for one-acre basis.
- B-161. ASA supports a requirement of using aerial photos from the 1980 to 1990 timeframe for making wetland determinations.
- B-162. ASA recommends any land that has been planted one of the past four years should not be defined as a wetland. (2015)
- B-163. ASA supports the decision held by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California in the case *Koshman v. Vilsack*, 865 F. Supp. 2d 1083 (2012), which rejected the USDA's interpretation that participant has converted a "farmed wetland" by improving yield or reducing crop stress through water management where the production of a commodity was viable prior to the drainage manipulation of the "farmed wetland." (2017)
- B-164. ASA recommends that wetland non-compliance determinations be limited to a three- (3) year look back.

Carbon, Climate and Ecosystem Services Markets

- B-165. ASA supports engagement in legislative and/or regulatory efforts that address Climate Change.
- B-166. ASA opposes agriculture being subject to greenhouse gas emission caps established in Climate Change legislation or regulation.
- B-167. ASA is opposed to the federal government signing or endorsing any climate accord treaty or implementing parts of the treaty by Executive Order that would have a negative effect on agriculture. (2021)

- B-168. ASA supports carbon sequestration research and related efforts to maximize the ancillary benefits of conservation practices that store carbon and other nutrients in soil.
- B-169. ASA supports acknowledging the different soil types and climate norms across America’s farming heartland when seeking to optimize carbon sequestering farming practices while balancing the challenges of economic sustainability. (2022)
- B-170. ASA supports working with stakeholders to develop incentives for producers who have maximized their greenhouse gas reductions on the farm through the early adoption of carbon-smart practices or other means. (2022)
- B-171. ASA supports farmers being able to enroll the same tract of land for multiple incentive-based payments addressing carbon sequestration, water quality, air quality, and any other future environmental credits of benefit to the society as a whole.
- B-172. ASA supports voluntary public and private sector carbon markets that:
- a. are developed with sufficient research, oversight, standards, and farmer input;
 - b. prioritize farmers through financial incentives, technical assistance, and public investments that are specific to agriculture; and
 - c. serve as a buyer for farmers’ ecosystem service credits from existing and newly adopted practices on their operation, such as soil carbon sequestration, water quality and quantity management, biodiversity, and other ecosystem benefits. (2022)
- B-173. ASA supports the work of the Ecosystem Services Market Consortium (ESMC) to test protocols and processes that lead to a voluntary, national-scale ecosystem services market that incentivizes farmers and ranchers to adopt and enhance soil health management systems that benefit society. (2020)
- B-174. ASA supports that any policy involving direct and indirect land use metrics be based on multi-disciplinary, science-based, verifiable, and transparent data so that biodiesel’s impact on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions is accurately assessed.
- B-175. ASA opposes any attempt to assess duplicative lifecycle carbon costs to either animal agriculture or to biofuels as they are produced together and not individually from field crops. (2021)
- B-176. ASA opposes a shift in liability that could occur with a point-nonpoint pollution credit trading program and supports a requirement that farmer stakeholders affected by the trading provisions have final control over the program.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

B-177. ASA supports the requirements that point-nonpoint source water quality pollution trading be automatically available to any new point source permit holders that have lower discharge concentrations than existing point sources.

EDUCATION FUNDING

B-178. ASA believes that a comprehensive K-12 agricultural education curriculum, delivered with the help and expansion of 4-H, FFA national ag-in-the-classroom, and similar programs are an important foundation for the future of America's agriculture. ASA encourages involvement by all groups representing agriculture, particularly the companies that are both our suppliers and our customers, who have an interest in a favorable public perception of agriculture. (2017)

B-179. ASA encourages its members to provide active local, state, and national support for agricultural research and education projects and activities. (2017)

B-180. ASA supports government, corporate, and privately sponsored education that increases public awareness of not only the stewardship and sustainability of our natural resources, but also the economics and risks involved in agricultural production. (2017)

B-181. ASA calls for more effort by our land grant university system and all other public and private organizations and entities to increase responsible and accurate educational programs as a way to offset consumer concerns regarding biotechnology, food safety, renewable fuels, crop protection products, and animal agriculture.

B-182. ASA supports work with USB to create educational materials and opportunities to educate the public on the food chain and life cycle of soybeans. (2022)

B-183. ASA supports the original statutes creating land grant institutions intended to expand and enhance agriculture research and education. These include the Morrill Act and the Hatch Act (creating the experimental research stations) and the Smith-Lever Act (creating the Cooperative Extension Service), the Evans-Allen Program, and the 1890 Institutions Extension Services. (2021)

B-184. ASA supports the National Association of Agriculture Educators and the National Council for Agriculture Education in the Teach Ag Campaign to combat the current national agriculture teacher shortage. (2015)

FARMER-FOCUSED POLICIES

Farmer Wellness

B-185. ASA supports financial and mental health resources be available to address the physical and emotional stresses related to managing and working on a farm. (2022)

Contract Production

B-186. ASA recommends that farmers work together in cooperative ventures to gain increased access in a vertically integrated market environment.

Equity Protection of Grain

B-187. ASA will work with the USDA, other organizations, and governmental agencies at the state and federal level to develop adequate protection for farmer's equity in the event of grain warehouse or dealer failure.

B-188. ASA opposes any federal warehouse dealer regulation that would supersede state licensing and warehouse regulations when it offers less protection for farmers.

B-189. ASA supports grain warehouse regulations under which producers are provided a receipt to prove ownership upon delivery of grain, whether the grain is sold immediately or is delivered for storage.

Farm Continuation and Tax Policy

B-190. ASA supports efforts to revise the 1031 exchange provision in the tax code for like-kind exchanges to include personal property. (2021)

B-191. ASA supports efforts that would allow proceeds from the sale of qualified farm assets to be treated as an individual farmer retirement account to defer current capital gains taxes to a future date.

B-192. ASA supports the exemption of estate tax treatment for inherited farmland and property. (2021)

B-193. ASA supports retention of the opportunity for step-up basis at the time property is inherited. (2021)

B-194. ASA supports efforts to allow for up to \$1 million in lifetime tax credits for sale of used farm equipment to young or beginning farmers. (2022)

B-195. ASA supports efforts to allow for tax credits for transfer of farm equipment to a farm's successors. (2022)

B-196. ASA supports maintaining the current three-year income averaging programs for agricultural producers, including family farm corporations.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-197. ASA supports an increase in the maximum annual gift tax exemption. (2021)
- B-198. ASA is opposed to the application of the Alternative Minimum Tax on Schedule F. (2021)
- B-199. ASA urges that an individual who rents land or equipment to a family farm corporation, partnership, Limited Liability Corporation or any other farming entity not be subject to self-employment tax on rental income.
- B-200. ASA supports a change in the U.S. tax code to allow tax deductibility for permanent conservation practices to landowners that cash rent their land.
- B-201. ASA opposes double taxation when dissolving corporations.
- B-202. ASA supports the capital gain tax exclusion to include up to \$5,000,000 value of farm real estate. (2022)
- B-203. ASA supports a federal tax credit for farmers' investment in value-added agricultural ventures. ASA encourages federal support to provide technical assistance to commercialize value-added products as well as business structure assistance for farmer-owned value-added companies.
- B-204. ASA supports retention of the ability to utilize 1031 like-kind exchanges and further supports extending the time period allowed for reinvestment of capital gains from 45 days to 12 months. (2022)
- B-205. ASA supports the full deductibility of health insurance premiums and contributions to Health Savings Accounts (HSAs) by the self-employed and supports equitable treatment for self-employed people under any new health care legislation. ASA supports affordable health care policies that provide continuous coverage without the threat of annual cancellation. (2017)
- B-206. ASA supports enactment of federal legislation that would help to lower the cost of Group Health Insurance plans by allowing participation in the group regardless of state of residence.
- B-207. ASA supports 100% tax deductibility of health insurance premiums for all farm business or tax incentives available to agricultural producers that would provide a refundable tax credit equal to one half of the producer's annual healthcare premium cost. (2021)
- B-208. ASA supports legislation that would codify rulemakings from the Department of Labor that allow for self-employed, geographic, and similar industry inclusion for eligibility to form or join a multi-state association health plan. (2019)

- B-209. ASA supports that real estate sold must maintain its current tax status when sold to government entities or nonprofit groups or organizations, exempting religious institutions up to 20 acres, as long as they maintain ownership.
- B-210. ASA supports making permanent 100% bonus depreciation. (2018)
- B-211. ASA opposes any proposal to require farmers to use accrual rather than cash accounting. (2014)
- B-212. ASA favors continued rebate of federal and state fuel taxes on fuels used in non-highway uses.
- B-213. ASA opposes the 3.8% tax on passive income recently instated by the federal government to help fund national health care.
- B-214. ASA supports a 15% tax rate on the first \$50,000 of corporate taxable income. (2018)
- B-215. ASA opposes S-Corporation net income being subject to self-employment tax at the shareholder level. (2016)
- B-216. ASA supports basis step-ups on gifts and bequests and not treating transfers of appreciated property as a sale. (2016)
- B-217. ASA opposes any attempt by a federal government entity to enact a tax on agricultural products to fund infrastructure that is used by all citizens. (2016)
- B-218. ASA supports the use of valuation discounts of farm assets on the transfer of closely held farm entities. (2017)
- B-219. ASA supports the establishment of a farmer tax deferred account where higher than normal profits would be deposited and then withdrawn in lower profit years. (2017)
- B-220. ASA supports giving farmers the option to either treat traded-in equipment as an ordinary gain, or as an income on a schedule F to prevent the elimination of the self-employed health insurance deduction and removal of farmers from the social security program. (2021)
- B-221. ASA supports expanding the provisions of the IRS Tax Code that work to protect farm inheritance. (2021)
- B-222. ASA supports raising the 7,500-mile exemption on the heavy vehicle tax to at least 15,000 miles. (2021)
- B-223. ASA supports eliminating the \$10,000 cap on the state and local tax deduction. (2021)

Producer Data

- B-224. ASA requests that USDA provide oversight and take all appropriate measures to ensure the security of all agency reports, no matter the transmission mode, before their uniform release. (2017)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- B-225. ASA affirms that production data such as field maps, soil tests, production records, images, and input records have monetary value, and that this information should remain the sole property of the owner and/or operator, or their agent, based on their respective investment, regardless of whether the data collection was voluntary or involuntary. ASA opposes unauthorized access to or use of farm-level data. (2021)
- B-226. ASA supports legislative statutes that establish a single confidentiality classification of all USDA collected producer data and the reorganization within USDA that delegates that data collection function to a single unit within the USDA's Farm Service Agency (FSA).
- B-227. ASA supports researchers' responsible access to producer production data to help uncover and document practices that further improve the soybean industry's sustainability, profitability, resiliency, and messaging, while maintaining the confidentiality and non-public disclosure of individual producer data. (2021)
- B-228. ASA supports that information a producer provides to the USDA for participation in NRCS conservation programs should remain protected from public disclosure. Furthermore, farmers who submit information to the USDA in order to participate in NRCS conservation programs should be held harmless for that disclosure. (2021)
- B-229. ASA supports a requirement that data generated by any water quality monitoring programs, including data used for development of standards and data to establish designated uses, be gathered and analyzed with protocols that meet the highest level of Quality Control and Quality Assurance.
- B-230. ASA opposes the EPA utilizing citizen monitoring to collect samples and submit data for determining product regulation, registration, or environmental impact.
- B-231. ASA supports national agricultural organizations working together on behalf of farmers and industry to develop standards to clarify policy for the acquisition, ownership, valuation, and utilization of agricultural data and provide educational opportunities for farmers on best use practices. (2015)

Property Rights

- B-232. ASA endorses private property rights as set forth in the United States Constitution. ASA requests that farmers should be adequately compensated for loss in property value or income due to unsubstantiated land claims, environmental regulations such as endangered species, wetlands, and other government regulations. We also support a strong "Right to Farm" law.

- B-233. ASA requests that government agencies developing flood control projects must ensure that for any damages caused by the project to surrounding property, owners be fairly compensated.
- B-234. ASA opposes condemnation, involuntary annexation, or mandatory restrictions that postpone or restrict the property rights of landowners without just compensation. (2020)
- B-235. ASA supports voluntary and practical programs for buying land development rights in order to preserve the land as green space or for farming.
- B-236. ASA supports not holding landowners responsible for costs associated with unlawful acts committed by others on a landowner's property.
- B-237. ASA opposes the use of Eminent Domain for transferring ownership of private property to other private entities. (2017)
- B-238. ASA supports a requirement that wetlands, government owned lands, and private conservation lands should be used as a first choice, instead of farmland, when routing public utilities and roadways.
- B-239. ASA opposes federal executive orders that could deprive farmers of private property rights.
- B-240. ASA supports legislation allowing a farmer to protect crops and livestock from wildlife destroying or attempting to destroy or injure crops or livestock. (2016)
- B-241. ASA supports domestic production investments (e.g., solar, wind, pipelines) on private undeveloped land, provided that a) any incentives prioritize energy development on marginal land rather than productive farmland acres, and b) that energy developers, not private landowners, taxpayers, or communities are responsible for the costs of decommissioning and full remediation at the end of the project's physical or economic lifespan and/or ensuring cleanup from a natural disaster. ASA encourages the federal government to consider minimum performance and reclamation bonding requirements for domestic energy production projects (i.e., solar, wind) receiving federal investment on private land that account for environmental liabilities including removal of hazardous waste or substances, decommissioning and disposal of improvements or facilities, and interim and final reclamation, revegetation, and/or soil stabilization. (2022)
- B-242. ASA supports landowners and tenants having exclusive rights to regulate access for hunting, fishing, or recreation on private land. (2014)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

Financing

- B-243. ASA supports the participation of all types of lenders to provide businesses with loans or other financial arrangements to add value and find new uses for agricultural commodities.
- B-244. ASA supports the Farm Credit Service (FCS) as a farmer-owned and controlled financial cooperative to meet the needs of agriculture.
- B-245. ASA supports more funding and quicker distribution of funds for beginning farmers through the FSA Direct Loan Program, special young farmer loans, Farm Credit Associations and other sources. The percentage of residence allowance for beginning farmer loans should be raised from 5% to 20%.
- B-246. ASA opposes any restructuring of FCS that replaces farmer-elected members of FCS Boards with commercial bankers or expands bank access to FCS funding.
- B-247. ASA supports the Farm Service Agency's (FSA) ability to make direct loans and loan guarantees sufficient to meet producer requirements for operating funds that are not met by other farm lending institutions.
- B-248. ASA supports adequate funding for FSA credit programs including:
- Increase of guaranteed loan limits
 - Increase of the subsidy rate on guaranteed loans
 - Interest assistance for guaranteed farm ownership loans
 - Full funding of the direct Farm Ownership Loan Program
 - Extended lifetime loan eligibility for FSA credit programs
 - Waiver of the 1.5% fee for guaranteed loans
 - Interest assistance on loans for building farmer-owned grain facilities
 - Returning the FSA Interest Assistance Program for bank loans
- B-249. ASA supports the USDA farm storage facility loan program with this exception: ASA recommends to USDA-FSA to establish terms of the loans to be the same regardless of the dollar amount of the loan.
- B-250. ASA supports strengthening the FSA appeals process at all levels. (2016)

Farm Labor

- B-251. ASA recognizes that child safety is important. However, ASA opposes the Department of Labor proposing changes that limit the types of work minors could perform in agriculture.
- B-252. ASA supports simplifying the process for the H2A work visa program to improve efficiency. (2022)
- B-253. ASA recognizes farm safety is an important issue. However, ASA opposes attempts by the Department of Labor to expand the jurisdiction of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration to include farms with less than 10 employees. (2014)
- B-254. ASA supports a guest worker program that meets the needs of livestock and row crop farmers. (2019)
- B-255. ASA opposes mandatory overtime pay and regulation of hours of work for farm employees. (2019)
- B-256. ASA opposes a federal increase in national or regional minimum wage. ASA also does not support the Adverse Effect Wage Rate adjustments. (2021)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

TITLE C – TRADE POLICY, FOREIGN MARKET DEVELOPMENT AND FOOD SECURITY

PREAMBLE

The American Soybean Association (ASA) recognizes that U.S. soybeans and soybean products must have fair access to world markets and must be competitive on price, quality and availability for markets.

TRADE POLICY

General

- C-1. ASA supports the removal of barriers to international trade in soybeans, soybean products, as well as livestock, poultry and aquaculture products and the prevention of the establishment by other nations of barriers to the importation and consumption of U.S. soybeans, soybean products, as well as livestock products.
- C-2. ASA opposes the use of restrictions such as tariffs or other market access barriers as a trade negotiating tactic that may precipitate retaliation against the export of U.S. soybeans, soybean-based products, livestock, and agricultural products/inputs. (2022)
- C-3. ASA supports reauthorization of Trade Promotion Authority (TPA). (2021)
- C-4. ASA opposes the imposition of restrictions on the export of any and all agricultural commodities including soybeans and soybean products, whether it is for supply, national security, or foreign policy reasons. Any embargo, sanction, or other restriction on exports of U.S. agricultural commodities for national security or foreign policy reasons shall require a formal determination by the President and Congress that such action is supported and joined by all other major world producers and exporters of affected commodities. Any action would terminate unless the president re-certifies this determination on an annual basis.
- C-5. Contract sanctity should be guaranteed for all foreign purchasers of U.S. agricultural commodities. ASA calls for the President and Congress to revise legislation that exempts sales of U.S. agricultural products from economic sanctions so that normal commercial credit can be offered by U.S. entities. (2022)
- C-6. ASA supports the immediate removal of agricultural trade, financial and travel restrictions for Cuba and urges Cuban eligibility for all authorized checkoff activities, including the General Sales Manager (GSM) and other credit programs. ASA will work with the current administration on changing the terminology through administrative action concerning credit. (2022)

- C-7. ASA supports measures to prohibit U.S. adherence to sovereign nations or global entities from setting environmental and/or water quality standards more stringent than federal or state regulations. (2022)
- C-8. ASA encourages the U.S. Government to focus on policies that grow U.S. agricultural trade/exports as the primary means of improving the U.S. balance of trade rather than focusing on policies or actions that limit imports into the U.S. (2018)
- C-9. ASA opposes efforts by individual states to use information from international non-governmental entities to require unjustified, false or misleading warning labels on products. (2018)

WTO Policies

- C-10. ASA supports achievement of a “level playing field” trading basis for oilseeds, oilseed products and plant-based oil and products in future negotiations. The level playing field approach is a multilateral phase-out of all trade distorting export subsidies, including differential export taxes, and all tariff and non-tariff barriers to oilseeds, oilseeds product and plant-based oil and product imports.
- C-11. ASA supports the strict enforcement of the Blair House Agreement (BHA) which sets a WTO-bound limit on subsidized oilseed production in the EU. (2021)
- C-12. ASA supports comprehensive WTO negotiations as the best means to increase worldwide incomes and reduce trade barriers to soy and livestock products. ASA stresses that bilateral or regional Free Trade Agreement (FTA) negotiations should be focused toward those countries that represent significant commercial markets for U.S. soybeans and products, livestock products, and agricultural exports in general.
- C-13. ASA recommends that the WTO negotiations encompass all sectors as a comprehensive single undertaking. This means that all aspects of the negotiations should be included and implemented simultaneously in order to get the best results for U.S. agriculture (i.e., no “early harvest” during the negotiations).
- C-14. ASA requests that any new WTO agreement reducing trade-distorting (i.e., “amber box”) domestic support must reflect the extent to which market access is increased in developing and developed countries through reductions in tariffs and other measures.
- C-15. ASA supports maintaining the “de minimus” exemption of product and non-product specific support from reductions required in trade-distorting domestic programs.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- C-16. ASA opposes any caps on “green box” domestic support policies. (2019)
- C-17. ASA supports establishing a rules-based system for disciplining the use of export credits and similar government supported export financing programs.
- C-18. ASA supports defining Differential Export Taxes (DET) as export subsidies that would be subject to discipline and elimination in future WTO negotiating rounds. (2021)
- C-19. ASA opposes including disciplines on food assistance programs in the WTO negotiations.
- C-20. ASA opposes allowing countries to self-designate as “developing countries” for the purpose of obtaining special and differential treatment under the WTO. ASA supports the establishment of objective criteria for determining country eligibility to claim special and differential treatment overall or for certain sectors.
- C-21. ASA opposes exempting government supported domestic transportation and marketing subsidy programs in developing countries from disciplines under the WTO.
- C-22. ASA opposes the precautionary principle or other food safety concerns that are not science-based that could be used as a justification for restricting market access in WTO agreements.
- C-23. ASA supports “WTO-plus” sanitary and phytosanitary provisions that underscore the importance of harmonized, science-based regulations that facilitate trade and are fully enforceable.
- C-24. ASA recognizes the key role the WTO Appellate Body plays in the WTO Dispute Settlement System. ASA supports solutions that would resolve the current impasse at the Appellate Body by appointing new members, therefore establishing a quorum and allowing the Appellate Body to function as intended. (2021)

Regional and Bilateral Agreements

- C-25. ASA supports administration efforts to negotiate new trade agreements that include soybeans as a pillar trade good and improve market access opportunities for U.S. soybeans, soybean products, livestock products and new soybean-based products. (2021)
- C-26. ASA opposes any product exclusions from Free Trade Agreements (FTA) on the grounds that they serve as negative precedents for countries seeking to exclude soy or livestock products.
- C-27. ASA supports aggressively seeking free trade agreements with basic and expansion markets defined in the soy

family export strategy. ASA implores the U.S. government to engage in negotiations for new free trade agreements as quickly as possible. (2022)

- C-28. ASA supports developing free trade agreements in south Asia including a bilateral free trade agreement with India. (2022)
- C-29. ASA supports USMCA and enforcement of its provisions by all participating countries. (2021)
- C-30. ASA supports efforts by the administration to negotiate with members of the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) and other nations in the Indo-Pacific region for U.S. inclusion to improve market access for U.S. soybeans and soybean products. (2022)

Government Responsibilities

- C-31. ASA opposes any proposal to merge the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) with other trade agencies. ASA recommends that USTR should remain an independent agency within the Executive Office of the President, focusing on trade negotiations, trade agreements and trade enforcement.
- C-32. ASA opposes currency legislation or any action by Congress to unilaterally regulate the value of foreign currencies. ASA recognizes that currency legislation would create retaliatory actions that would negatively affect soybean trade. Instead, ASA supports an approach by the U.S. that engages the international community in its efforts to address global foreign exchange policies.
- C-33. ASA opposes any proposal to move responsibility for food inspection and livestock product safety from USDA. (2017)
- C-34. ASA supports adoption of the Soy Sustainability Assurance Protocol-Renewable Energy Directive II (SSAP-REDII) by the European Union. ASA strongly urges the U.S. government to engage with its European counterparts to push for adoption of SSAP-REDII by the European Commission. (2021)

FOREIGN MARKET PROMOTION AND EXPORT CREDIT PROGRAMS

Foreign Market Development Programs

- C-35. ASA supports the Foreign Market Development (FMD) and Market Access Program (MAP). FMD and MAP are successful public/private partnerships which are cooperative, cost-share programs between private industry groups that represent farmers and ranchers and the U.S. Government. ASA supports the doubling of annual funding from \$34.5 to \$69 million for FMD and from \$200 million to \$400 million for MAP. (2019)

Export Credit Guarantee Programs

- C-36. ASA supports continuation of General Sales Manager (GSM) 102 export credit guarantee programs at a minimum funding level of \$2.5 billion and supports efforts at USDA to implement procedures that allow the GSM 102 program to function in a flexible and efficient manner. ASA further supports continuation and full utilization of the Facility Guarantee Program. (2022)

Government Responsibilities: Marketing and Export

- C-37. ASA encourages Congress and the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) to support the investment of farmer checkoff dollars to maximize funding for market development efforts and to respond quickly to opportunities in international trade.
- C-38. ASA recommends that USB and QSSBs appropriate funds for international marketing to qualify and fully maximize USDA/FAS matching funds.
- C-39. ASA urges Congress and the Administration to aggressively support P.L. 480 and Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) grant programs and initiatives to expand exports of U.S. soybeans and soybean products.
- C-40. ASA supports the use of CODEX standards (Codex Alimentarius collection of food standards) for maximum residual levels of animal health products in livestock trade internationally. (2021)
- C-41. ASA supports the continued development and adoption of international standards for Maximum Residue Levels (MRL) for Plant Protection Products (PPP) set by CODEX that are developed using science-based risk assessments. (2021)
- C-42. ASA supports the International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs) set by the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC). (2021)
- C-43. ASA shall continue to be the U.S. soybean growers' international marketing contractor with the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

INTERNATIONAL BIOTECHNOLOGY POLICIES

International Regulatory Approvals and Commercialization

- C-44. ASA will actively support the efforts of biotechnology and seed companies to obtain regulatory clearances in significant U.S. export markets, using both ASA policy and international marketing resources.

- C-45. ASA supports an expedited process for approval and acceptance of biotechnology products in international markets. (2015)
- C-46. ASA supports establishing a process to maintain foreign registrations of biotech traits in countries that require them as long as traces of a trait are identified in export shipment.
- C-47. ASA supports timely international regulatory clearances in U.S. soy export markets for all new soybean varieties prior to U.S. commercialization. (2021)
- C-48. ASA supports strict controls of soy export and planting seed channels should U.S. regulatory clearance be obtained for a new biotech product prior to international regulatory clearance in all U.S. soy export markets. (2021)
- C-49. ASA supports seed and trait developers to ensure adequate intellectual property protection and value capture systems are in place in cultivating countries to ensure growers are paying appropriate royalties similar to U.S. growers. (2021)
- C-50. ASA supports ensuring patent protection for seed technologies in other countries have similar expiration to the patent protection offered for that same technology in the U.S. (2021)
- C-51. ASA urges the soybean industry to work to ensure that financial liability for soybean products that contain unintended and unapproved traits in soybeans and products be appropriated to the responsible parties.

Low Level Presence

- C-52. ASA supports efforts to establish a commercially viable and internationally accepted LLP tolerance of not less than 5% for the presence of any deregulated biotechnology event in shipments and products in order to ensure the competitiveness of U.S. soy exports in world markets and prevent disruptions in trade. ASA encourages USDA to collaborate with its counterparts in Australia and other countries to formally propose a 5% threshold within the framework of the International Plan Protection Convention. The value chain must cooperate to steward new technologies when unique functional characteristics impact commodity markets below 5%. (2022)

ASSISTANCE TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Foreign Food Assistance

- C-53. ASA supports uses of food in emergency and development assistance. ASA opposes budgetary reductions in developmental food aid to compensate for emergency shortfalls. ASA supports PL480 programs and total Title II budget at a level of \$1.5 billion.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- C-54. ASA supports the continued funding for the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program at no less than \$200 million, the Food for Progress program at no less than \$200 million and supports seeking additional funding from other U.S. and G-8 sources.

International Agricultural Development

- C-55. ASA urges U.S. and multilateral institutions to consider long-term environmental consequences and benefits when allocating funds to developing nations for projects that could result in large-scale land clearing or deforestation. ASA opposes U.S. tax dollars being used by American and multilateral institutions and universities to fund competition for U.S. soybeans and soybean products.
- C-56. ASA urges the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) to continue its policy of not funding programs that promote foreign production resulting in the exports of soybeans and soybean products and would encourage that this policy be extended to crops which compete with soybeans and soybean products. ASA encourages USAID to increase funding of programs that expand international use of soybeans and soybean products and encourages USAID to support ASA in developing and implementing such programs.
- C-57. U.S. soybean farmers recognize that agricultural development in Least Developed Countries (LDCs) can help drive economic development worldwide. U.S. soybean farmers commit to working with participants in the soybean value chain targeting subsistence farmers to improve nutrition to their community, raise themselves from poverty and develop strong local markets, providing such assistance complies with current agricultural policy and law (Bumpers Amendment of 1986).
- C-58. ASA supports legislation realigning U.S. international agricultural development programs to focus primarily on increasing productivity and profitability of small farmers in underdeveloped countries and to put USDA and the U.S. agriculture community in the center of these efforts through research, land grant education and extension, technology transfer, and programs to develop value chains and local markets. (2015)
- C-59. ASA supports full funding for activities of FAS Global Programs including programs such as the Agricultural Trade Promotion and Facilitation Program, Food for Progress, the Cochran Program, and the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program. (2022)

- C-60. ASA is committed to developing relationships with commercial entities in the private sector to address protein deficiencies and under-nutrition. WISHH will encourage companies in the U.S. and developing world to use soy as a supplement to local foods and will reach out to both food processing companies and manufacturing companies to develop soy fortified, protein rich products. (2015)
- C-61. ASA supports changes to or elimination of monetization in USDA's Food for Progress program to allow for improved delivery and implementation. (2020)

Quality, Grading and Sustainability Standards

- C-62. ASA supports the following principles as long-term objectives in any revisions or updating of present standards:
- a. Define uniform and accepted descriptive terms to facilitate trade.
 - b. Provide the information for the market to create incentives to improve the overall quality of soybeans.
 - c. Provide information for the end user to help determine end product yield and quality.
 - d. Provide information for the farmer to help select varieties of greatest value.
 - e. Require that grading factors have a definable economic value.
 - f. Encourage development of soybean standards that will set standard for U.S. soybeans at 1% foreign material (FM) in export and domestic markets. ASA will strive to implement grain standards to assure the best product available for our customers. To fully accomplish this task ASA must request the full cooperation of the grain traders in adhering to these quality requirements for exported soybeans to the end user.
 - g. Both public and commercial soybean breeders and producers should be represented on committees formed to develop grain quality standards for soybeans and to cooperate with other farm groups to set international standards for specialty grains.
 - h. Soybeans should be purchased on a 13% moisture standard. (2017)
- C-63. ASA encourages a study be undertaken by the appropriate governmental agencies, farmer leaders and industry representatives to determine the need for additional intrinsic testing capabilities, revised procedures, or other controls resulting from the rapid growth in volume and number of differentiated identity preserved marketing's of enhanced trait soybeans.

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- C-64. ASA supports a grading and marketing system that recognizes the intrinsic quality and value of soybeans and to reward farmers who are capable of producing and marketing soybeans of enhanced value to users.
- C-65. ASA encourages strict enforcement of the handling of any treated seed to ensure it does not get into any commercial shipment of U.S. soybeans.
- C-66. ASA recognizes the need for reasonable seed tolerance levels that allow for movement of seed within international trade. ASA stresses that such tolerances, however, must be linked directly with accompanying tolerances for the resulting commodity products.
- C-67. ASA supports the continued successful implementation and refinement of the Sustainability Assurance Protocol and Soy Export Sustainability, LLC to promote the sustainability of U.S. soybeans to buyers worldwide. (2016)
- C-68. ASA supports removing economic incentives that promote inefficiencies and undesired practices that would include the reintroduction of any foreign material into customer shipments of soybeans. (2016)
- C-69. ASA opposes requirements under China's Decree 177 that require an additional declaration on the Phytosanitary Certificate for U.S. Soybean shipments to China of #2 soybeans that are over 1% Foreign Material (FM). (2022)

TITLE D – ORGANIZATIONAL AFFAIRS

PREAMBLE

ASA is a national, not-for-profit, grassroots membership organization that develops and advocates policies to increase the profitability and trade of U.S. soybean farmers, and the entire soybean industry. (2017)

MEMBERSHIP

- D-1. ASA is made up of affiliated state soybean associations and their members, who provide the leadership, and guidance to help make ASA successful. Investments by state affiliates in ASA programs provide key funding to support ASA’s policy, trade and advocacy work. Membership is a state-operated program, whereby members of affiliated state soybean associations receive complimentary membership in ASA. (2017)
- D-2. ASA believes that its state affiliates and farmer members must continue to maintain support and governance of ASA. State affiliates and their members generate farmer involvement and investment in ASA, strengthen ASA’s policy influence and provide future leadership for the organization. (2017)
- D-3. To meet the needs of state affiliates and their members the Association should seek their input and should communicate directly with them. (2017)

CHECKOFF AND PROMOTION

- D-4. ASA supports continuation of the national soybean checkoff. ASA believes that the national soybean checkoff is an important tool to help soybean farmers develop new uses, conduct production research and expand domestic and foreign markets. (2022)
- D-5. ASA supports commodity and livestock checkoff programs and supports the stance that any and all funds may not be used for any government deficit or general fund needs.
- D-6. ASA, as the cooperator with USDA FAS, fully supports USSEC as the international marketing contractor for ASA and USB and is committed to working as a partner with USSEC and USB to promote U.S. soybeans and soybean products worldwide.
- D-7. ASA believes it should maintain a shared leadership role with USB in implementing international market development efforts through USSEC. (2017)
- D-8. ASA supports the World Initiative for Soy in Human Health (WISHH) in connecting trade and development across global market systems, improving food security. (2022)

ASA POLICY RESOLUTIONS

- D-9. ASA supports ASA and state soybean associations in contracting with USB and QSSB's and earning a reasonable management fee.
- D-10. ASA supports policy that would ensure that USB, USSEC, and QSSBs use ASA and state associations as primary contractors and coordinators for policy development for any non-restricted dollars they may generate. (2022)
- D-11. ASA encourages all soybean farmers to voluntarily become a member of their state soybean grower association and ASA, so they better understand how policy and active farmer involvement complements their checkoff funded successful marketing, research and education efforts for U.S. soybean farmer profitability. (2022)
- D-12. ASA recommends that the appointees to all federal commodity checkoff boards be active producers of their commodity.
- D-13. ASA urges USDA to appoint USB board members in a timely manner each year to allow them to begin their service at USB's December business meeting. (2022)
- D-14. ASA urges that the ASA and USB Executive Committees meet at least twice annually to develop common goals and evaluate programs to benefit the U.S. soybean producer.
- D-15. ASA encourages USB to continue to support research to advance soy biofuels and soy bio-based products. (2022)

INDUSTRY AND COMMUNITY

- D-16. ASA and affiliates appreciate the support they are receiving from their current agribusiness partners and encourage other agricultural allied industries to consider providing financial and in-kind investments in ASA programs.
- D-17. Leadership skills are more and more valuable as the industry further expands into global markets. ASA should continue to utilize and build its corporate and checkoff funded programs that create a pipeline for the next generation of engaged farmers to become board members. (2022)
- D-18. ASA supports USB's efforts to educate the general public about the positive aspects of production agriculture inclusive of plant breeding technologies, conventional and organic systems with particular emphasis on soybeans and allied commodities. (2022)
- D-19. ASA encourages cooperation with other farm organizations to promote and implement farm safety and education programs. ASA encourages farm families to develop, maintain, and implement aggressive farm safety practices to help protect our current and future generations.

PUBLIC AFFAIRS

- D-20. One of the primary services provided by ASA to its state affiliates and their members should be to operate an

effective governmental relations program. To be a more effective national policy voice for U.S. soybean farmers, ASA should continue to build coalitions and develop congressional contacts, as well as be sensitive to both national and/or state concerns as they are addressed by national policy. (2017)

- D-21. ASA supports building farmer support and investment in ASA's Soy Political Action Committee (SoyPAC) as an important national soy advocacy tool. (2022)
- D-22. Realizing the importance of a united front in the policy area and the need to develop a positive public image of farmers and agriculture, ASA leadership should maintain a continuing dialogue and work with general farm organizations, commodity groups, urban interests, and others to achieve our policies and objectives. ASA should seek new, nontraditional partnerships to find support in areas of mutual interest and collaborate in innovative ways. (2022)
- D-23. ASA will enhance collaboration with state soybean affiliates to increase and strengthen opportunities to jointly advocate on policies and regulations impacting U.S. soybean farmers. This enhanced collaboration will leverage skills and relationships to partner in the shared commitment of the states and national organization in advocacy efforts. (2022)

FINANCE AND VIABILITY

- D-24. The ASA Voting Delegate body directs the ASA Executive Committee to examine the annual resolutions and formulate a priority list that takes into account available monetary and human resources. The draft copy shall be submitted to the ASA Board for review, amended if necessary, and adopted in a timely manner. (2022)
- D-25. The ability of soybean associations to successfully impact the issues of importance to soybean farmers is largely determined by the financial strength of these organizations. As such, maintaining financially viable state and national soybean associations is paramount, and innovative fundraising strategies should be pursued.
- D-26. Continued support and work should be maintained to ensure the viability and strength of ASA as the national soybean policy organization for state affiliates, their members, and the soybean industry. (2017)
- D-27. The name American Soybean Association (ASA) should continue to be used for domestic and international policy work and the name American Soybean Association-International Marketing (ASA-IM) used for market development activities involving USSEC and the World Initiative for Soy in Human Health (WISHH).



BYLAWS OF THE AMERICAN SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION

As approved
December 9, 2021

Amended and Restated Bylaws (“Bylaws”) of American Soybean Association (the “Association”)

Revised and Approved December 9, 2021

ARTICLE I. MEMBERSHIP

Section 1. AFFILIATE MEMBERSHIP

Affiliate Membership shall exist for any duly organized and operating state soybean association or multi-state soybean association that: (a) satisfies the requirements set forth in Article X of these Bylaws and/or any other requirements contained in the then-existing American Soybean Association Affiliation Agreement (“Affiliation Agreement”); (b) has executed such Affiliation Agreement; and (c) has invested in the Association as outlined in Article IV, Section 2 of these Bylaws.

Section 2. GENERAL MEMBERSHIP

Any person who is a member of an affiliated state or multi-state soybean association that has Affiliate Membership status in the Association shall be granted general membership in the Association at no charge, provided the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association submits the person’s membership information to the Association. Individuals who pay membership dues to the affiliate, have a significant financial attachment to the affiliate, or have a significant organizational attachment to the affiliate may be considered “members,” even if other or multiple terms may be used by affiliates.

Any person residing in a state without Affiliate Membership status or residing outside the United States may become members of the Association by paying individual dues as established by the Association.

Section 3. ASSOCIATE MEMBERSHIP

Any person, without regard to his or her state of residence and whether ASA has an affiliate in that state, may become an associate member of the Association by paying the associate member dues as established by the Association. Such associate members shall not be eligible to vote at Meetings of Members as contained in Article III of these bylaws, shall not be eligible for election to the Association’s Board of Directors, and shall not be eligible for all benefits otherwise provided to general members, as determined by the Association.

Section 4. COOPERATING MEMBERSHIP

Individuals, organizations, or companies investing One Thousand Dollars (\$1000) or more in the Association’s programs/functions shall be offered a one-year Cooperating Membership. Such cooperating members shall not be eligible to vote at Meetings of Members as contained in Article III of these bylaws, shall not be eligible for election to the Association’s Board of Directors, and shall not be eligible for all benefits otherwise provided to general members, as determined by the Association.

Section 5. FOREIGN CONTRIBUTING MEMBERS

Any foreign business organizations, corporations, partnerships and other agencies and persons interested in the welfare of the soybean industry shall be extended the privilege of holding foreign contributing memberships in the Association by payment of the dues fixed by the Association. Such foreign contributing members will carry all membership privileges in the Association except the right to vote. Foreign includes all members outside the continental United States and Canada.

Section 6. HONORARY MEMBERS

Any person who has rendered or may render distinctive service to the Association or the development of the soybean industry may, on recommendation of the Board of Directors, be elected an honorary member of the Association and shall not be required to pay dues but shall have all rights and privileges of general membership.

Section 7. CANCELLATION OR REFUSAL OF MEMBERSHIP

The Board of Directors may, at any time, by majority vote, cancel the membership of any affiliated state or multi-state soybean association, or refuse membership to any state or multi-state soybean association, when the welfare of the Association, in their judgment, justifies such action.

The Board of Directors may, at any time, by majority vote, cancel the membership of any general, associate, cooperating, foreign contributing, or honorary member, or refuse membership to any person, company, or other organization, when the welfare of the Association, in their judgment, justifies such action.

No membership shall be cancelled except pursuant to a procedure that complies with the minimum requirements of applicable law.

ARTICLE II. MEETINGS OF THE MEMBERS

Section 1. TIME AND PLACE

The time and place of the annual meeting of the members shall be as specified in the Articles of Incorporation.

Section 2. SPECIAL MEETINGS

The President may call special meetings of the members at any time or place, on the President's own motion or at the request of twenty-five percent (25%) of the Directors. The President shall notify the Secretary of the time and place thereof and direct the giving of notice as provided herein.

Section 3. NOTICE OF MEETINGS

The Secretary shall deliver via mail, email, or facsimile to each member a notice of the time and place of each annual and special meeting of the members at least ten (10) days before the date of the meeting, which notice shall state the matters to be considered at any special meeting.

Section 4. QUORUM

A majority of the member voting delegates (or their alternates), in person or by proxy, shall constitute a quorum at any meeting of the members, but any lesser number may adjourn the meeting to any other time.

ARTICLE III. VOTING AT MEETINGS OF THE MEMBERS

Section 1. VOTING LIMITED TO MEMBER VOTING DELEGATES

Voting at meetings of the members of the Association shall be limited to member voting delegates (or their alternates) from duly affiliated state or multi-state soybean associations.

Section 2. NUMBER OF MEMBER VOTING DELEGATES AUTHORIZED

Each affiliated state or multi-state soybean association may select voting delegate(s) and an alternate delegate for each such voting delegate in such numbers as determined according to the following:

- (a) Each director of an affiliated state or multi-state soybean association shall be a member voting delegate of the Association. This group shall equal one-third of total member voting delegates.
- (b) A number of individuals equal to the number of directors of each affiliated state or multi-state soybean association shall be member voting delegates of the Association. This group shall equal one-third of total voting delegates.

- (c) A number of individuals equal to one-third of the total number of member voting delegates shall be allocated to the affiliated state or multi-state soybean associations in accordance with each affiliated state or multi-state soybean association's percentage of total members in the Association's database as of September 30, 2015, applied for five consecutive fiscal years (2017-2021). After fiscal year 2021, this one-third is a number equal to the directors each state has on the board.

Section 3. CREDENTIALS OF THE MEMBER VOTING DELEGATES

At least thirty (30) days prior to the annual meeting of the members of each affiliated state or multi-state soybean association, the Association shall notify each such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association of the number of the Association's member voting delegates it may elect, together with an alternate delegate for each such member voting delegate. Prior to January 1 of each year, each affiliated state or multi-state soybean association shall notify the Association of the names and addresses of the member voting delegates and their respective alternate, which shall be furnished to the Executive Committee of the Association for use at subsequent meetings of the Association's members. A person may be listed as an alternate for more than one member voting delegate; provided, however, no person may actually serve as an alternate for more than one member voting delegate at a meeting of the Association's members.

To serve as a member voting delegate or alternate at a meeting of the Association's members the following credential requirements must be satisfied:

- (a) The individual must have a current membership in the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association and the Association.
- (b) The individual must be duly selected by the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association.
- (c) The individual may not be an officer, director or employee of any other national soybean policy organization (as defined in Article IX, Section 1 of these Bylaws).

Section 4. MEMBER VOTING DELEGATE TERMS

Each member voting delegate and alternate shall serve in such capacity for a one-year term commencing with February 1 after their election and shall attend all meetings of the Association's members held during such term.

Section 5. VOTING

Each member voting delegate or such member voting delegate's alternate in the event the alternate is exercising the vote of the member voting delegate shall be entitled to one vote on matters at meetings of the Association's members. Such votes shall not be controlled by any other member voting delegate, or by the majority of the voter's delegation, or by any "unit rule," or by any other means, direct or indirect. Votes may not be cast by proxy or by any means other than in person. In the absence of a member voting delegate, the alternate may vote in the member voting delegate's stead. If a member voting delegate and the alternate are both absent from a meeting of the Association's members, the chairman of the applicable affiliated state or multi-state soybean association, with the approval of the Executive Committee of the Association, may appoint a temporary alternate to vote at such meeting of the Association's members. Voting shall be by voice vote unless there is a request for a division of the house, in which event there will be a standing vote. Any member voting delegate or acting alternate may request a vote be taken by written ballot.

Section 6. FILLING VACANCIES

Any vacancy of a member voting delegate or alternate position due to death, incapacity, resignation, or non-membership may be filled by the applicable affiliated state or multi-state soybean association, and the replacement's
(continued on next page)

ASA BYLAWS

name and address shall be furnished to the Association. Such replacement, after receiving approval by the Executive Committee of the Association, shall fill the unexpired term of the member voting delegate or alternate so replaced and may vote at any meeting of the Association’s members with the same rights and duties as the person replaced.

Section 7. MEMBERS’ PRIVILEGE

At any meeting of the Association’s members, any member may be granted the floor at the pleasure of the presiding officer to speak on any matter, but only a member voting delegate or acting alternate may make any motion or vote on any matter at any such meeting.

ARTICLE IV. AFFILIATED ASSOCIATION INVESTMENT AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Section 1. QUALIFICATIONS

A candidate for a director position on the Association’s Board of Directors must satisfy the credential requirements of a member voting delegate as specified in Article III, Section 3 of these Bylaws. In addition, only persons who are actual producers of soybeans, either as farm operators, managers, or producer-landlords, shall be eligible for election to the Association’s Board of Directors by any affiliated state or multi-state soybean association. Employees of state or national soybean organizations shall not be eligible to serve on the Board of Directors.

Section 2. LEVEL OF INVESTMENT AND NUMBER OF DIRECTORS

Representation on the Association’s Board of Directors shall be based on affiliated state or multi-state soybean association investment levels. Each duly affiliated state or multi-state soybean association may elect directors to serve on the Association’s Board of Directors.

- (a) Director positions on the Association’s Board of Directors shall be earned based on affiliated state or multi-state soybean association investment levels as follows, with ten (10) director positions on the Association’s Board of Directors being the maximum number any affiliated state or multi-state soybean association can earn.

Investment amounts are cumulative by levels.

Level	Investment Amount	Total Positions on the Association’s Board of Directors
Level 1 Affiliate with: Less than 1% of soybean acres 1% to 1.99% of soybean acres 2% to 2.99% of soybean acres 3% or more of soybean acres	\$1,000 \$4,500 \$10,000 \$15,000	1
Level 2	+ \$30,000	2
Level 3	+ \$35,000	3
Level 4	+ \$35,000	4
Level 5	+ \$35,000	5
Level 6	+ \$40,000	6
Level 7	+ \$40,000	7
Level 8	+ \$40,000	8
Level 9	+ \$40,000	9
Level 10	+ \$40,000	10

Investment Level 1 is based on “harvested” soybean acres from United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) data, using a three-year average. The initial three-year average will use 2013, 2014 and 2015 USDA data.

Investment rates and percentages for Levels 1 through 10, as outlined in this Article IV, Section 2, are to be static for five years, which are fiscal years 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021. Thereafter, any investment level and percentages may only be amended by a vote of the Board of Directors, in which Directors representing at least two-thirds of the affiliated state or multi-state soybean associations are in the majority.

After the five-year static period, USDA data on harvested soybean acres for 2018, 2019 and 2020 will be used to determine a new three-year average for Level 1 investment to become effective in fiscal year 2022, which will commence on October 1, 2021. Thereafter, each year the three-year average soybean acres harvested will be refigured by adding the next consecutive year to the calculation and dropping the oldest year.

- (b) Duly affiliated state or multi-state soybean associations shall make a “minimum” annual unrestricted investment commitment to the Association beginning in fiscal year 2017, which shall be stated in an executed Affiliation Agreement and shall apply for five years, which are fiscal years 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.

An affiliated state or multi-state soybean association may increase its total investment at any time and earn additional positions on the Association’s Board of Directors based on investment levels outlined in this Article IV, Section 2. However, credit will be given for additional positions on the Association’s Board of Directors earned through mid-fiscal year investments, and the positions on the Association’s Board of Directors awarded in the next fiscal year.

- (c) The number of Directors for each affiliated state or multi-state soybean association shall be based on such associations’ investment level with the Association. Prior to September 1 of each year, affiliated state or multi-state soybean associations must notify the Association in writing of the number of directors they intend to have serve on the Association’s Board of Directors for the coming fiscal year.

Each affiliated state or multi-state soybean association’s annual minimum unrestricted investment will be divided into four installments due to the Association quarterly, on or before October 1, January 1, April 1, and July 1.

Affiliated state or multi-state soybean associations past due in payment of any quarterly payment by more than forty-five (45) days shall automatically lose a percentage of such association’s positions on the Association’s Board of Directors and member voting delegates that corresponds to the percentage of payment shortfall, but shall not equal less than one position on the Association’s Board of Directors or member voting delegate and any non-evenly divisible, fractional amount of shortfall shall be rounded up in a manner that results in the loss of a whole position, with such positions on the Association’s Board of Directors and member voting delegates automatically reinstated when such past due payment is made. For example, if affiliated state X’s annual total investment due to be paid for four directors is \$115,000 with quarterly payments due of \$28,750 but it makes a quarterly payment of only \$20,000 and is more than 45 days in arrears on the remaining quarterly payment sum, state affiliate X automatically would lose two director positions until the past due amount is paid (\$28,750 divided by four positions = \$7,187.5 quarterly payment due per position with full quarterly payments made by affiliate X for only two positions). The Association’s calculation and determinations under this paragraph shall be final and incontestable.

Section 3. NONVOTING DIRECTORS

The following soybean organizations may each elect one non-voting director to the Association's Board of Directors:

- (a) Any soybean organization from a country other than the United States that has at least one hundred (100) dues paying members and is approved by the Association's Board of Directors; or
- (b) The Grain Farmers of Ontario provided that the organization maintains at least one hundred (100) dues paying members.

Section 4. TERMS OF DIRECTORS

- (a) Each director shall be elected for a three-year term.
- (b) No director may serve on the Association's Board of Directors for more than three consecutive three-year terms; however, after any former director has been off the Association's Board of Directors for one full year, such former director may be reelected as a director for no more than three further consecutive three-year terms. For directors seated on the ASA Board of Directors prior to December 2015, no partial term or service in filling the unexpired term of a previous director shall be counted in determining the consecutive period a director may serve. For directors elected to begin service on the ASA Board of Directors on or after December 2015, their term will commence with the first annual meeting following their election.
- (c) All directors' terms shall commence with the first annual meeting following their election.
- (d) At least thirty (30) days prior to the commencement of a director's term, the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association they represent shall certify their names and addresses to the Secretary of the Association, and the Secretary shall furnish the same to the Executive Committee of the Association.

Section 5. DISPUTES AS TO NUMBERS, TERMS OR QUALIFICATIONS

If any dispute arises as to the numbers, terms or qualifications of any director elected by any affiliated state or multi-state soybean association, such disputes shall be resolved by the Executive Committee of the Association. The Executive Committee shall furnish a report to the President of the Association prior to the annual meeting of the Association's Board of Directors regarding the names of each director duly elected by the affiliated state or multi-state soybean associations, and the President shall report the names of such directors at said annual meeting.

Section 6. PAYMENT OF EXPENSES

The Board of Directors may authorize payment of actual expenses of any officer or director of the Association incurred in attending meetings of the members, the Board of Directors, and committees of the Association, or while engaged in performing their assigned functions and in carrying out the purposes of the Association. Payment of such actual expenses shall be made from Association funds.

ARTICLE V. MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Section 1. TIME AND PLACE OF ANNUAL MEETING

The time and place of the annual meeting of the Board of Directors shall be held at a time and place fixed by the Board of Directors.

Section 2. SPECIAL MEETINGS

Special meetings of the Board of Directors may be called by the President at any time or place, on the President's own motion or at the request of at least twenty-five percent (25%) of the directors. The President shall notify the Secretary of the time and place thereof and direct the giving of notice as provided herein.

Section 3. NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETINGS

The Secretary shall give each director written notice of the time and place of each such special meeting of the Board of Directors, at least five (5) days before the date of the meeting. Such notice shall be deemed sufficient if emailed or mailed to the last known address of a director.

Section 4. WAIVER OF NOTICE

The attendance of any director at any special meeting shall constitute a waiver of such notice. A written waiver of notice from any director, either before or after such meeting, shall eliminate the necessity for such notice. Any director who shall execute a written consent to any action taken at any meeting of the Board of Directors, within thirty (30) days following the date of such meeting, shall be deemed to have waived any objection to the legality of such meeting on any ground.

Section 5. QUORUM

A majority of the members of the Board of Directors shall constitute a quorum at any meeting of the Board of Directors. However, less than a quorum may adjourn a meeting to any other time.

Section 6. PROXY VOTING AND ALTERNATES

No proxy voting shall be allowed at any meeting of the Board of Directors. However, in the absence of a director, the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association that such absent director represents may name a temporary alternate by written notification to the Association. Any alternate must satisfy all credential requirements of a director.

Section 7. TELEPHONIC PARTICIPATION

Attendance at a meeting of the Board of Directors may be either in person or by any means by which all directors are able to simultaneously hear one another.

Section 8. ACTION WITHOUT MEETING BY UNANIMOUS WRITTEN CONSENT

Unless otherwise provided by law, any action which may be taken at a meeting of the Board of Directors may be taken without a meeting if consent in writing setting forth the action so taken is signed by all the directors and delivered to the President. The written consent shall specify the time at which the action taken is to be effective. The written consent can be delivered to the President via U.S. mail, email, or facsimile. A written consent shall not be revoked once all written consents signed by all of the directors have been delivered.

Section 9. VOTING

The act of the majority of the Directors present at a meeting at which a quorum is present shall be the act of the Board of Directors

ARTICLE VI. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND GOVERNANCE

Section 1. GOVERNANCE

The business and affairs of the Association shall be governed by a nine-member Executive Committee consisting of the President, Chairman, Vice President, Secretary, Treasurer and four at-large members elected by the Association's Board of Directors. The Executive Committee may do or perform any act related to daily governance of the Association, except that such committee shall have no power to amend these Bylaws, adopt a strategic plan for the Association, or approve or disapprove affiliate state or multi-state soybean association membership in the Association, which acts are expressly reserved as actions that may only be taken by the Board of Directors. The Executive Committee shall be responsible for fiscal and administrative affairs, monitoring available funding and budget approval. The Executive Committee shall act as the Personnel Committee and shall

(continued on next page)

be responsible for reviewing salary policies and guidelines, group employee benefits and other matters generally related to the Association's personnel policies. The Executive Committee is responsible for the oversight, including the hiring and terminating, of the Association's Chief Executive Officer (CEO), who in turn oversees the association's other employees and contractors.

Section 2. ELECTION OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

At each annual meeting of the Board of Directors, the Board of Directors shall elect officers and at-large members of the Executive Committee. Individuals elected to the Executive Committee must be voting members of the Board of Directors. Individuals elected to the Executive Committee shall serve one-year terms to commence immediately following the election.

Section 3. PRESIDENT

The President is the principal elected officer of the Association. The President shall preside at all meetings of the Executive Committee and at all meetings of the Association's members, shall serve as an ex officio member of all standing, special committees and advocacy teams, and shall carry out all other duties that are commonly associated with office of President. The President may serve no more than one one-year term, but a partial term shall not be counted in applying this limitation. Unless otherwise provided in these Bylaws, the President in consultation with the Executive Committee is responsible for making appointments to committees and advocacy teams.

Section 4. VICE PRESIDENT

The Vice President shall be president-elect and shall serve as chairman of the Resolutions process. The Vice President shall perform other duties as may be assigned by the President or the Board of Directors. The Vice President shall serve as an ex officio member on all advocacy teams. In addition, the Vice President shall fulfill the functions of the President in the event of the President's death, resignation, absence, or inability to serve as such, and the Vice President shall succeed to the office of the President upon the conclusion of the President's term of office.

Section 5. CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

The immediate past president of the Association shall serve as chairman of the Board of Directors, shall preside at all meetings of the Board of Directors, and shall perform such other duties as may be assigned by the President or the Board of Directors. The chairman of the Board of Directors shall serve as chairman of the Association's Nominating Committee and Governance Committee.

The Chairman may serve past a nine-year term limit provided the Chairman is duly elected to the Association's Board of Directors by the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association the Chairman represents. In the event the Chairman serves an additional year beyond the nine-year term limit, the additional year will be considered the first year of the succeeding director's initial three-year term.

Section 6. AT-LARGE MEMBERS

There shall be four at-large members of the Executive Committee.

Section 7. SECRETARY

The Secretary shall supervise the keeping of the books and records of the Association, keep a record of the proceedings of the meetings of the Association's members, the Board of Directors, and the Executive Committee, and the making of annual reports and such other reports as the Board of Directors may request or as may be required by law. The Secretary may perform all the duties of the position by the delegation thereof to the Chief Executive Officer or such other person designated by the Board of Directors.

Section 8. TREASURER

The Treasurer shall supervise the keeping of the financial records of the Association, the receipt and deposit and disbursement of the monies of the Association under the direction of the Board of Directors, and such other duties normally associated with the office of Treasurer. The Treasurer may perform all the duties of the position by the delegation thereof to the Chief Executive Officer or such other person designated by the Board of Directors. The Treasurer shall be the Chairperson of the Finance Committee. The Vice Chairperson of the Finance Committee will be the Assistant Treasurer and, in the absence, death, inability or refusal of the Treasurer to act, the Assistant Treasurer shall have all the powers and be subject to the same provisions as herein prescribed for the Treasurer; however, will not be a member of the Executive Committee.

Section 9. ADMINISTRATION OF POLICIES

The policies and programs of the Board of Directors and officers of the Association, including the duties and responsibilities of the Secretary and Treasurer, may be carried out, affected, managed, and administered by such person as may be selected and employed from time to time by the Board of Directors. Such employee may be designated as Chief Executive Officer or by any other title deemed appropriate by the Board of Directors. The powers, duties and salary of such employee shall be fixed by the Board of Directors. Subject to review by the Board of Directors, the President shall supervise or direct this Chief Executive Officer in the performance of his duties; however, he cannot hire or terminate the CEO unilaterally. Other positions may be created and filled by the Board of Directors from time to time with appropriate powers, duties, title, and salaries, to carry out the expanded program of the Association.

Section 10. BONDING OF TREASURER

So long as the functions of the Treasurer are handled by a person selected by the Board of Directors under the provisions of Article VI, Section 8 of these Bylaws, the Treasurer shall have no personal liability for monies or property of the Association and need not be bonded.

ARTICLE VII. COMMITTEES AND TEAMS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Except as otherwise provided in these Bylaws and with the exception of the Executive Committee of the Association, the President shall consult with the Executive Committee and appoint the members of the following committees of the Board of Directors. Each such committee or team shall consist of no fewer than three (3) directors and shall fulfill the functions and responsibilities as directed by the Board of Directors. Other members of the Association may also be appointed to such committees or team. All committees or teams of the Board of Directors shall be chaired or co-chaired by a voting director of the Association unless otherwise provided in these Bylaws. All committees or teams shall serve at the pleasure of the President.

Section 1. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

The Board of Directors shall elect a nine-member Executive Committee as set forth in Article VI, Section 1 of these Bylaws.

Section 2. ADVOCACY TEAMS

The President shall consult with the Executive Committee and appoint the members of one or more Advocacy Teams as the President or Board of Directors may deem necessary from time to time to carry out the advocacy work of the Association. The Advocacy Teams shall be involved in monitoring policy actions, analyzing policy, developing advocacy action plans, providing advocacy leadership, and participating in various advocacy efforts, as related to their specific area.

Section 3. TRADE POLICY & INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

The Trade Policy & International Affairs (TPIA) Committee is responsible for determining export promotion priorities, strategies, and funding levels for the investment of USDA/Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) funding received by the Association as the “cooperator” with FAS. The TPIA Committee also may serve as the Trade Advocacy Team in establishing trade policy and market access advocacy objectives and plans.

Section 4. WISHH COMMITTEE

The World Initiative for Soy in Human Health (WISHH) Committee will operate under the Association’s WISHH Committee Operating Guidelines. On an annual basis, the WISHH Committee will nominate new members to the committee and the chair will present a list of nominees to the Association’s President for appointment.

Section 5. STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE

The Strategic Planning Committee is responsible for reviewing and evaluating the mission and vision of the Association. It is responsible for recommending strategies to the Board of Directors relative to long- term issues and opportunities.

Section 6. FINANCE COMMITTEE

The Finance Committee is responsible for advising the Executive Committee on business and fiscal affairs, monitoring available funding, and recommending the annual budget and other funding requests to the Executive Committee.

Section 7. AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee shall be composed of two members from the Executive Committee, four members from the Board of Directors plus the Association’s Treasurer in an ex-officio, non-voting position. Such committee shall recommend to the Board of Directors the selection or confirmation of the auditor, receive the auditor’s reports, monitor progress or improvements recommended by the auditor, and report annually to the Board of Directors.

Section 8. NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Each year, prior to the annual meeting of the Board of Directors, the Nominating Committee, consisting of no fewer than three members from the Board of Directors, shall present nominations for the Executive Committee to be elected at such annual meeting. Additional nominations may be made by any Director at such meeting.

Section 9. GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

The Governance Committee shall annually review the Association’s Bylaws and Policies to ensure they are current, relevant, and meet the needs of the Association to function as a viable, superior performing legal organization. The committee shall propose amendments to the Board of Directors for consideration and approval, if appropriate. The committee will assess the Board’s current composition and identify current and future needs and ensure training for new and current Board members.

Section 10. COUNCIL OF PAST PRESIDENTS

All past presidents of the Association shall be members of a group to be known as the Council of Past Presidents, with the Chairman of the Board of Directors as its chairman. Such council shall serve only as an advisory group at the request of the Board of Directors. Any member of such council who is not a current member of the Board of Directors shall serve on such council at such member’s own expense.

Section 11. OTHER COMMITTEES

The President shall consult with the Executive Committee and appoint the members of such other or additional committees, advocacy teams, task forces, or working groups as the President or Board of Directors may deem necessary from time to time to carry out the work of the Association.

ARTICLE VIII. APPOINTMENT TO USSEC

On an annual basis, the President shall consult with the Executive Committee and appoint representatives from the Association's Board of Directors to serve as the Association's representatives on the Board of the U.S. Soybean Export Council (USSEC). The Association's Board of Directors may remove any such representative serving on the USSEC Board at any time by majority vote, with or without cause.

ARTICLE IX. AFFILIATED STATE OR MULTI-STATE SOYBEAN ASSOCIATIONS

Section 1. AFFILIATION

At any annual, regular, or special meeting, the Board of Directors of the Association may, upon written request, recognize any duly organized state or multi-state soybean association as an affiliate of the Association and grant Affiliate Membership so long as it meets all five of the following requirements:

- (a) It is a bona fide state or multi-state organization duly incorporated as such.
- (b) It is not itself a member of nor is it affiliated with any other national soybean policy organization. "Soybean policy organization" means a nonprofit organization primarily dedicated to improving the condition of American soybean producers or some segment thereof substantially through policy and legislative advocacy work at the national level.
- (c) No conflicts exist between its Articles of Incorporation or bylaws and those of the Association.
- (d) It agrees to sign an Affiliation Agreement and invest in the Association as outlined in Article IV, Section 2 of these Bylaws.
- (e) No state shall be entitled to more than one such affiliate soybean association.

Section 2. DISAFFILIATION

If at any time the Board of Directors determines that any affiliated state or multi-state soybean association has failed to meet any of the foregoing requirements for affiliation or has breached its duties regarding applicable investment levels in the Association as outlined in Article IV, Section 2 of these Bylaws or the coordination of policies or has taken actions contrary to the best interests of the Association, such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association shall be notified of its opportunity to cure the deficiency or breach within one hundred eighty (180) days of receipt of notice. During this one hundred eighty (180) day period, the Association and such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association shall meet in good faith to attempt to reach resolution. Upon failure to timely cure the specified deficiency or breach and reach resolution, the Association's Board of Directors may proceed with disaffiliation pursuant to the procedure required by law.

Section 3. COORDINATION OF POLICIES

The public policy of the Association and affiliated state or multi-state soybean association members should be coordinated and consistent. An affiliated state or multi-state soybean association shall not actively oppose the Association's national or international public policy as adopted by either the Association's member voting delegates or the Association's Board of Directors.

(continued on next page)

If an affiliated state or multi-state soybean association votes to adopt policy contrary to that of the Association, it shall notify the Association's President at its earliest opportunity. An affiliated state or multi-state soybean association agrees not to oppose the announced policy of the Association except at the next annual meeting of the Association's members or any meeting of the Association's Board of Directors. Conversely, the Association will not knowingly, actively oppose any affiliated state or multi-state soybean association's policy. If the Association adopts or seriously considers the adoption of any state level policy contrary to any affiliated state or multi-state soybean association's policy, it will bring this fact to the attention of such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association's President at its earliest opportunity.

ARTICLE X. REMOVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS, APPOINTED POSITIONS AND DIRECTORS

Section 1. REMOVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER FROM EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Any officer or at-large Executive Committee member of the Association who is absent from more than two (2) Executive Committee meetings or two (2) Board of Directors meetings during a calendar year may be removed from the Executive Committee without further cause at any regular or special meeting by the Board of Directors. For purpose of this Article X, Section 1, missing more than half of a meeting shall be deemed an absence.

Any officer or at-large Executive Committee member of the Association who violates the Code of Conduct or Legal Duties may be removed from their position and the Executive Committee. Any petition of 10 or more Board of Director members can request an officer or at-large Executive Committee member be removed. The officer or at-large Executive Committee member being asked to step down from the Executive Committee may present evidence to the Board of Directors. A two-thirds vote of the Board of Directors is required to remove an officer or at-large Executive Committee member.

Section 2. REMOVAL OF DIRECTOR FROM APPOINTED POSITION

Any Board of Director member who is appointed to a position, such as chair or vice-chair of a committee or advocacy team, of the Association who violates the Code of Conduct or Legal Duties may be removed from their appointed position. In consultation with the Executive Committee, the President may request a Board of Director member be removed from their appointed position. The Board of Director member being asked to step down from their appointed position may present evidence to the Executive Committee. A two-thirds vote of the Executive Committee is required to remove a Board of Director member from their appointed position.

Section 3. REMOVAL OF DIRECTOR FROM BOARD

Any member of the Board of Directors who was elected to such position by an affiliated state or multi-state soybean association may be removed by such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association, provided the authority for such removal is contained in the Articles of Incorporation or bylaws of such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association and upon condition that the prescribed procedure therefore has been followed. Such removal shall be effective upon receipt by the Secretary of the Association of a formal notice from such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association that it has removed such director.

Any Board of Director member who violates the Code of Conduct or Legal Duties may be asked to step down voluntarily. The Board of Directors may also request the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association remove their director in accordance with Article X, Section 2 of the ASA Bylaws. The

Board of Director member being asked to step down from Board of Directors may present evidence to the Board of Directors. A two-thirds vote of the Board of Directors is required to send a request to the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association.

ARTICLE XI. FILLING DIRECTOR OR EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER VACANCIES

Section 1. FILLING VACANT OFFICE

In the event of any vacancy of an Executive Committee member, whether from death, resignation or removal, the Board of Directors may fill such vacancy by an election held for that purpose at the next regular or special meeting of the Board of Directors. Any person elected to fill a vacancy on the Executive Committee shall fill the unexpired term of the predecessor.

Section 2. FILLING VACANT DIRECTORSHIP

In the event of any vacancy on the Board of Directors, whether from death, resignation or removal, the affiliated state or multi-state soybean association that elected such director to the Board of Directors may fill such vacancy; provided, however, such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association must remain entitled to such number of directors under Article IV, Section 2 of these Bylaws.

ARTICLE XII. AFFILIATE INVESTMENT AND DUES

Section 1. MEMBERSHIP

The Board of Directors shall establish affiliated state or multi-state soybean association investment levels and dues rates for general membership, associate membership, cooperating membership and foreign contributing membership. Each affiliated state or multi-state soybean association's annual minimum unrestricted investment shall be divided into four installments due to the Association quarterly, on or before October 1, January 1, April 1 and July 1 of each fiscal year.

Section 2. USE OF AFFILIATED STATE OR MULTI-STATE SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION INVESTMENTS

The Association, being a nonprofit corporation, shall use affiliated state or multi-state soybean association investment funds for promotional work and to further the objectives set out in the Association's Articles of Incorporation. The affiliated state or multi-state soybean association investments and any other monies derived from other sources, if any, not used by the Association for current operations, shall be available for educational work, promotion of objectives, research, and market development.

Section 3. DELINQUENCY AND EXPIRATIONS

Any affiliated state or multi-state soybean association that shall be delinquent in its quarterly payments for a period of forty-five (45) days or more from the time payment was due shall be considered past due. In the event such delinquency in payment continues for more than ninety (90) days, the Board of Directors, in its sole discretion, may deem all rights and privileges of such affiliated state or multi-state soybean association forfeited.

ARTICLE XIII. FISCAL YEAR

The fiscal year of the Association shall commence on October 1 of each year and shall end on September 30 of the following year.

ARTICLE XIV. LIABILITY

Section 1. LIABILITY OF CORPORATION

The Association shall not be liable for the acts of its employees, members, officers, or directors who have acted beyond their authority.

Section 2. LIABILITY OF OFFICERS, DIRECTORS AND MEMBERS

Except as otherwise provided by law, an employee, member, officer or director of the Association is not liable for the Association's debts or obligations. Further, an employee, member, officer or director is not personally liable in that capacity to any person for any action taken or failure to take any action in the discharge of that person's duties except for any of the following:

- (a) The amount of any financial benefit to which the person is not entitled.
- (b) An intentional infliction of harm on the Association or its members.
- (c) Voting for or assenting to any distribution of corporate assets in violation of Section 504.835 of the Iowa Code, as amended.
- (d) An intentional violation of criminal law.

Section 3. INDEMNIFICATION OF OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

The Association will indemnify its directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by law.

ARTICLE XV. RULES OF ORDER

Robert's Rules of Order shall be the authority on all points not covered by the Association's Articles of Incorporation or these Bylaws.

ARTICLE XVI. CORPORATE RECORDS

The Association will keep correct and completed books and records, minutes of Board of Directors meetings and meetings of the Association's members, records of all actions taken by its members or directors without a meeting, minutes of all meetings of committees of the Board of Directors, a membership list, all appropriate accounting records, and such other records as required by law. It shall retain those records for the time period required by law. The Association shall keep all records, books, and annual reports of the financial activity of the Association at its principal office. The Association shall make available to members for a valid purpose, asserted in good faith, and directly related to the member's interest as a member, those corporate records as required by law, to the extent and in the manner required by applicable law.

ARTICLE XVII. AMENDMENTS

These Bylaws may be amended at any time by the Board of Directors with two-thirds majority of members present voting in the affirmative or a simple majority if ten (10) days prior notice is given for the proposed amendments. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Investment Levels 1 through 10, as outlined in Article IV, Section 2 of these Bylaws, may be amended only by a vote in which directors representing at least two-thirds of affiliated state or multi-state soybean associations are in the majority.

ARTICLE XVIII. DOING BUSINESS IN OTHER STATES

Section 1. DOING BUSINESS IN OTHER STATES

The Board of Directors shall be authorized to do business and to carry out the purposes of the Association in any state or territory of the United States of America and in any other country.

Section 2. QUALIFYING TO DO BUSINESS IN OTHER STATES AND COUNTRIES

The Board of Directors shall authorize the execution and filing of such applications and documents as may be necessary to qualify the Association to do business in any state or territory of the United States or in any other country and shall designate such agent or agents as required for conducting business in such state, territory, or country.



ASA Board Meetings

July 12 – 14, 2022
The Madison Downtown
Washington, D.C.

December 6 – 8, 2022
Embassy Suites
St. Charles, Mo.

February 28 – March 2, 2023
Doubletree Crystal City
Arlington, Va.

Commodity Classic

March 9 – 11, 2023
Orlando, Florida





ASA Social Media

 /AmericanSoybeanAssociation

 @ASA_Soybeans

 @AmericanSoybeanAssociation

 /AmericanSoybeanAssoc

ASA Website

SoyGrowers.com